COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA
April 20, 2017
9:00am – 11:30am

Mission Statement:
To work together across neighboring communities to consistently protect and improve the unique and relatively unspoiled character of the physical, economic and social worlds we share...for the benefit of our future generations.

1 INVOCATION
2 PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
3 ROLL CALL
4 PUBLIC COMMENTS
5 AGENDA
6 MINUTES OF THE MARCH 16, 2017 MEETING (WILL BE IN THE MAY PACKET)
7 DIRECTOR’S REPORT
   a) FRCA Monthly Report-March
   b) 2016 FRCA Overview Presentation
8 STAFF SUMMARIES
   a) Grant Activity Sheet (Information Only)
9 CONSENT AGENDA
   a) Intergovernmental Coordination and Review
   b) Glades County Comp Plan Amendment DEO 17-1ESR
   c) City of Cape Coral Comp Plan Amendment DEO 17-1ESR
   d) City of Bonita Springs Comp Plan Amendment DEO 17-1ESR
   e) City of Bonita Springs Comp Plan Amendment DEO 17-2ESR
   f) Town of Longboat Key Comp Plan Amendment DEO 17-1ESR
10 REGIONAL IMPACT
   a) Palmer Ranch Increment XXVI Pre-App Checklist
   b) Palmer Ranch Increment XXVII Pre-App Checklist
   c) Pine Air Lakes NOPC
11 COMMITTEE REPORTS
   a) Budget & Finance Committee - Mayor Willie Shaw
      - Financial Statements for February 2017
   b) Economic Development Committee – Councilman Forrest Banks
   c) Energy & Climate Committee – Mr. Don McCormick
   d) Estero Bay Agency on Bay Management Committee – Mr. James

Two or more members of the Peace River Basin Management Advisory Committee and Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program may be in attendance and may discuss matters that could come before the Peace River Basin Management Advisory Committee and Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program, respectively, for consideration.

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), any person requiring special accommodations to participate in this meeting should contact the Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council 48 hours prior to the meeting by calling (239) 338-2550; if you are hearing or speech impaired call (800) 955-8770 Voice/(800) 955-8771 TDD.
Two or more members of the Peace River Basin Management Advisory Committee and Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program may be in attendance and may discuss matters that could come before the Peace River Basin Management Advisory Committee and Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program, respectively, for consideration.

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), any person requiring special accommodations to participate in this meeting should contact the Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council 48 hours prior to the meeting by calling (239) 338-2550; if you are hearing or speech impaired call (800) 955-8770 Voice/(800) 955-8771 TDD.
## SOUTHWEST FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL MEMBERSHIP

### OFFICERS

| Councilman Jim Burch, Chair | Mr. Thomas Perry, Vice-Chair |
| Councilman Forrest Banks, Secretary | Mayor Willie Shaw, Treasurer |

### CHARLOTTE COUNTY

- Commissioner Joe Tiseo, Charlotte BCC
- Commissioner Ken Doherty, Charlotte BCC
- Councilwoman Lynne Matthews, City of Punta Gorda
- Mr. Donald McCormick, Governor Appointee
- Ms. Suzanne Graham, Governor Appointee

### COLLIER COUNTY

- Commissioner Bill McDaniel, Collier BCC
- Commissioner Penny Taylor, Collier BCC
- Councilman Reg Buxton, City of Naples
- Mr. Robert “Bob” Mulhere, Governor Appointee (City of Marco Island Vacancy)

### GLADES COUNTY

- Commissioner Donna Storter-Long, Glades BCC
- Commissioner Donald Streth, Glades BCC
- Councilwoman Pat Lucas, City of Moore Haven
- Mr. Thomas Perry, Governor Appointee

### HENDRY COUNTY

- Commissioner Karson Turner, Hendry BCC
- Commissioner Mitchell Wills, Hendry BCC
- Vice-Mayor Michael Atkinson, City of Clewiston
- Commissioner Julie Wilkins, City of LaBelle
- Mr. Mel Karau, Governor Appointee

### LEE COUNTY

- Commissioner Frank Mann, Lee BCC
- Commissioner Cecil Pendergrass, Lee BCC
- Councilman Jim Burch, City of Cape Coral
- Councilman Forrest Banks, City of Fort Myers
- Councilmember Anita Cereceda, Town of Fort Myers Beach
- Vice-Mayor Mick Denham, City of Sanibel
- Councilman Greg DeWitt, City of Bonita Springs
- Councilman Jim Wilson, Village of Estero
- Ms. Laura Holquist, Governor Appointee (Governor Appointee Vacancy)

### SARASOTA COUNTY

- Commissioner Mike Moran, Sarasota BCC
- Commissioner Charles Hines, Sarasota BCC
- Councilmember Debbie McDowell, City of North Port
- Mayor Willie Shaw, City of Sarasota
- Mr. Felipe Colon, Governor Appointee
- (Governor Appointee Vacancy)

### EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS

- Jon Iglehart, FDEP
- Steve Walls, FDOT
- Phil Flood, SFWMD
- Tara Poulton, SWFWMD

### STAFF

- Margaret Wuerstle, Executive Director
- Beth Nightingale, Legal Consultant

- James Beever
- Nichole Gwinnett
- Rebekah Harp
- Charles Kammerer
- Timothy Walker
SOUTHWEST FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL (SWFRPC) ACRONYMS

ABM - Agency for Bay Management - Estero Bay Agency on Bay Management

ADA - Application for Development Approval

ADA - Americans with Disabilities Act

AMDA - Application for Master Development Approval

BEBR - Bureau of Economic Business and Research at the University of Florida

BLID - Binding Letter of DRI Status

BLIM - Binding Letter of Modification to a DRI with Vested Rights

BLIVR - Binding Letter of Vested Rights Status

BPCC - Bicycle/Pedestrian Coordinating Committee

CAC - Citizens Advisory Committee

CAO - City/County Administrator Officers

CDBG - Community Development Block Grant

CDC - Certified Development Corporation (a.k.a. RDC)

CEDS - Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (a.k.a. OEDP)

CHNEP - Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program

CTC - Community Transportation Coordinator

CTD - Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged

CUTR - Center for Urban Transportation Research

DEO - Department of Economic Opportunity

DEP - Department of Environmental Protection
DO - Development Order
DOPA - Designated Official Planning Agency (i.e. MPO, RPC, County, etc.)
EDA - Economic Development Administration
EDC - Economic Development Coalition
EDD - Economic Development District
EPA – Environmental Protection Agency
FAC - Florida Association of Counties
FACTS - Florida Association of CTCs
FAR - Florida Administrative Register (formerly Florida Administrative Weekly)
FCTS - Florida Coordinated Transportation System
FDC&F - Florida Department of Children and Families (a.k.a. HRS)
FDEA - Florida Department of Elder Affairs
FDLES - Florida Department of Labor and Employment Security
FDOT - Florida Department of Transportation
FHREDI - Florida Heartland Rural Economic Development Initiative
FIAM – Fiscal Impact Analysis Model
FLC - Florida League of Cities
FQD - Florida Quality Development
FRCA - Florida Regional Planning Councils Association
FTA - Florida Transit Association
IC&R - Intergovernmental Coordination and Review
IFAS - Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences at the University of Florida
JLCB - Joint Local Coordinating Boards of Glades & Hendry Counties
JPA - Joint Participation Agreement
JSA - Joint Service Area of Glades & Hendry Counties
LCB - Local Coordinating Board for the Transportation Disadvantaged
LEPC - Local Emergency Planning Committee
MOA - Memorandum of Agreement
MPO - Metropolitan Planning Organization
MPOAC - Metropolitan Planning Organization Advisory Council
MPOCAC - Metropolitan Planning Organization Citizens Advisory Committee
MPOTAC - Metropolitan Planning Organization Technical Advisory Committee
NADO – National Association of Development Organizations
NARC - National Association of Regional Councils
NOPC - Notice of Proposed Change
OEDP - Overall Economic Development Program
PDA - Preliminary Development Agreement
REMI – Regional Economic Modeling Incorporated
RFB - Request for Bids
RFI – Request for Invitation
RFP - Request for Proposals
RPC - Regional Planning Council
SHIP - State Housing Initiatives Partnership
SRPP – Strategic Regional Policy Plan
TAC - Technical Advisory Committee
TDC - Transportation Disadvantaged Commission (a.k.a. CTD)
TDPN - Transportation Disadvantaged Planners Network
TDSP - Transportation Disadvantaged Service Plan
USDA - US Department of Agriculture
WMD - Water Management District (SFWMD and SWFWMD)
Regional Planning Council
Functions and Programs

March 4, 2011

- **Economic Development Districts**: Regional planning councils are designated as Economic Development Districts by the U. S. Economic Development Administration. From January 2003 to August 2010, the U. S. Economic Development Administration invested $66 million in 60 projects in the State of Florida to create/retain 13,700 jobs and leverage $1 billion in private capital investment. Regional planning councils provide technical support to businesses and economic developers to promote regional job creation strategies.

- **Emergency Preparedness and Statewide Regional Evacuation**: Regional planning councils have special expertise in emergency planning and were the first in the nation to prepare a Statewide Regional Evacuation Study using a uniform report format and transportation evacuation modeling program. Regional planning councils have been preparing regional evacuation plans since 1981. Products in addition to evacuation studies include Post Disaster Redevelopment Plans, Hazard Mitigation Plans, Continuity of Operations Plans and Business Disaster Planning Kits.

- **Local Emergency Planning**: Local Emergency Planning Committees are staffed by regional planning councils and provide a direct relationship between the State and local businesses. Regional planning councils provide thousands of hours of training to local first responders annually. Local businesses have developed a trusted working relationship with regional planning council staff.

