MINUTES OF THE
SOUTHWEST FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL
APRIL 17, 2014 MEETING

The meeting of the Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council was held on April 17, 2014 at the offices of the Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council - 1st Floor Conference Room at 1926 Victoria Avenue in Fort Myers, Florida. Chair Teresa Heitmann called the meeting to order at 9:06 AM. Mr. Perry then led an invocation and the Pledge of Allegiance. Administrative Specialist II, Nichole Gwinnett conducted the roll call.

MEMBERS PRESENT

Charlotte County: Commissioner Chris Constance, Commissioner Tricia Duffy, Councilwoman Nancy Prifke, Mr. Don McCormick

Collier County: Councilwoman Teresa Heitmann, Mr. Bob Mulhere, Mr. Alan Reynolds

Glades County: Commissioner Donna Storter-Long, Mr. Thomas Perry

Hendry County: Commissioner Don Davis, Commissioner Daniel Akin, Mr. Melvin Karau

Lee County: Commissioner Frank Mann, Councilman Forrest Banks, Councilman Jim Burch, Vice Mayor Doug Congress, Ms. Laura Holquist

Sarasota County: Commissioner Charles Hines, Vice Mayor Willie Shaw, Councilman Kit McKeon

Ex-Officio Members: Ms. Carmen Monroy - FDOT

MEMBERS ABSENT

Charlotte County: Ms. Suzanne Graham

Collier County: Commissioner Tim Nance, Commissioner Georgia Hiller

Glades County: Councilwoman Pat Lucas, Commissioner Russell Echols

Hendry County: Commissioner Karson Turner, Mayor Phillip Roland

Lee County: Commissioner Brian Hamman, Mayor Anita Cereceda

Sarasota County: Commissioner Carolyn Mason, Commissioner Rhonda DiFranco, Mr. Felipe Colón

Ex-Officio Membership: Mr. Jon Iglehart – FDEP, Mr. Phil Flood – SFWMD, Ms. Melissa Dickens – SWFWMD
Ms. Gwinnett announced that there was a quorum.

AGENDA ITEM #4
PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were no public comments made at this time.

AGENDA ITEM #5
AGENDA

Councilman Burch made a motion to approve the agenda as presented and the motion was seconded by Councilman Banks. The motion carried unanimously.

AGENDA ITEM #6
Minutes of the March 20, 2014 Meeting

Commissioner Constance stated that under Agenda Item #7 – Director’s Report, first paragraph should read “Ms. Wuerstle reviewed the item. She explained that the Council was awarded a grant from the SWFL Community Foundation to assess all of the public art and cultural venues throughout Lee County.”

Commissioner Constance moved to approve the minutes of the March 20, 2014 as amended and the motion was seconded by Councilman McKon. The motion carried unanimously.

AGENDA ITEM #7
DIRECTOR’S REPORT

Ms. Wuerstle began the director’s report with the FY 2014 budget amendments. She proposed to remove $15,000 from the $81,000 as those are funds that the Council will not be receiving from the CHNEP. With the remaining $66,000 she requested that $21,000 be put into merit increases for staff. Explaining this is a little high because it would allow all staff to receive a 3% increase, which probably won’t be the case, but there is enough to cover those increases. Also requested is an additional $10,000 for travel. At the end there would be $35,828 going into the reserves.

Ms. Wuerstle explained that she was taking the legal fees from a separate contractual line item and moving it into salaries. She said that there are State laws for contracting with a private attorney.

Ms. Wuerstle announced that since the budget for FY13-14 had been approved, staff had brought in an additional $170,000 through grants. She said that she was asking to reduce the amount under DRI s because we are half way through the year and $45,000 was in the budget and were not going to hit that mark. $10,000 will be taken out which would leave $9,700. The majority of that would go towards salaries and $1,500 would go towards printing as that category is very low. The end result would be increasing the approved budget by $164,000.

Commissioner Mann asked Ms. Wuerstle what is the Council’s fiscal year. Ms. Wuerstle explained that it begins on October 1 and ends on September 30. Commissioner Mann noted that
Lee County had not made any decision on raises for their employees as they are waiting to see what a continuation budget is going to cost and what revenues are available. This applies to all of the constitutional officers as well, so he is hoping that the Council doesn’t have to make a decision on raises at this time and until all of the individual cities and counties know what their challenges are going to be. He said that he would prefer that the Council take under advisement Ms. Wuerstle’s suggestion and give the Council two additional meetings before they adopt such a policy.