- **Homeland Security**: Regional planning council staff is a source of low cost, high quality planning and training experts that support counties and State agencies when developing a training course or exercise. Regional planning councils provide cost effective training to first responders, both public and private, in the areas of Hazardous Materials, Hazardous Waste, Incident Command, Disaster Response, Pre- and Post-Disaster Planning, Continuity of Operations and Governance. Several regional planning councils house Regional Domestic Security Task Force planners.

- **Multipurpose Regional Organizations**: Regional planning councils are Florida's only multipurpose regional entities that plan for and coordinate intergovernmental solutions on multi-jurisdictional issues, support regional economic development and provide assistance to local governments.

- **Problem Solving Forum**: Issues of major importance are often the subject of regional planning council-sponsored workshops. Regional planning councils have convened regional summits and workshops on issues such as workforce housing, response to hurricanes, visioning and job creation.

- **Implementation of Community Planning**: Regional planning councils develop and maintain Strategic Regional Policy Plans to guide growth and development focusing on economic development, emergency preparedness, transportation, affordable housing and resources of regional significance. In addition, regional planning councils provide coordination and review of various programs such as Local Government Comprehensive Plans, Developments of Regional Impact and Power Plant Ten-year Siting Plans. Regional planning council reviewers have the local knowledge to conduct reviews efficiently and provide State agencies reliable local insight.
• **Local Government Assistance:** Regional planning councils are also a significant source of cost effective, high quality planning experts for communities, providing technical assistance in areas such as: grant writing, mapping, community planning, plan review, procurement, dispute resolution, economic development, marketing, statistical analysis, and information technology. Several regional planning councils provide staff for transportation planning organizations, natural resource planning and emergency preparedness planning.

• **Return on Investment:** Every dollar invested by the State through annual appropriation in regional planning councils generates 11 dollars in local, federal and private direct investment to meet regional needs.

• **Quality Communities Generate Economic Development:** Businesses and individuals choose locations based on the quality of life they offer. Regional planning councils help regions compete nationally and globally for investment and skilled personnel.

• **Multidisciplinary Viewpoint:** Regional planning councils provide a comprehensive, multidisciplinary view of issues and a forum to address regional issues cooperatively. Potential impacts on the community from development activities are vetted to achieve win-win solutions as council members represent business, government and citizen interests.

• **Coordinators and Conveners:** Regional planning councils provide a forum for regional collaboration to solve problems and reduce costly inter-jurisdictional disputes.

• **Federal Consistency Review:** Regional planning councils provide required Federal Consistency Review, ensuring access to hundreds of millions of federal infrastructure and economic development investment dollars annually.

• **Economies of Scale:** Regional planning councils provide a cost-effective source of technical assistance to local governments, small businesses and non-profits.

• **Regional Approach:** Cost savings are realized in transportation, land use and infrastructure when addressed regionally. A regional approach promotes vibrant economies while reducing unproductive competition among local communities.

• **Sustainable Communities:** Federal funding is targeted to regions that can demonstrate they have a strong framework for regional cooperation.

• **Economic Data and Analysis:** Regional planning councils are equipped with state of the art econometric software and have the ability to provide objective economic analysis on policy and investment decisions.

• **Small Quantity Hazardous Waste Generators:** The Small Quantity Generator program ensures the proper handling and disposal of hazardous waste generated at the county level. Often smaller counties cannot afford to maintain a program without imposing large fees on local businesses. Many counties have lowered or eliminated fees, because regional planning council programs realize economies of scale, provide businesses a local contact regarding compliance questions and assistance and provide training and information regarding management of hazardous waste.

• **Regional Visioning and Strategic Planning:** Regional planning councils are conveners of regional visions that link economic development, infrastructure, environment, land use and transportation into long term investment plans. Strategic planning for communities and organizations defines actions critical to successful change and resource investments.

• **Geographic Information Systems and Data Clearinghouse:** Regional planning councils are leaders in geographic information systems mapping and data support systems. Many local governments rely on regional planning councils for these services.
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Director’s Report
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT: April 20, 2017

Mission Statement:
To work together across neighboring communities to consistently protect and improve the unique and relatively unspoiled character of the physical, economic and social worlds we share...for the benefit of our future generations.

1. Management / Operations

   a. Budget
      • February Financials
      • 2016 Audit
   b. Affordable Housing Workshop -- April 20, 2017

2. Resource Development and Capacity Building

   • Annual report presentations to Glades County, Hendry County, City of Sarasota and City of North Port
   • CEDS discussions with Naples Chamber of Commerce, Punta Gorda Chamber of Commerce, and Sarasota Chamber of Commerce
   • FRCA Update
      ▪ $15,000
      ▪ FRCA PowerPoint
   • Promise Zone Update
      ▪ Vista Volunteers; Job Description posted
      ▪ $2 Million awarded in grants
      ▪ $3 Million pending


   • Grants Awarded:
      ✓ DEO grant for Labelle Marketing Brochure  $20,000 Approved
      ✓ The Promise Zone designation was approved for Hendry County, Glades County and Immokalee
      ✓ EPA Wetland Mitigation Strategy, $146,067 (over 2 years)
      ✓ HMEP, $60,349
      ✓ Pelican Cove Climate Adaptation Plan, $20,000
      ✓ EDA Technical Planning Grant - CEDS, $300,000 (over 3 years)
      ✓ City of Cape Coral Climate Change Resiliency Strategy - $30,600
✓ Sarasota Pelican Cove Resiliency - $20,000
✓ Approximately $25,000 in extension/carryover of last year grants
✓ USDA Rural Business Development Grant for relocation of utilities in Clewiston - $3000 for grant preparation

• Grants Under Development
  ✓ EPA Environmental Education local grants program $91,000
  ✓ Kresge grant for City of Clewiston public art placemaking grant

• Grants Pending: $863,192 approximately
  ✓ NEA, Artworks grant for a Regional Strategy for Enhancing Public Art, $75,000
  ✓ USDA Farmers Market Planning Grant for Clewiston $67,496
  ✓ Farms to School grant ; $88,696
  ✓ Brownfields grant; $600,000
  ✓ FHERO -Regional Rural Development Grant; $12,000
  ✓ DEP 319 Grant for Redwing Groves $1,604,000; RPC= $20,000
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FRCA Monthly Report-March

7a

7a
MONTHLY ACTIVITY REPORT: February 2017

RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT/CAPACITY BUILDING and OUTREACH

- Formatted and distributed the February, 2017 Florida Regional Councils Association (FRCA) Newsletter; began collecting articles for the March, 2017 Newsletter.
- Worked with the Florida Association of Counties to further develop the email listserv for the more than 2,500 individuals who receive the FRCA Newsletter.
- To enhance partnerships and strengthen the relationship between regional planning councils and their state and federal partners, participated in or attended the following meetings:
  - Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) Additional Lands Feasibility Study – RPC Workshop February 1\textsuperscript{st}
  - American Planning Association Public Policy Workshop, February 8\textsuperscript{th}
  - Rural Economic Development Initiative (REDI) Monthly Meeting, February 17\textsuperscript{th}
  - DEP Additional Lands Feasibility Study – RPC Planning Session, February 17\textsuperscript{th}
  - REMI Economic Development Model Luncheon, February 21\textsuperscript{st}
- Worked on developing submission for a NOAA grant with South Florida RPC for a potential statewide project

ASSOCIATION MANAGEMENT

- Worked with the Florida Association of Counties to populate the updated FRCA Website with past meeting agendas, summaries and monthly newsletters.
- Prepared for and participated in the February 9-10, 2017 Executive Directors Advisory Council (EDAC) and Policy Board meetings held in Tallahassee.
- Finalized the logistics, secured speakers, developed agendas, and drafted meeting materials for the March 9-10, 2017 FRCA EDAC and Partners meetings.
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2016 FRCA Overview Presentation
The FRCA is an alliance of Florida’s 10 Regional Planning Councils (RPCs) and serves to enhance regional prosperity throughout the state. RPCs are multi-purpose entities that partner with local governments, as well as state and federal agencies, to solve problems at the regional level and help communities grow.
The FRCA, through RPCs, leverages resources and expertise — *based on more than 50 years of experience* — to help communities address challenges and make the most of opportunities.
The FRCA is governed by a Policy Board that meets to discuss issues affecting Florida’s RPCs. Each RPC selects from its membership two elected officials and one governor’s appointee to serve on the FRCA Policy Board.
### Statewide Impact by the Numbers (from 2005 to 2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Through RPCs, the U.S. EDA Invested</td>
<td><strong>$74.9M</strong></td>
<td><strong>5.6K</strong> Jobs Created, <strong>+10K</strong> Jobs Retained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The RPCs, have contributed</td>
<td><strong>$42.0M</strong></td>
<td>To grow and retain Florida businesses and jobs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The RPCs, have trained</td>
<td><strong>38,000</strong></td>
<td>And other emergency management personnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The RPCs, have reviewed</td>
<td><strong>+12,600</strong></td>
<td>Under the federal consistency review program, equals hundreds of millions of investment dollars</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 Primary Focus Areas

- Economic Development
- Transportation
- Emergency Preparedness
- Quality of Life
- Regional Conveners
Economic Development

- Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS)
- Collaboration with Economic Development Organizations
- Economic Impact Analyses Modeling (REMI)
- Post Disaster Redevelopment Planning
- Revolving Loan Funds
Transportation

- Transportation Disadvantaged Program
- Metropolitan/Transportation Planning Organizations
- Complete Streets Planning
- Safe Routes to School Initiatives
- Regional Greenways and Trails Planning
Emergency Preparedness

- Emergency Management Planning and Exercises
- Hazardous Materials
  - Training for First Responders
  - Reporting
- Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC)
- Statewide Regional Evacuation Studies
Quality of Life

- Geographic Information Systems (GIS) Mapping
- US Census Data Center
- Grant Writing and Administration
- Visioning and Community Planning
- Health Initiatives
- Brownfields Programs
- Affordable Housing Initiatives
- Natural Resource Coordination Activities
Regional Conveners

- Federal Clearinghouse Review
- Strategic Regional Policy Plan
- Visioning and Sustainable Regional Plan Initiatives
- Developments of Regional Impact
- Dispute Resolution
- Regional Leadership
- Public Engagement
Cooperative RPC Projects

- Statewide Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS)
- Statewide Regional Evacuation Study
- Broadband Planning
- Florida Energy Assurance Study
- Coastal Resiliency Modeling and Training
National Awards
For Cooperative Projects

- Statewide Regional Evacuation Studies
  - American Planning Association (APA)
  - National Association of Development Organizations (NADO)

- Regional Broadband Studies
  - National Association of Regional Councils (NARC)
  - National Association of Development Organizations (NADO)
  - Florida Planning and Zoning Association (FPZA)

- Statewide Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS)
  - National Association of Development Organization (NADO)
  - National Association of Regional Councils (NARC)
  - American Planning Association--Florida
Partnerships

---

Florida Chamber of Commerce
FAC - Florida Association of Counties
DEO - Florida Department of Economic Opportunity
FDOT - Florida Department of Transportation
FERT - Florida Emergency Response Team
FLORIDA LEAGUE OF CITIES INC.
STATE COUNTY COALITION
FRCA
Florida’s
10
Regional Planning Councils
Over 400 private sector jobs have been created as a direct result of the ARPC Revolving Loan Fund for small business.
APALACHEE RPC
Medical Reserve Corps

Coordinates more than 50 licensed medical volunteers who augment local community health and medical services during disasters and other public health activities.
Drawing attention to the needs of the community and provide a road map for public and private investment through brownfields areawide planning.
Protecting public health, safety and welfare while safeguarding the ability of the military services and homeland security agencies on Avon Park Air Force Range through a Joint Land Use Study
Creating detailed models for assistance of decision making in Sea-level rise, Indian River Lagoon, and Safe Routes to Schools
Managed $2.4 million grant to plan for sustainable transit oriented development around SunRail station locations.
The Council provided staff services to The Original Florida Tourism Task Force to promote the region for nature, culture, and heritage-based tourism.
The Council provides staff services to the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area and staff support to the Transportation Coordinating Boards.
Addressing the “business case” for resiliency and sea-level rise/climate change
Since 2007 over 200 graduates have learned about issues in the seven-county region through the Regional Leadership Academy.
Community Development Financial Institution will administer small business loans statewide in partnership with the Urban League.
SOUTH FLORIDA RC
Coastal Management

Administration of $165K grant to provide training on new techniques to forecast and prepare for coastal flooding and sea level rise throughout Florida
Map Existing Assets, Develop a Regional Strategy for Enhancing Public Art and Cultural Venues, and Publish Southwest Florida’s Public Art and Cultural Venues Tour Guide as a Computer Application
This method of calculating Total Ecosystem Value (TEV) was an original model developed by the SWFRPC.
TAMPA BAY RPC
Coast to Coast Trail

Developed atlas, branding and design overlay for the Coast to Coast trail from Titusville to St. Petersburg in partnership with the East Central RPC
TAMPA BAY RPC
Energy Summit

The Energy Summit offered Elected Officials Panel and engagement with major utilities and alternative energy
TREASURE COAST RPC
Complete Streets Program

Working with local governments, MPOs, FDOT Districts, and the public on transforming state and local roads into top performers for safety, mobility, economic development and return on investment for all users.
TREASURE COAST RPC
Seven-County Greenways and Trails

Bringing the region’s local governments and citizens together, with state and federal organizations to develop a seven-county comprehensive plan and mapping of greenways, trails, bicycle facilities, and saltwater/freshwater paddling trails.
WEST FLORIDA RPC
Brownfields Forum