Vice Mayor Congress asked why the merit increases weren’t included in the original budget. Ms. Wuerstle explained that she wanted to wait until the completion of the audit in order to know how much money there was left. If the audit didn’t close at $165,000 she then would not have the money to put into the merit increases.

Chair Heitmann stated that we weren’t sure that merit increases were going to be given at that point. Ms. Wuerstle explained that she would like to give merit increases as staff has not had a true cost of living increase for five or six years.

Mr. Mulhere said that Ms. Wuerstle is sure that there will be merit increases, but she isn’t sure of how much each staff member will receive but it would be between 0-3 percent. Also, it will be based upon each employee’s evaluation and the cap is 3 percent.

Mr. Karau stated that he thought that Commissioner Mann’s concern was if the merit increases were taken out of reserves this year, then where would they come out of next year. You would have the increases from the prior year as part of the base to cover.

Ms. Wuerstle explained that she isn’t prepared to present next year’s budget at this time; however, she does know that she can close next year’s budget.

Commissioner Constance stated that he concurs with Commissioner Mann’s statements. The Council should not give any merit increases if there is no additional capital available, but to give bonuses because it is uncertain on where things are going. He agreed that the Council should review it, and if appropriate and the Council can afford it, then give across the board one-time bonuses. Then re-visit it on an annual basis until we see the revenue streams restructure for supporting the Council financially. Currently, we are relatively unsure where the Council’s revenue stream is going to be coming from in the future.

Councilman McKeon noted that the employees of the City of Venice haven’t had raises for over five years, but did do some bonuses. This year it has been budgeted for the employees to receive raises and is currently in union negotiations. It is his view with the turmoil that had gone on for the last few years and the clarity in which Ms. Wuerstle had brought to the budgeting process, he finds himself in support of her request.

Commissioner Storter-Long asked where the revenue increases came from and can the Council expect the same revenues next year. She said that she supported bonuses; Glades County employees haven’t had a raise or bonus since 2009. Ms. Wuerstle explained that $107,000 was additional grant revenues that were brought in. Staff has been equally aggressive in submitting applications, so she is confident that there will be additional revenue received. Commissioner
Storter-Long asked if the grants are renewable every year. Ms. Wuerstle said some of the grants are, but not all of them. The remaining amount that is being added to the budget was due to being very careful about what was being spent under the local assessments. The Council was able to close at $81,000 higher than what was anticipated.

Mr. Perry asked if the Executive Committee reviewed the budget amendments and also discussed Ms. Wrestle's proposals; if so, did they make a recommendation. Chair Heitmann explained that both the Budget & Finance Committee and also the Executive Committee reviewed both the budget and audit and are recommending merit increases.

Mr. Mulhere stated that he didn't hear Commissioner Mann state that he preferred the bonuses versus merit increases, but that he preferred to wait a couple of months before making a determination so that there was some understanding of the budgeting process. Commissioner Mann agreed. Mr. Mulhere said that is a little bit different than going to the bonus option. He suggested that Ms. Wuerstle conduct the employee evaluations and quantify this amount as opposed to currently, as there is no quantification, but just an overall amount. Then come back with a real quantification and have that discussion during that point in time, which will probably be about two months from now. We would not need to amend the budget at this time, but defer it until we have a preliminary budget for next year, once you have conducted the employee evaluations and quantified a dollar amount.

Ms. Wuerstle said that it may be lower, but would like to check with the Council's CPA to see if the budget amendments had to be approved at this time or can they wait for two months. Mr. Cordell explained that it is the discretion of the Council, the budget amendments could wait but he would prefer to have them established at this point in time. He feels that the foundation for the request is solid.

Mr. Karau asked Ms. Wuerstle if the Council approved the merit increases/bonuses, does it include the increases for benefits. Ms. Wuerstle explained that she had broken those down in the proposed budget.

Ms. Wuerstle said that the Council could approve her recommendations with the condition that it is a bonus and not a merit increase that would be carried forward.

Vice Mayor Congress stated that he would be much more supportive of a one-time bonus until we know what the upcoming budget could support. Ms. Wuerstle said that she would then bring that before the Council when she presents both the budget and work plan in the next budget cycle.

Councilman Burch said that he agreed with Vice Mayor Congress. He said what he doesn't want to see is “brain drain” with the employees as he has seen it in Cape Coral.

Ms. Wuerstle stated that staff has worked very hard in the last two years and she wants to provide an incentive for them to keep the pace up. Many of them are doing work of two or three people due to the layoffs that were done and that is a lot to ask of staff. She would be happy with the bonus at this point in time and then will bring before a budget with longer term increases.
Councilman McKeon stated that it is a two-way street and the reason behind the "asking before giving" the 3% merit increase is because staff has stuck with us through some really lean times. He is in support of the proposed amendment.