Over 70 attendees from local government, development companies, and engineering consultants learned about Brownfields Program on June 11, 2015
Over 100 participants attended the third annual Transportation Symposium in November of 2015. Updates on alternative fuels and energy, technology, and transportation and health were presented.
LEVERAGING partnerships to build a strong economy and improve the lives of all FLORIDIANS
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Grant Activity Sheet (Information Only)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Funding Agency</th>
<th>Grant Name</th>
<th>Project Mgr</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>App Due Date</th>
<th>Date Submitted</th>
<th>Date Awarded/Denied</th>
<th>Date Contract Signed</th>
<th>Project Total</th>
<th>RPC Amt</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Deliverables</th>
<th>Total Match Amt-RPC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>App In Progress</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>EDA - Economic Development Administration</td>
<td>Public Works and Economic Adjustment Program</td>
<td>Jason Stoltzfus</td>
<td>Management of Promise Zone Initiative</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Management and coordination of the Southwest Florida Promise Zone initiative.</td>
<td>$531,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>USDA - US Dept. of Agriculture</td>
<td>Farmers Market and Local Food Promotion Program</td>
<td>Jason Stoltzfus</td>
<td>Clewiston Regional Farmers Market</td>
<td>12/27/2017</td>
<td>12/27/2017</td>
<td>$67,496</td>
<td>$67,496</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Products of this study will include a market analysis, site assessment, vendor outreach, site assessment, financial analysis, and a written plan.</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>EPA-Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td>FY17 Brownfields Assessment Program</td>
<td>Jason Stoltzfus</td>
<td>Southwest Florida Brownfields Coalition</td>
<td>12/12/2016</td>
<td>12/12/2017</td>
<td>$600,000.00</td>
<td>$600,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Brownfield assessments and cleanup planning throughout Promise Zone region.</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>USDA - US Dept. of Agriculture</td>
<td>Farm to School Grant Program</td>
<td>Jason Stoltzfus</td>
<td>Opportunity Buy Program</td>
<td>12/8/2016</td>
<td>12/8/2016</td>
<td>$128,856.00</td>
<td>$88,856.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Develop and coordinate an Opportunity Buy Program for Collier, Glades, and Hendry County school districts.</td>
<td>$40,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>NEA - National Endowment for the Arts</td>
<td>Fish-on-Parade</td>
<td>Jason Stoltzfus</td>
<td>Fish-on-Parade</td>
<td>9/26/2016</td>
<td>9/26/2016</td>
<td>$94,884.00</td>
<td>$94,884.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fish-on-Parade initiative, fish sculptures and fish banners.</td>
<td>$64,885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awarded &amp; Ongoing</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>EDA - Economic Development Administration</td>
<td>Economic Development Support for Planning Organizations</td>
<td>Margaret Wuerstle</td>
<td>2017-2019 EDA Planning Grant</td>
<td>12/12/2016</td>
<td>12/12/2016</td>
<td>$300,000.00</td>
<td>$210,000.00</td>
<td>1/1/2017</td>
<td>12/31/2019</td>
<td>The City of Cape Coral Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment and The City of Cape Coral Climate Change Resiliency Strategy (CCRS) Plan</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awarded &amp; Ongoing</td>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>Compact - Department of Environmental Protection</td>
<td>City of Cape Coral Climate Change Resiliency Strategy</td>
<td>Jim Beever</td>
<td>City of Cape Coral Climate Change Resiliency Strategy</td>
<td>3/31/2016</td>
<td>8/31/2016</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>10/1/2016</td>
<td>9/30/2017</td>
<td>The City of Cape Coral Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment and The City of Cape Coral Climate Change Resiliency Strategy (CCRS) Plan</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awarded &amp; Ongoing</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>CTD - FL Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged</td>
<td>FY16-17 TD Planning Agreement</td>
<td>Nichole Kamenetz</td>
<td>FY16-17 TD Planning Agreement</td>
<td>8/30/2016</td>
<td>8/30/2016</td>
<td>$60,149</td>
<td>$60,149</td>
<td>10/1/2016</td>
<td>9/1/2017</td>
<td>TDSP Update, LCB, CTC Evaluation, Quarterly Reports, etc.</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awarded &amp; Ongoing</td>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>Pelican Cove</td>
<td>Pelican Cove Climate Adaptation Plan</td>
<td>Jim Beever</td>
<td>Pelican Cove Climate Adaptation Plan</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>9/27/2016</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>10/1/2016</td>
<td>9/30/2018</td>
<td>1: Vulnerability Assessment; 2: Climate Adaptation Plan</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awarded &amp; Ongoing</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>EPA - Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td>Wetland Program Development Grants</td>
<td>Jim Beever</td>
<td>Wetland Mitigation Strategy</td>
<td>4/30/2016</td>
<td>4/30/2016</td>
<td>$220,000</td>
<td>$220,000</td>
<td>10/1/2016</td>
<td>9/30/2018</td>
<td>Development of a regional improved model watershed scale master wetland mitigation strategy for restoration, protection and public projects.</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Funding Agency</td>
<td>Grant Name</td>
<td>Project Mgr.</td>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>App Due Date</td>
<td>Date Submitted</td>
<td>Date Awarded/Denied</td>
<td>Date Contract Signed</td>
<td>Project Total</td>
<td>RPC Amt</td>
<td>Start Date</td>
<td>End Date</td>
<td>Deliverables</td>
<td>Total Match Amt-RPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awarded &amp;</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>FDEO - Florida Department of Economic Opportunity</td>
<td>Community Planning Technical Assistance Grant</td>
<td>Margaret Wuerstle</td>
<td>City of Labelle Tourism Marketing Brochure</td>
<td>5/2/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11/1/2017</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Design, create, and distribute a tourism marketing brochure.</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awarded &amp;</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>DEM - FL Div. of Emergency Management</td>
<td>FY16-17 HMEP Planning and Training Grant</td>
<td>Nichole Gwinnett</td>
<td>Developing a Method to Use Ecosystem Services to Quantify Wetland Restoration Successes</td>
<td>10/1/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1/2016</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HMEP related projects and trainings</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awarded &amp;</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>EPA - Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jim Beever</td>
<td>Mangrove Loss Project</td>
<td>4/4/2014</td>
<td>4/7/2014</td>
<td>12/19/2014</td>
<td>Oct 2014</td>
<td>$243,324</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Report, transect information, presentations, articles</td>
<td>683,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awarded &amp;</td>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>Glades County</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tim Walker</td>
<td>Glades County Small Quantity Generators (SQGs)</td>
<td>5/7/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5/17/2012</td>
<td>$5,900</td>
<td>$5,900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The goal of the assessment, notification, and verification program is to inform Small Quantity Generators (SQGs) of their legal responsibilities, limit the illegal disposal of hazardous waste, and identify the location of waste operators for an update to State officials. Also, local knowledge of hazardous wastes is useful for land development planning, emergency protective services, health care and water quality management.</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awarded &amp;</td>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>EPA/CHNEP - Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jim Beever</td>
<td>Collier Hazard Analysis FY16-17</td>
<td>4252</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8/16/2015</td>
<td>$9,693</td>
<td>$9,693</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Report, transect information, presentations, articles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awarded &amp;</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>DEM - FL Div. of Emergency Management</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tim Walker</td>
<td>Promise Zone Designation</td>
<td>5/1/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5/1/2016</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rural designation of a Promise Zone for Immokalee in Collier County, Glades County, and Hendry County</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Funding Agency</td>
<td>Grant Name</td>
<td>Project Mgr.</td>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>App Due Date</td>
<td>Date Submitted</td>
<td>Date Awarded/Denied</td>
<td>Date Contract Signed</td>
<td>Project Total</td>
<td>RPC Amt Start Date</td>
<td>End Date</td>
<td>Deliverables</td>
<td>Total Match Amt-RPC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>PO</td>
<td>SFRPC - South Florida Regional Planning Council</td>
<td>C.J. Kammeyer</td>
<td>Train the Trainers Grant</td>
<td>1/25/2016</td>
<td>2/15/2015</td>
<td>6/24/2015</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>1/1/2016</td>
<td>6/30/2017</td>
<td>Trainers and Tools: Building Coastal Flood Hazard Resiliency in Florida's Regional Planning Council Communities.</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>DEM - FL Div. of Emergency Management</td>
<td>Nichole Guinnnett</td>
<td>FY15-16 LEPC Agreement 6/30/2015</td>
<td>6/11/2015</td>
<td>2/12/2015</td>
<td>$48,000</td>
<td>$48,000</td>
<td>7/1/2015</td>
<td>Staff support to the LEPC, Plan Development and Exercise, Technical Assistance and Training Coordination/Planning.</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>PO</td>
<td>USDA - US Dept. of Agriculture</td>
<td>Rural Business Development Grant (RBDG)</td>
<td>Jason Stoltzfus</td>
<td>City of Clewiston Utilities Relocation Project</td>
<td>11/30/2016</td>
<td>10/27/2016</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>10/27/2016</td>
<td>11/30/2016</td>
<td>CEDS Plan, Annual Reports, CEDS Working Committee</td>
<td>61,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>EDA - US Economic Development Administration</td>
<td>Margaret Waerste</td>
<td>EDA Planning Grant 2/22/2013</td>
<td>12/18/2013</td>
<td>4/21/14</td>
<td>$270,000</td>
<td>$189,000</td>
<td>1/1/2014</td>
<td>12/31/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>681,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>PO</td>
<td>EDA - Economic Development Administration</td>
<td>Jennifer Pellechio</td>
<td>Imombokale Culinary Accelerator 2/20/2016</td>
<td>2/19/2016</td>
<td>5/1,600,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Staff support to the LEPC, Plan Development and Exercise, Technical Assistance and Training Coordination/Planning.</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>PO</td>
<td>CTD - FL Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged</td>
<td>Nichole Guinnnett</td>
<td>FY15-16 Glades-Hendry TID Agreement</td>
<td>7/1/2015</td>
<td>7/15/2015</td>
<td>$58,573</td>
<td>$58,573</td>
<td>6/30/2016</td>
<td>Update of TIDP, CTC Evaluation, Staff Support, LCB Quarterly Meetings, Committee Meetings, Update By-Laws and Grievance Procedures.</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>PO</td>
<td>USDA - US Dept. of Agriculture</td>
<td>Rural Business Development Grant</td>
<td>Jason Stoltzfus</td>
<td>Imombokale Culinary Accelerator</td>
<td>1/28/2016</td>
<td>1/12/2016</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>PO</td>
<td>FDEO - Florida Department of Economic Opportunity</td>
<td>Community Planning Technical Assistance Grant</td>
<td>Jennifer Pellechio</td>
<td>Murdock Village Community Redevelopment Plan</td>
<td>12/2/2016</td>
<td>12/2/2016</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>A vision, market analysis and graphical representations of economic GIS maps that will be incorporated in the Murdock Village Community Redevelopment Plan</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Funding Agency</td>
<td>Grant Name</td>
<td>Project Mgr</td>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>App Due Date</td>
<td>Date Submitted</td>
<td>Date Awarded/Denied</td>
<td>Date Contract Signed</td>
<td>Project Total</td>
<td>RPC Amt</td>
<td>Start Date</td>
<td>End Date</td>
<td>Deliverables</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>PO</td>
<td>Northeast Florida Regional Council</td>
<td>Statewide Regional Evacuation Study Program Update</td>
<td>Tim Walker</td>
<td>Statewide Regional Evacuation Study Program Update</td>
<td>2/10/2015</td>
<td>2/29/2015</td>
<td>6/30/2015</td>
<td>7/1/2015</td>
<td>$14,200</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>4/15/2016</td>
<td>6/30/2017</td>
<td>An updated summary document that includes key information on demographics, vulnerability and hazard risk, using a document template and map templates provided</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>FL Statewide</td>
<td>OUR CREATIVE ECONOMY Marketing</td>
<td>Jennifer Pellechino</td>
<td>OUR CREATIVE ECONOMY Marketing</td>
<td>2/15/2015</td>
<td>2/29/2015</td>
<td>6/30/2015</td>
<td>7/1/2015</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>1/15/2016</td>
<td>5/31/2016</td>
<td>The Spring Creek Vulnerability Assessment and The Spring Creek Restoration Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>City of Bonita Springs</td>
<td>Spring Creek Restoration Plan</td>
<td>Jim Beever</td>
<td>Spring Creek Restoration Plan</td>
<td>4/18/2015</td>
<td>8/3/2015</td>
<td>3/1/2016</td>
<td>7/16/2016</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>3/31/2016</td>
<td>6/30/2016</td>
<td>Outreach materials, Public meetings, Develop community</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>DEO - FL Dept. of Economic Opportunity</td>
<td>Clewiston Main Street Revitalization Plan</td>
<td>Margaret Wuerstle</td>
<td>Clewiston Main Street Revitalization Plan</td>
<td>7/2/2015</td>
<td>7/2/2015</td>
<td>10/31/2015</td>
<td>10/31/2015</td>
<td>$9,693</td>
<td>$9,693</td>
<td>3/31/2016</td>
<td>6/30/2016</td>
<td>Comprehensive Plan language, GIS maps of the railroad, Stakeholder meetings and public involvement activities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>DOE - US Dept. of Energy</td>
<td>Solar Ready II</td>
<td>Rebekah Harp</td>
<td>Solar Ready II</td>
<td>3/22/2013</td>
<td>7/18/2013</td>
<td>7/1/2013</td>
<td>7/1/2013</td>
<td>$140,000</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>1/1/2016</td>
<td>1/1/2016</td>
<td>Recruit local governments to review and adopt BMPs. Host stakeholder meetings and/or training programs, providing technical assistance to local governments as needed, and tracking any policy adoptions and local government feedback.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Funding Agency</td>
<td>Grant Name</td>
<td>Project Mgr</td>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>App Due Date</td>
<td>Date Submitted</td>
<td>Date Awarded/Denied</td>
<td>Date Contract Signed</td>
<td>Project Total</td>
<td>RPC Amt</td>
<td>Start Date</td>
<td>End Date</td>
<td>Deliverables</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>CTD - FL Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged</td>
<td>Nichole Gwinnett</td>
<td>Nichole Gwinnett</td>
<td>Collier Hazard Analysis</td>
<td>12/3/2014</td>
<td>$6,042</td>
<td>$6,042</td>
<td>12/23/2014</td>
<td>12/23/2014</td>
<td>$6,042</td>
<td>6/15/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>There are 4 deliverables stipulated with the contractual agreement.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>PO</td>
<td>TBRPC - Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council</td>
<td>Rebekah Harp</td>
<td>Tampa Bay RPC Graphics and Publications</td>
<td>10/21/2014</td>
<td>10/21/2014</td>
<td>10/21/2014</td>
<td>10/1/2014</td>
<td>10/1/2014</td>
<td>10/1/2014</td>
<td>10/1/2014</td>
<td>10/1/2014</td>
<td>As needed publication and graphic design, including FOR (Future of the Regions) award materials and annual report.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Visit Florida</td>
<td>Margaret Wuerstle</td>
<td>Margaret Wuerstle</td>
<td>Our Creative Economy: Video - Southwest Florida Regional Strategy for Public Art</td>
<td>2/18/2014</td>
<td>2/18/2014</td>
<td>2/18/2014</td>
<td>2/18/2014</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>6/31/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>DEO - FL Dept. of Economic Opportunity</td>
<td>Margaret Wuerstle</td>
<td>Agriculture Tours to Promote Assets and Economic Development in the City of Labelle</td>
<td>8/30/2014</td>
<td>8/30/2014</td>
<td>8/30/2014</td>
<td>8/30/2014</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>6/31/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>City of Labelle Agriculture Tour Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Funding Agency</td>
<td>Grant Name</td>
<td>Project Mgr.</td>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>App Due Date</td>
<td>Date Submitted</td>
<td>Date Awarded/Denied</td>
<td>Date Contract Signed</td>
<td>Project Total</td>
<td>RPC Amt</td>
<td>Start Date</td>
<td>End Date</td>
<td>Deliverables</td>
<td>Total Match Amt-RPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>FDOE - Florida Department of Economic Opportunity</td>
<td>Community Planning Technical Assistance Grant</td>
<td>Margaret Wuerste</td>
<td>Strategic Economic Opportunity Plan for the Southwest Florida Rail Corridor</td>
<td>5/2/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$19,000</td>
<td>$19,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>stops for implementing the goals and objectives identified within the plan for protecting the corridor and bringing economic growth to the community.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>NEA - National Endowment for the Arts</td>
<td>Art Works</td>
<td>Jason Stoltzfus</td>
<td>A Regional Strategy for Enhancing Public Art &amp; Cultural Venues</td>
<td>7/28/2016</td>
<td>7/28/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>USDA - US Dept. of Agriculture</td>
<td>Farmers Market and Local Food Promotion Program</td>
<td>Jason Stoltzfus</td>
<td>Clewiston Regional Farmers Market</td>
<td>3/12/2016</td>
<td>3/12/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Products of this study will include a market analysis, site assessment, vendor outreach, site assessment, financial analysis, and a written plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>FDOE - Florida Department of Economic Opportunity</td>
<td>Community Planning Technical Assistance Grant</td>
<td>Jennifer Pellechio</td>
<td>City of Cape Coral Development Opportunity Map</td>
<td>5/2/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The creation of an economic development interactive opportunity map for the City of Cape Coral. The map will include shovel ready commercial and industrial sites with relevant information.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>FDOE - Florida Department of Economic Opportunity</td>
<td>Community Planning Technical Assistance Grant</td>
<td>Margaret Wuerste</td>
<td>Economic Impact Study of Lake Okeechobee Discharges</td>
<td>5/2/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$28,000</td>
<td>$28,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A report on the direct and indirect economic impact of Lake Okeechobee discharges on Lee County tourism and the impact on declines in Lee County residential property values.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>FDOE - Florida Department of Economic Opportunity</td>
<td>Community Planning Technical Assistance Grant</td>
<td>Margaret Wuerste</td>
<td>City of Clewiston Downtown District Façade Program</td>
<td>5/2/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>An inventory of all downtown structures, identification of key structures in need of façade improvements, development of criteria for selecting buildings for improvements, work with property owners to coordinate, design and implement renovations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Aetna Foundation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jason Stoltzfus</td>
<td>Cultivating Healthy Communities</td>
<td>4/14/2016</td>
<td>4/13/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Implement portions of the Clewiston Neighborhood Revitalization plan, including: street lighting, awnings, painting and landscaping.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>EPA - Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td>Brownfields 2016</td>
<td>Jennifer Pellechio</td>
<td></td>
<td>12/18/2015</td>
<td>12/18/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$280,000</td>
<td>$280,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10 ASTM-AAI compliant Phase I ESAs, 1 Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan, 4 QAAPPs, 4 Phase II ESAs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Funding Agency</td>
<td>Grant Name</td>
<td>Project Mgr.</td>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>App Due Date</td>
<td>Date Submitted</td>
<td>Date Awarded/Denied</td>
<td>Date Contract Signed</td>
<td>Project Total</td>
<td>RPC Amt</td>
<td>Start Date</td>
<td>End Date</td>
<td>Deliverables</td>
<td>Total Match Amt-RPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded Grant</td>
<td>USDA - US Dept. of Agriculture</td>
<td>Margaret Wuerstle</td>
<td>Farm to School</td>
<td>5/20/2015</td>
<td>5/20/2015</td>
<td>11/19/2015</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Identify needs of local farmers, identify sellers for the market, produce a map and marketing materials, Implement action plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded Grant</td>
<td>DEO - FL Dept. of Economic Opportunity</td>
<td>Jennifer Pellechio</td>
<td>Growing Markets for Small Farmers</td>
<td>6/17/2015</td>
<td>6/17/2015</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Run transpiration routes between Clewiston and Belle Glade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded Grant</td>
<td>Wal-Mart</td>
<td>C.J. Kammerer</td>
<td>GoodWheels</td>
<td>7/17/2015</td>
<td>7/16/2015</td>
<td>8/10/2015</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Design a logo, Prepare education program and curriculum, introduce campaign and schedules, Create Disaster Planning Guide, Present to schools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded Grant</td>
<td>DEO - FL Dept. of Economic Opportunity</td>
<td>Jennifer Pellechio</td>
<td>SWF “Know Your Zone“ Public Education Campaign</td>
<td>6/17/2015</td>
<td>6/7/2015</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Task 1: Demographics &amp; Economic Study; Task 2: Community Vision &amp; Stakeholder Engagement ; Task 3: Goal Development (with Steering Committee) ; Task 4: Implementation Guide and Strategic Action Plan (3 – 5 years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded Grant</td>
<td>DEO - FL Dept. of Economic Opportunity</td>
<td>Jennifer Pellechio</td>
<td>Strategic Opportunity Plan for Immokalee</td>
<td>5/26/2015</td>
<td>5/26/2015</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Hire consultant, Meeting with Hendry County, Draft Material for Hendry presentation, Final assessment and recommendations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded Grant</td>
<td>EDA - US Economic Development Administration</td>
<td>Jennifer Pellechio</td>
<td>Hendry County Regional Laborshed/Workforce Assessment</td>
<td>6/12/2015</td>
<td>6/12/2015</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Products of the study will include updated valuations of the ecosystem services provided by existing conservation lands in the CHNEP; an updated conservation lands mapping of the project study area; a documentation and quantification of the ecosystem services provided by each habitat type, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded Grant</td>
<td>NOAA - National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration</td>
<td>Jim Steever</td>
<td>Measuring and Forecasting Future Ecosystem Services in the CHNEP Study Area</td>
<td>3/17/2015</td>
<td>3/17/2015</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td>Products of the study will include updated valuations of the ecosystem services provided by existing conservation lands in the CHNEP; an updated conservation lands mapping of the project study area; a documentation and quantification of the ecosystem services provided by each habitat type, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Funding Agency</td>
<td>Grant Name</td>
<td>Project Mgr.</td>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>App Due Date</td>
<td>Date Submitted</td>
<td>Date Awarded/Denied</td>
<td>Date Contract Signed</td>
<td>Project Total</td>
<td>RPC Amt</td>
<td>Start Date</td>
<td>End Date</td>
<td>Deliverables</td>
<td>Total Match Amt-RPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Artplace America</td>
<td>Margaret Wuerstle</td>
<td>ArtPlace - &quot;OUR CREATIVE ECONOMY&quot;</td>
<td>3/12/2015</td>
<td>3/11/2015</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>EPA - US Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td>John Gibbons</td>
<td>Environmental Workforce Development Job Training</td>
<td>2/3/2015</td>
<td>2/3/2015</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>OSHA 29 CFR 1910.120 40-Hour HAZWOPER and other training.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>NEA - National Endowment for the Arts</td>
<td>Margaret Wuerstle</td>
<td>Our Creative Economy - A Regional Strategy for Southwest Florida’s Public Art and Cultural Venues</td>
<td>1/15/2015</td>
<td>1/14/2015</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Asset Mapping • A Regional Strategy for Enhancing Public Art: A SWOT • Southwest Florida’s Public Art and Cultural Venues Field and Tour Guide</td>
<td>133,472</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded</td>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>NACo - National Association of Counties</td>
<td>Jennifer Pellechio</td>
<td>NACo County Prosperity Summit</td>
<td>10/1/2014</td>
<td>10/2/2014</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Summit</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>EPA - US Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td>Dottie Cook</td>
<td>Southwest Florida Brownfields Coalition</td>
<td>12/19/2014</td>
<td>12/19/2014</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Southwest Florida Community Foundation</td>
<td>Nicholas Gwinnett</td>
<td>SWFRPC &amp; RC&amp;D Collaboration</td>
<td>3/20/2014</td>
<td>3/20/2014</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Provide information to the non-profit community about collaborative models that have succeeded in our area and to share proven effective practices for non-profits working together.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Awarded</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>USDA - US Dept. of Agriculture</td>
<td>Dottie Cook</td>
<td>Southwest Florida Rural Promise Zone</td>
<td>11/21/2014</td>
<td>11/21/2014</td>
<td>Technical Assistance</td>
<td>Technical Assistance</td>
<td>Rural designation of a Promise Zone for Immokalee in Collier County, Glades County, and Hendry County</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consent Agenda
Consent Agenda Summary