Councilman Burch made a motion to approve a one-time bonus and have the Executive Director formulate next year's budget with possible merit increases.

Mr. Perry asked for clarification that the motion was to approve the proposed budget amendment and giving direction to the executive director to review merit bonuses versus merit increases and then look at merit increases with next year's budget. The Council is not approving a bonus or merit increase, but simply approving a move in the budget so that the executive director has a defined amount to work with.

Chair Heitmann said that was correct.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Perry.

Commissioner Mann asked when the last bonuses were given. Ms. Wuerstle said that they were given on September 30 of last year, which would make it in the FY2013 budget.

Councilman McKeon asked for clarification on if the motion passes then the Council has authorized the executive director to distribute bonuses for the current fiscal year along with all of the other proposed amendments. The proposed funds for a salary increase will now go towards bonuses. Ms. Wuerstle said that is correct, instead of giving merit increases as proposed the money would go towards bonuses.

The motion passed with one opposed.

Ms. Wuerstle said that she would like to cancel the July meeting.

Commissioner Mann made a motion to cancel the July 17 SWFRPC meeting. Commissioner Hines seconded that motion and the motion carried unanimously.

Ms. Wuerstle said that her second request was to move the August meeting from August 21 to August 7 as the Council's budget and work plan will need to be adopted by August 15.

Commissioner Mann made a motion to move the August SWFRPC meeting from August 21 to August 7. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Davis.

Commissioner Constance noted that the Gulf Consortium is scheduled to meet on August 7 and also the Florida Association of Counties Board Retreat.

Chair Heitmann directed staff to conduct polling in order to see if there will be a quorum on August 7, if not then move it to August 14.

Commissioner Mann said that motion stands subject to the results of the polling for August 7. The motion carried.
Ms. Wuerstle announced that the IMCP Designation application had been submitted along with the USDOT TIGER Planning Grant scheduled to be submitted on April 25.

Chair Heitmann presented an award to Mr. John Gibbons of staff for his 25 years of service to the SWFRPC. Mr. Gibbons stated that the award was a great surprise and honor.

Ms. Wuerstle noted that an email was distributed, along with a press release on the new economic development tools. She asked Ms. Pellechio to give a brief overview of the Florida Scorecard which was developed in conjunction with FRCA and the Florida Chamber. Ms. Pellechio explained to the members that they could access the information on www.floridascore.com or there is a link on the Council's website www.swfrpc.org

Ms. Wuerstle noted that FRCA did extensively discuss the MOU at their last meeting. There were items which they did agree to and some where they didn’t. FRCA suggested making recommendations to revise their by-laws in order to incorporate some of the issues and then take it to their Policy Board. The reason for this was not to have one MOU with one of the RPCs, but would like to have all of the RPCs be consistent. Last time it took two years to get the MOU approved.

Councilman Burch asked Ms. Wuerstle if FRCA already had by-laws and if so are they subject to change. Ms. Wuerstle said that FRCA does have a set of by-laws and in order to amend they have to go through their Policy Board. She said if any member has comments or recommendations to send them to her because FRCA is still working on the proposed amendments.

AGENDA ITEM #8(a)
Grant Activity Sheet

No discussion; informational item only.

AGENDA ITEM #9
CONSENT AGENDA

Mr. McCormick made a motion to approve the consent agenda; Commissioner Mann seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

AGENDA ITEM #10
REGIONAL IMPACT

Mr. Crawford gave a PowerPoint presentation on the following items.

AGENDA ITEM #10(a)
Lee County Comprehensive Plan Amendment (DEO 14-4ESR)

A motion was made by Commissioner Mann to approve staff recommendations. 1. Approve staff comments; and 2. Authorize staff to forward comments to the Department
of Economic Opportunity and Lee County. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Davis; the motion carried unanimously.

AGENDA ITEM #10(b)
Sarasota County Comprehensive Plan Amendment (DEO 14-4ESR)

A motion was made by Commissioner Hines to approve staff recommendations. 1. Approve staff comments; and 2. Authorize staff to forward comments to the Department of Economic Opportunity and the Sarasota County. The motion was seconded by Councilman Banks; the motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Reynolds noted that he would be abstaining from voting on Item #10(c) and 10(d).