Agenda Item #10(a) - Intergovernmental Coordination and Review
The attached report summarizes the project notifications received from various governmental and non-governmental agencies seeking federal assistance or permits for the period beginning March 1, 2017 and ending March 31, 2017.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approval of the administrative action on Clearinghouse Review items

Agenda Item #10(b) – Glades County Comp Plan Amendment (DEO 17-1ESR)
Glades County DEO 17-1ESR requests to change the Future Land Use Map designation of the subject site from Residential to Commercial in order to make consistent a companion rezoning from Residential General, Commercial General, and Open Use Flood Plain to Commercial-Recreational Vehicle, which will allow the development of an RV Park. The subject site consists of 36.85 acres; this is a size reduction that was submitted to the Community Development Department on February 6, 2017, after the Planning and Zoning Board meeting. The applicant removed a piece of the property south of River Oaks Subdivision, which is located to the right of the subject site.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approve staff comments. Authorize staff to forward comments to the Department of Economic Opportunity and Glades County.

Agenda Item #10(c) – City of Cape Coral Comp Plan Amendment (DEO 17-1ESR)
The primary purpose of Cape Coral DEO 17-1ESR is to amend the City’s Future Land Use Element Policy 1.15.n, the Commercial Activity Center (CAC) Future Land Use Map classification. The purpose of these revisions is to improve development flexibility within the CAC.

The amendments would allow for the development of duplex and multi-family residential uses in the CAC on detached properties. The maximum density of these properties would be 16 units per acre. Detached properties are those that share specific features that constitute obstacles to non-residential development, such as physical or topographical constraints, significant residential development patterns in the vicinity, relatively small property area, and lack of viable access to collector or arterial roads. These criteria shall be defined in the Land Use and Development Regulations.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approve staff comments. Authorize staff to forward comments to the Department of Economic Opportunity and the City of Cape Coral.

Agenda Item #10(d) – City of Bonita Springs Comp Plan Amendment (DEO 17-1ESR)
City of Bonita Springs DEO 17-1ESR proposes to implement the Bonita Beach Road Vision Study, including adding a new goals, objectives, and polices to the Future Land Use, Transportation, Intergovernmental Coordination, and Capital Improvements and adding new maps to the Transportation Elements.

Amendments to the Future Land Use Element promote aesthetically pleasing architectural standards and interconnected, intermodal transportation and promise to implement a cohesive set of provisions in the Land Development Code to provide development standards. The Transportation Element proposes to incorporate Complete Streets, seeks a system of interconnected local and collector roadways to provide alternatives to the arterial roadway network, and promotes mass transit.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approve staff comments. Authorize staff to forward comments to the Department of Economic Opportunity and City of Bonita Springs.

Agenda Item #10(e) – City of Bonita Springs Comp Plan Amendment (DEO 17-2ESR)
City of Bonita Springs DEO 17-2ESR consists of the City’s Evaluation and Appraisal Review. The City contracted with Florida Gulf Coast University to conduct the Review. The transmittal includes amendments to the Future Land Use Element, Transportation Element, Housing Element, Infrastructure Element, Conservation and Coastal Management Element, Intergovernmental Coordination Element, Capital Improvements Element, Public Participation, Monitoring, Updating, and Evaluation Process Element, Public School Facilities Element, and Definitions.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approve staff comments. Authorize staff to forward comments to the Department of Economic Opportunity and City of Bonita Springs.

Agenda Item #10(f) – Town of Longboat Key Comp Plan Amendment (DEO 17-1ESR)
Town of Longboat Key DEO 17-1ESR will amend the Town’s 2007 Comprehensive Plan Capital Improvements Element, Governance Element (replaces the Intergovernmental Coordination Element), Conservation and Coastal Management Element, Housing Element, Recreation and Open Space Element, and Infrastructure: Stormwater Sub-element pursuant to Florida Statutes (F.S.). In 2015, Staff began work with the Planning and Zoning Board, seated as the Local Planning Agency, to review a “results neutral” crosswalk of the various elements of the Comprehensive Plan. The purpose of this exercise was to create a more user-friendly Comprehensive Plan that is easier to read, understand, and apply. The crosswalk assures that the existing goals, objectives, and policies in the Comprehensive Plan that remain essential to the Town are preserved, while any extraneous content is eliminated. Most regulatory provisions are directed to the appropriate documents governing such regulations. It also delineates strategies for successfully implementing the goals, objectives, and policies.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approve staff comments. Authorize staff to forward comments to the Department of Economic Opportunity and Town of Longboat Key
Intergovernmental Coordination and Review
The attached report summarizes the project notifications received from various governmental and non-governmental agencies seeking federal assistance or permits for the period beginning March 1, 2017 and ending March 31, 2017.

The staff of the Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council reviews various proposals, Notifications of Intent, Preapplications, permit applications, and Environmental Impact Statements for compliance with regional goals, objectives, and policies of the Regional Comprehensive Policy Plan. The staff reviews such items in accordance with the Florida Intergovernmental Coordination and Review Process (Chapter 29I-5, F.A.C.) and adopted regional clearinghouse procedures.

Council staff reviews projects under the following four designations:

- **Less Than Regionally Significant and Consistent** - no further review of the project can be expected from Council.
- **Less Than Regionally Significant and Inconsistent** - Council does not find the project to be of regional importance, but notes certain concerns as part of its continued monitoring for cumulative impacts within the noted goal areas.
- **Regionally Significant and Consistent** - Project is of regional importance and appears to be consistent with Regional goals, objectives and policies.
- **Regionally Significant and Inconsistent** - Project is of regional importance and appears not to be consistent with Regional goals, objectives, and policies. Council will oppose the project as submitted, but is willing to participate in any efforts to modify the project to mitigate the concerns.

The report includes the SWFRPC number, the applicant name, project description, location, funding or permitting agency, and the amount of federal funding, when applicable. It also includes the comments provided by staff to the applicant and to the FDEP-State Clearinghouse in Tallahassee.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:** Approval of the administrative action on Clearinghouse Review items.

4/2017
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SWFRPC #</th>
<th>Name1</th>
<th>Name2</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Funding Agent</th>
<th>Funding Amount</th>
<th>Council Com</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017-10</td>
<td>Shari Howell</td>
<td>City of Clewiston</td>
<td>Hendry County</td>
<td>City of Clewiston - USDA-RBDG - Utilities Relocation Project in the City of Clewiston, Hendry County, FL.</td>
<td>USDA - RBDG</td>
<td>$299,498.00</td>
<td>Regionally Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-11</td>
<td>Lee Combs, AICP</td>
<td>Lee County Transit (LeeTran)</td>
<td>Lee County</td>
<td>LeeTran - FTA Section 5339 Grant Application - To purchase 3 ADA Paratransit vans.</td>
<td>FTA</td>
<td>$299,393.00</td>
<td>Regionally Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-12</td>
<td>Lee Combs, AICP</td>
<td>Lee County Transit (LeeTran)</td>
<td>Lee County</td>
<td>Lee County Transit (LeeTran) - FTA Section 5307 - Preventive Maintenance (Labor cost only); purchase of one 40 ft. fixed route replacement bus; acquisition and construction of approx. 8 bus shelters and associated maintenance items; and planning activities.</td>
<td>FTA</td>
<td>$3,089,541.00</td>
<td>Regionally Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-13</td>
<td>Fred D. Fox</td>
<td>Fred Fox Enterprises, Inc.</td>
<td>Glades County</td>
<td>Fred Fox Enterprises, Inc. - 2016 Community Development Block Grant Neighborhood Revitalization Application - Glades County</td>
<td>HUD - CDBG</td>
<td>$700,000.00</td>
<td>Regionally Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-14</td>
<td>Fred D. Fox</td>
<td>Fred Fox Enterprises, Inc.</td>
<td>Glades County</td>
<td>Fred Fox Enterprises, Inc. - 2016 Community Development Block Grant Neighborhood Revitalization Application - City of Moore Haven, Glades County</td>
<td>HUD - CDBG</td>
<td>$700,000.00</td>
<td>Regionally Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-15</td>
<td>J. Corbett Alday</td>
<td>Guardian Community</td>
<td>Hendry County</td>
<td>Guardian Community Resource Management, Inc. - City of LaBelle CDBG - Neighborhood Revitalization.</td>
<td>HUD</td>
<td>$700,000.00</td>
<td>Regionally Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWFRPC #</td>
<td>Name1</td>
<td>Name2</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Funding Agent</td>
<td>Funding Amount</td>
<td>Council Com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-16</td>
<td>Lee Combs, AICP</td>
<td>Lee County Transit (LeeTran)</td>
<td>Lee County</td>
<td>LeeTran - Section 5307 Flex Funds - Replacement of buses that met their useful life in Lee County, FL.</td>
<td>FTA</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>Regionally</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Agenda

Item

9b

Glades County DEO 17-1ESR
LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS
GLADES COUNTY

The Council staff has reviewed the proposed evaluation and appraisal based amendments to the Glades County Comprehensive Plan (DEO 17-1ESR). These amendments were developed under the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act. A synopsis of the requirements of the Act and Council responsibilities is provided as Attachment I. Comments are provided in Attachment II. Site location maps can be reviewed in Attachment III.

Staff review of the proposed amendments was based on whether they were likely to be of regional concern. This was determined through assessment of the following factors:

1. **Location**—in or near a regional resource or regional activity center, such that it impacts the regional resource or facility; on or within one mile of a county boundary; generally applied to sites of five acres or more; size alone is not necessarily a determinant of regional significance;

2. **Magnitude**—equal to or greater than the threshold for a Development of Regional Impact of the same type (a DRI-related amendment is considered regionally significant); and

3. **Character**—of a unique type or use, a use of regional significance, or a change in the local comprehensive plan that could be applied throughout the local jurisdiction; updates, editorial revisions, etc. are not regionally significant.

A summary of the results of the review follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Consistent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEO 17-1ESR</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(1) Not Regionally Significant (2) Consistent with SRPP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:** Approve staff comments. Authorize staff to forward comments to the Department of Economic Opportunity and Glades County

03/2017
COMMUNITY PLANNING ACT

Local Government Comprehensive Plans
The Act requires each municipal and county government to prepare a comprehensive plan that must include at least the following nine elements:

1. Future Land Use Element;
2. Traffic Circulation Element;
   A local government with all or part of its jurisdiction within the urbanized area of a Metropolitan Planning Organization shall prepare and adopt a transportation element to replace the traffic circulation; mass transit; and ports, aviation, and related facilities elements. [9J-5.019(1), FAC]
3. General Sanitary Sewer, Solid Waste, Drainage, and Potable Water and Natural Groundwater Aquifer Recharge Element;
4. Conservation Element;
5. Recreation and Open Space Element;
6. Housing Element;
7. Coastal Management Element for coastal jurisdictions;
8. Intergovernmental Coordination Element; and

The local government may add optional elements (e.g., community design, redevelopment, safety, historical and scenic preservation, and economic).

All local governments in Southwest Florida have adopted revised plans:
Charlotte County, Punta Gorda
Collier County, Everglades City, Marco Island, Naples
Glades County, Moore Haven
Hendry County, Clewiston, LaBelle
Lee County, Bonita Springs, Cape Coral, Fort Myers, Fort Myers Beach, Sanibel
Sarasota County, Longboat Key, North Port, Sarasota, Venice
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS

A local government may amend its plan at any time during the calendar year. Six copies of the amendment are sent to the Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO) for review. A copy is also sent to the Regional Planning Council, the Water Management District, the Florida Department of Transportation, and the Florida Department of Environmental Protection.

The proposed amendments will be reviewed by DEO in two situations. In the first, there must be a written request to DEO. The request for review must be received within forty-five days after transmittal of the proposed amendment. Reviews can be requested by one of the following:

- the local government that transmits the amendment,
- the regional planning council, or
- an affected person.

In the second situation, DEO can decide to review the proposed amendment without a request. In that case, DEO must give notice within thirty days of transmittal.

Within five working days after deciding to conduct a review, DEO may forward copies to various reviewing agencies, including the Regional Planning Council.

Regional Planning Council Review
The Regional Planning Council must submit its comments in writing within thirty days of receipt of the proposed amendment from DEO. It must specify any objections and may make recommendations for changes. The review of the proposed amendment by the Regional Planning Council must be limited to "effects on regional resources or facilities identified in the Strategic Regional Policy Plan and extra-jurisdictional impacts which would be inconsistent with the comprehensive plan of the affected local government".

After receipt of comments from the Regional Planning Council and other reviewing agencies, DEO has thirty days to conduct its own review and determine compliance with state law. Within that thirty-day period, DEO transmits its written comments to the local government.

NOTE: THE ABOVE IS A SIMPLIFIED VERSION OF THE LAW. REFER TO THE STATUTE (CH. 163, FS) FOR DETAILS.
GLADES COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT (DEO 17-1ESR)

RECEIVED: FEBRUARY 23, 2017

Summary of Proposed Amendment
Glades County DEO 17-1ESR requests to change the Future Land Use Map designation of the subject site from Residential to Commercial in order to make consistent a companion rezoning from Residential General, Commercial General, and Open Use Flood Plain to Commercial- Recreational Vehicle, which will allow the development of an RV Park. The subject site consists of 36.85 acres; this is a size reduction that was submitted to the Community Development Department on February 6, 2017, after the Planning and Zoning Board meeting. The applicant removed a piece of the property south of River Oaks Subdivision, which is located to the right of the subject site.

Florida State Rule 64E-15.002 limits RV park densities to 25 units per acre, which for this project would be 955 units. However, within the application, the applicant has self-limited the proposed density to 10 units per acre or 382 units. The development will utilize the River Oaks Utility LLC for both the sewer and the potable water. Both of these utilities will need to be expanded in order to serve the RV Park at build-out. The applicant’s engineer has stated that the trips generated by this development would not cause an adverse impact to the roads serving the site.

There are two outparcels within the Subject Site that are owned by River Oaks Utilities Inc. C/O Terrell Duke. There are also two single family residences on the property with the remainder indicated as being pastureland. There is developed residential to the east, which is the River Oaks subdivision. To the west and north is pastureland. To the south is the canal, with a campground and South Florida Water Management District land across the canal.

Regional Impacts
Council staff has reviewed the requested changes and finds that the requested Comprehensive Plan amendments do not directly produce any significant regional impacts that would be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan of any other local government within the region.

Extra-Jurisdictional Impacts
Council staff has reviewed the requested changes and finds that the requested Comprehensive Plan amendments do not directly produce any significant extra-jurisdictional impacts that would be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan of any other local government within the region.

Conclusion
No adverse effects on regional resources or facilities and no extra-jurisdictional impacts have been identified. Staff finds that this project is not regionally significant.

Recommended Action
Approve staff comments. Authorize staff to forward comments to the Department of Economic Opportunity and Glades County.
MAPS

Glades County
DEO 17-1ESR

Growth Management Plan
Comprehensive Plan Amendment
LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS
CITY OF CAPE CORAL

The Council staff has reviewed the proposed evaluation and appraisal based amendments to the City of Cape Coral Comprehensive Plan (DEO 17-1ESR). These amendments were developed under the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act. A synopsis of the requirements of the Act and Council responsibilities is provided as Attachment I. Comments are provided in Attachment II.

Staff review of the proposed amendments was based on whether they were likely to be of regional concern. This was determined through assessment of the following factors:

1. **Location**--in or near a regional resource or regional activity center, such that it impacts the regional resource or facility; on or within one mile of a county boundary; generally applied to sites of five acres or more; size alone is not necessarily a determinant of regional significance;
2. **Magnitude**--equal to or greater than the threshold for a Development of Regional Impact of the same type (a DRI-related amendment is considered regionally significant); and
3. **Character**--of a unique type or use, a use of regional significance, or a change in the local comprehensive plan that could be applied throughout the local jurisdiction; updates, editorial revisions, etc. are not regionally significant.

A summary of the results of the review follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Consistent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| DEO 17-1ESR        | No       | No        | No        | (1) Not Regionally Significant
|                    |          |           |           | (2) Consistent with SRPP |

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:** Approve staff comments. Authorize staff to forward comments to the Department of Economic Opportunity and the City of Cape Coral.
COMMUNITY PLANNING ACT

Local Government Comprehensive Plans
The Act requires each municipal and county government to prepare a comprehensive plan that must include at least the following nine elements:

1. Future Land Use Element;
2. Traffic Circulation Element;
   A local government with all or part of its jurisdiction within the urbanized area of a Metropolitan Planning Organization shall prepare and adopt a transportation element to replace the traffic circulation; mass transit; and ports, aviation, and related facilities elements. [9J-5.019(1), FAC]
3. General Sanitary Sewer, Solid Waste, Drainage, and Potable Water and Natural Groundwater Aquifer Recharge Element;
4. Conservation Element;
5. Recreation and Open Space Element;
6. Housing Element;
7. Coastal Management Element for coastal jurisdictions;
8. Intergovernmental Coordination Element; and

The local government may add optional elements (e.g., community design, redevelopment, safety, historical and scenic preservation, and economic).

All local governments in Southwest Florida have adopted revised plans:
   Charlotte County, Punta Gorda
   Collier County, Everglades City, Marco Island, Naples
   Glades County, Moore Haven
   Hendry County, Clewiston, LaBelle
   Lee County, Bonita Springs, Cape Coral, Fort Myers, Fort Myers Beach, Sanibel
   Sarasota County, Longboat Key, North Port, Sarasota, Venice
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS

A local government may amend its plan at any time during the calendar year. Six copies of the amendment are sent to the Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO) for review. A copy is also sent to the Regional Planning Council, the Water Management District, the Florida Department of Transportation, and the Florida Department of Environmental Protection.

The proposed amendments will be reviewed by DEO in two situations. In the first, there must be a written request to DEO. The request for review must be received within forty-five days after transmittal of the proposed amendment. Reviews can be requested by one of the following:

- the local government that transmits the amendment,
- the regional planning council, or
- an affected person.

In the second situation, DEO can decide to review the proposed amendment without a request. In that case, DEO must give notice within thirty days of transmittal.

Within five working days after deciding to conduct a review, DEO may forward copies to various reviewing agencies, including the Regional Planning Council.

**Regional Planning Council Review**

The Regional Planning Council must submit its comments in writing within thirty days of receipt of the proposed amendment from DEO. It must specify any objections and may make recommendations for changes. The review of the proposed amendment by the Regional Planning Council must be limited to "effects on regional resources or facilities identified in the Strategic Regional Policy Plan and extra-jurisdictional impacts which would be inconsistent with the comprehensive plan of the affected local government".

After receipt of comments from the Regional Planning Council and other reviewing agencies, DEO has thirty days to conduct its own review and determine compliance with state law. Within that thirty-day period, DEO transmits its written comments to the local government.

**NOTE: THE ABOVE IS A SIMPLIFIED VERSION OF THE LAW. REFER TO THE STATUTE (CH. 163, FS) FOR DETAILS.**
CITY OF CAPE CORAL COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT (DEO 17-1ESR)

RECEIVED: FEBRUARY 10, 2017

Summary of Proposed Amendment
The primary purpose of Cape Coral DEO 17-1ESR is to amend the City’s Future Land Use Element Policy 1.15.n, the Commercial Activity Center (CAC) Future Land Use Map classification. The purpose of these revisions is to improve development flexibility within the CAC.

The amendments would allow for the development of duplex and multi-family residential uses in the CAC on detached properties. The maximum density of these properties would be 16 units per acre. Detached properties are those that share specific features that constitute obstacles to non-residential development, such as physical or topographical constraints, significant residential development patterns in the vicinity, relatively small property area, and lack of viable access to collector or arterial roads. These criteria shall be defined in the Land Use and Development Regulations.

This amendment would also eliminate the requirement for all development projects to be approved through the PDP process within the CAC. A list of factors to be considered during reviews of rezoning petitions is also eliminated.

Regional Impacts
Council staff has reviewed the requested changes and finds that the requested Comprehensive Plan amendments do not directly produce any significant regional impacts that would be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan of any other local government within the region.