AGENDA ITEM #10(c)
Villages of Lakewood Ranch South DRI - NOPC

A motion was made by Commissioner Hines to approve staff recommendations. 1. Notify Sarasota County, the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO) and the applicant that regional staff recommends approval of the proposed changes and that Council finds that the requests are not a substantial deviation; and 2. Request that Sarasota County provide staff with copies of any development order amendments related to the proposed changes not contained in the NOPC, as well as any additional information requested of the applicant by DEO or the county. The motion was seconded by Councilman McKeon; the motion carried with Mr. Reynolds abstaining.

AGENDA ITEM #10(d)
Collier County – Winding Cypress DRI – Development Order Review

Commissioner Constance stated that he found it very interesting with the way that the development has been laid out. It seemed like the developer got away from having the huge lake in the center of the development and going to some sort of “winding fingers” so there will be a lot more waterfront. He then asked if that sort of development will be what will be seen in future developments. Mr. Crawford explained that it is called the “DiVosta” style of development. They have done at least half-dozen of large scale developments with that style. They maximize the frontage on the lakes for their units and he believed that there will be more as DiVosta does their developments in Southwest Florida, because they like that style of development. One interesting point is that they place sidewalks around the lakes behind the houses, which isn’t done very often.

Mr. Mulhere stated that because of the success that DiVosta has had with their design, they are creating a greater premium and more attraction for that type of development; many other developers are either amending or reviewing other designs to maximize the space. There are two issues to take into consideration, the amount of fill it would take because fill is expensive and secondly, there is a greater premium on a waterfront lot than a non-waterfront lot.

Councilman Burch stated that the design was a smaller version of Cape Coral.
A motion was made by Councilman McKeon to accept the development order as rendered and notify the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity and Collier County. The motion was seconded by Mr. Mulhere; the motion carried with Mr. Reynolds abstaining.

Commissioner Hines commended Mr. Crawford for his presentation and explanation.

AGENDA ITEM #11(a)
Florida Energy Resiliency Presentation

Ms. Jennifer Pellechio gave a presentation on Florida Energy Resiliency.

Mr. Mulhere asked if the report has been distributed to both the counties and cities. Ms. Pellechio explained that it has. The document is available on the SWFRPC website at http://www.swfrpc.org/content/Resources/Publications/Energy_Resiliency.pdf or the www.florida.org she then noted that the other RPCs are also promoting the executive summary.

Chair Heitmann asked Ms. Pellechio if it was possible to send the report to all of the cities and counties throughout the region. Ms. Pellechio explained that staff could burn CDs and make them available. She said that staff would also be available to go give a presentation.

Councilman Burch stated that he will be bringing the report back to his city council. It is our responsibility to pass the information onto our city councils and county commissions.

AGENDA ITEM #12(a)
Budget & Finance Committee Report

Councilman McKeon stated that the issues discussed at the committee meeting had been discussed earlier in the meeting.

Chair Heitmann referred to earlier discussion regarding merit increases for staff and she apologized for having that discussion earlier and not during the committee report. She indicated that the issue was reviewed at the meeting and the committee made recommendations to be forwarded to the full Council.

Chair Heitmann asked for clarification on the motion where the bonuses wouldn’t go into effect until September 2014. Mr. Mulhere stated that wasn’t correct, Ms. Wuerste could disburse the bonuses when she conducted the staff evaluations of each staff member. Chair Heitmann stated that the deadline for the evaluations and bonuses would be September 2014. Ms. Wuerste agreed.

AGENDA ITEM #12(b)
Economic Development Committee

Councilman Banks stated that the committee didn’t meet since the last meeting. The next meeting will be held in early May.
Both Chair Heitmann and Councilman Burch thanked Councilman Banks for his leadership as Chair of the committee and his support.

AGENDA ITEM #12(c)  
Energy & Climate Committee

Mr. McCormick gave the committee report.

AGENDA ITEM #12(d)  
Estero Bay Agency on Bay Management Committee

No report was given at this time.

AGENDA ITEM #12(f)  
Legislative Affairs Committee

Vice Mayor Congress gave the committee report.

Vice Mayor Congress stated that the committee needed representatives from Hendry and Sarasota Counties.

Chair Heitmann asked Vice Mayor Congress how the Council could be more pro-active. Vice Mayor Congress explained that he has been watching the session and so has the members of the committee along with staff. Chair Heitmann suggested that if something came up suddenly to send out a “legislative alert” on that issue.

Councilman Burch stated that everyone agrees that water is a regional issue and for Southwest Florida it is our life line. He referred page 166 which covered the governor’s 2014-15 Policy and Budget recommendations.

Councilman McKeon announced that a training session was held for both the Venice City Council and Planning Commission which described the process and procedures of a planning commission. He noted that there was a graphic showing that Florida currently had 19 million people and it also showed the projections for 2060 where Florida is proposed to double in population. The population was primarily from just north of Tampa down to Naples. He said that his concern was if there was going to be enough water to sustain such a population.

Commissioner Hines encouraged Councilman Burch to look into the Donna Bay project (Cow Pen Slough) of Sarasota County. He said that is consists of one canal, Cow Pen Slough, and it was dredged to drain a lot of mid-Sarasota County for agriculture. It is a long canal which dumps water into Donna Bay, goes both north and south which affects both Sarasota Bay and Charlotte Harbor. It is totally untreated water and it has killed an entire bay. The good news is the water can be diverted and placed into a surface water storage area. The better news is the county just found out last week that the water can be treated for drinking water, which decreases the need to pull water from the aquifers. Also, the overflow or excess water can be used for agriculture purposes.
AGENDA ITEM #12(g)
Quality of Life & Safety Committee

Vice Mayor Shaw gave the committee report, including the preliminary results from the recent survey.

Mr. Mulhere asked if the survey was online. It was explained that the survey was sent out by staff by regular mail.

Chair Heitmann asked what jurisdictions needed to have representatives on the committee. Vice Mayor Shaw indicated that there were currently four committee members. He explained that the members did not need to be elected officials, but active community members.

AGENDA ITEM #12(h)
Regional Transportation Committee

Commissioner Hines stated that he had no report at this time.

Councilman Banks volunteered to be a member of the committee.

AGENDA ITEM #12(e)
Executive Committee

Chair Heitmann gave the committee report; she noted that topics of discussion included the executive director’s contract and performance evaluation.

Chair Heitmann asked the Council members if they wished to discuss the executive director’s contract with or without staff being present. She emphasized that it would be a good time for the Council to discuss her contract, duties, and any recommendations for moving forward. She noted that the Executive Committee did review the contract and if the Council would be comfortable with the Executive Committee’s presentation, she would report the committee’s recommendations.

Councilman Banks requested that staff other than the executive director leave the room in order for the Council to discuss the executive director’s contract.

At this time all staff exited the room. After the Council’s discussion staff was brought back into the meeting.

A motion was made by Mr. McCormick to approve the Executive Committee’s recommendations regarding the Executive Director’s contract. The motion was seconded by Mr. Mulhere and carried unanimously.
AGENDA ITEM #13
NEW BUSINESS

No new business was discussed at this time.

AGENDA ITEM #14
STATE AGENCIES COMMENTS/REPORTS

No agency reports were given at this time.

AGENDA ITEM #15
COUNCIL ATTORNEY’S COMMENTS

Counsel McCabe stated that he had no report at this time.

AGENDA ITEM #16
COUNCIL MEMBERS’ COMMENTS

Commissioner Storter-Long announced that Glades County was seeking to hire a new Community Development Director.

Chair Heitmann said that Mr. Karau had requested to be appointed to the Energy & Climate Committee. At that time she appointed him.

AGENDA ITEM #17
ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 10:53 a.m.

Mr. Don McCormick, Secretary

The meeting was duly advertised in the April 7, 2014 issue of the FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE REGISTER, Volume 40, Number 67.
WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office MUST ABSTAIN from voting on a measure which inures to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; and

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

Although you must abstain from voting in the situations described above, you otherwise may participate in these matters. However, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

* You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes. (Continued on other side)
APPOINTED OFFICERS (continued)

- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

---

**DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST**

I, **ALAN D. REYNOLDS**, hereby disclose that on **APRIL 17, 2014**:

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one)

- [ ] inure to my special private gain or loss;
- [ ] inure to the special gain or loss of my business associate, ________________________________;
- [ ] inure to the special gain or loss of my relative, ________________________________;
- [ ] inure to the special gain or loss of ________________________________, by whom I am retained; or
- [ ] inure to the special gain or loss of ________________________________, which is the parent organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

**PLEASE REFER TO ATTACHMENT.**

---

**APRIL 15, 2014**

Date Filed

[Signature]

---

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED $10,000.
DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER’S INTEREST

I, Alan D. Reynolds, hereby disclose that on April 17, 2014, certain matters will come before the Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council, as Agenda Items 10c), and 10d).

The owner of the subject property and/or applicant is a client of Stantec Consulting Services, Inc., my employer, and Stantec is providing consulting services for the project.

As such, I will be abstaining from voting on and participating in any discussions relative to these matters.

Respectfully,

[Signature]  

[Signature]  

Alan D. Reynolds  

Date: April 15, 2014