Extra-Jurisdictional Impacts
Council staff has reviewed the requested changes and finds that the requested Comprehensive Plan amendments do not directly produce any significant extra-jurisdictional impacts that would be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan of any other local government within the region.

Conclusion
No adverse effects on regional resources or facilities and no extra-jurisdictional impacts have been identified. Staff finds that this project is not regionally significant.

Recommended Action
Approve staff comments. Authorize staff to forward comments to the Department of Economic Opportunity and the City of Cape Coral.
The Council staff has reviewed the proposed evaluation and appraisal based amendments to the City of Bonita Springs Comprehensive Plan (DEO 17-1ESR). These amendments were developed under the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act. A synopsis of the requirements of the Act and Council responsibilities is provided as Attachment I. Comments are provided in Attachment II. Site location maps can be reviewed in Attachment III.

Staff review of the proposed amendments was based on whether they were likely to be of regional concern. This was determined through assessment of the following factors:

1. **Location**—in or near a regional resource or regional activity center, such that it impacts the regional resource or facility; on or within one mile of a county boundary; generally applied to sites of five acres or more; size alone is not necessarily a determinant of regional significance;
2. **Magnitude**—equal to or greater than the threshold for a Development of Regional Impact of the same type (a DRI-related amendment is considered regionally significant); and
3. **Character**—of a unique type or use, a use of regional significance, or a change in the local comprehensive plan that could be applied throughout the local jurisdiction; updates, editorial revisions, etc. are not regionally significant.

A summary of the results of the review follows:

### Factors of Regional Significance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Consistent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEO 17-1ESR</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(1) Not regionally significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Consistent with SRPP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:**

Approve staff comments. Authorize staff to forward comments to the Department of Economic Opportunity and the City of Bonita Springs.

3/2017
COMMUNITY PLANNING ACT

Local Government Comprehensive Plans
The Act requires each municipal and county government to prepare a comprehensive plan that must include at least the following nine elements:

1. Future Land Use Element;
2. Traffic Circulation Element;
   A local government with all or part of its jurisdiction within the urbanized area of a Metropolitan Planning Organization shall prepare and adopt a transportation element to replace the traffic circulation; mass transit; and ports, aviation, and related facilities elements. [9J-5.019(1), FAC]
3. General Sanitary Sewer, Solid Waste, Drainage, and Potable Water and Natural Groundwater Aquifer Recharge Element;
4. Conservation Element;
5. Recreation and Open Space Element;
6. Housing Element;
7. Coastal Management Element for coastal jurisdictions;
8. Intergovernmental Coordination Element; and

The local government may add optional elements (e.g., community design, redevelopment, safety, historical and scenic preservation, and economic).

All local governments in Southwest Florida have adopted revised plans:
Charlotte County, Punta Gorda
Collier County, Everglades City, Marco Island, Naples
Glades County, Moore Haven
Hendry County, Clewiston, LaBelle
Lee County, Bonita Springs, Cape Coral, Fort Myers, Fort Myers Beach, Sanibel
Sarasota County, Longboat Key, North Port, Sarasota, Venice
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS

A local government may amend its plan at any time during the calendar year. Six copies of the amendment are sent to the Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO) for review. A copy is also sent to the Regional Planning Council, the Water Management District, the Florida Department of Transportation, and the Florida Department of Environmental Protection.

The proposed amendments will be reviewed by DEO in two situations. In the first, there must be a written request to DEO. The request for review must be received within forty-five days after transmittal of the proposed amendment. Reviews can be requested by one of the following:

- the local government that transmits the amendment,
- the regional planning council, or
- an affected person.

In the second situation, DEO can decide to review the proposed amendment without a request. In that case, DEO must give notice within thirty days of transmittal.

Within five working days after deciding to conduct a review, DEO may forward copies to various reviewing agencies, including the Regional Planning Council.

Regional Planning Council Review
The Regional Planning Council must submit its comments in writing within thirty days of receipt of the proposed amendment from DEO. It must specify any objections and may make recommendations for changes. The review of the proposed amendment by the Regional Planning Council must be limited to "effects on regional resources or facilities identified in the Strategic Regional Policy Plan and extra-jurisdictional impacts which would be inconsistent with the comprehensive plan of the affected local government".

After receipt of comments from the Regional Planning Council and other reviewing agencies, DEO has thirty days to conduct its own review and determine compliance with state law. Within that thirty-day period, DEO transmits its written comments to the local government.

NOTE: THE ABOVE IS A SIMPLIFIED VERSION OF THE LAW. REFER TO THE STATUTE (CH. 163, FS) FOR DETAILS.
CITY OF BONITA SPRINGS COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT (DEO 17-1ESR)

RECEIVED: FEBRUARY 13, 2017

Summary of Proposed Amendment
City of Bonita Springs DEO 17-1ESR proposes to implement the Bonita Beach Road Vision Study, including adding a new goals, objectives, and polices to the Future Land Use, Transportation, Intergovernmental Coordination, and Capital Improvements and adding new maps to the Transportation Elements.

Amendments to the Future Land Use Element promote aesthetically pleasing architectural standards and interconnected, intermodal transportation and promise to implement a cohesive set of provisions in the Land Development Code to provide development standards. The Transportation Element proposes to incorporate Complete Streets, seeks a system of interconnected local and collector roadways to provide alternatives to the arterial roadway network, and promotes mass transit.

Regional Impacts
Council staff has reviewed the requested changes and finds that the requested Comprehensive Plan amendments do not directly produce any significant regional impacts that would be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan of any other local government within the region.

Extra-Jurisdictional Impacts
Council staff has reviewed the requested changes and finds that the requested Comprehensive Plan amendments do not directly produce any significant extra-jurisdictional impacts that would be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan of any other local government within the region.

Conclusion
No significant adverse effects on regional resources or facilities and no extra-jurisdictional impacts have been identified. Staff finds that this project is not regionally significant.

Recommendation
Approve staff comments. Authorize staff to forward comments to the Department of Economic Opportunity and the City of Bonita Springs.
City of Bonita Springs DEO 17-2ESR
LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS  
CITY OF BONITA SPRINGS

The Council staff has reviewed the proposed evaluation and appraisal based amendments to the City of Bonita Springs Comprehensive Plan (DEO 17-2ESR). These amendments were developed under the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act. A synopsis of the requirements of the Act and Council responsibilities is provided as Attachment I. Comments are provided in Attachment II. Site location maps can be reviewed in Attachment III.

Staff review of the proposed amendments was based on whether they were likely to be of regional concern. This was determined through assessment of the following factors:

1. **Location**—in or near a regional resource or regional activity center, such that it impacts the regional resource or facility; on or within one mile of a county boundary; generally applied to sites of five acres or more; size alone is not necessarily a determinant of regional significance;
2. **Magnitude**—equal to or greater than the threshold for a Development of Regional Impact of the same type (a DRI-related amendment is considered regionally significant); and
3. **Character**—of a unique type or use, a use of regional significance, or a change in the local comprehensive plan that could be applied throughout the local jurisdiction; updates, editorial revisions, etc. are not regionally significant.

A summary of the results of the review follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Consistent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| DEO 17-2ESR        | No       | No        | No        | (1) Not regionally significant  
                   |          |           |           | (2) Consistent with SRPP |

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:** Approve staff comments. Authorize staff to forward comments to the Department of Economic Opportunity and the City of Bonita Springs.

3/2017
COMMUNITY PLANNING ACT

Local Government Comprehensive Plans
The Act requires each municipal and county government to prepare a comprehensive plan that must include at least the following nine elements:

1. Future Land Use Element;
2. Traffic Circulation Element;
   A local government with all or part of its jurisdiction within the urbanized area of a Metropolitan Planning Organization shall prepare and adopt a transportation element to replace the traffic circulation; mass transit; and ports, aviation, and related facilities elements. [9J-5.019(1), FAC]
3. General Sanitary Sewer, Solid Waste, Drainage, and Potable Water and Natural Groundwater Aquifer Recharge Element;
4. Conservation Element;
5. Recreation and Open Space Element;
6. Housing Element;
7. Coastal Management Element for coastal jurisdictions;
8. Intergovernmental Coordination Element; and

The local government may add optional elements (e.g., community design, redevelopment, safety, historical and scenic preservation, and economic).

All local governments in Southwest Florida have adopted revised plans:
   Charlotte County, Punta Gorda
   Collier County, Everglades City, Marco Island, Naples
   Glades County, Moore Haven
   Hendry County, Clewiston, LaBelle
   Lee County, Bonita Springs, Cape Coral, Fort Myers, Fort Myers Beach, Sanibel
   Sarasota County, Longboat Key, North Port, Sarasota, Venice
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS

A local government may amend its plan at any time during the calendar year. Six copies of the amendment are sent to the Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO) for review. A copy is also sent to the Regional Planning Council, the Water Management District, the Florida Department of Transportation, and the Florida Department of Environmental Protection.

The proposed amendments will be reviewed by DEO in two situations. In the first, there must be a written request to DEO. The request for review must be received within forty-five days after transmittal of the proposed amendment. Reviews can be requested by one of the following:

- the local government that transmits the amendment,
- the regional planning council, or
- an affected person.

In the second situation, DEO can decide to review the proposed amendment without a request. In that case, DEO must give notice within thirty days of transmittal.

Within five working days after deciding to conduct a review, DEO may forward copies to various reviewing agencies, including the Regional Planning Council.

**Regional Planning Council Review**

The Regional Planning Council must submit its comments in writing within thirty days of receipt of the proposed amendment from DEO. It must specify any objections and may make recommendations for changes. The review of the proposed amendment by the Regional Planning Council must be limited to "effects on regional resources or facilities identified in the Strategic Regional Policy Plan and extra-jurisdictional impacts which would be inconsistent with the comprehensive plan of the affected local government".

After receipt of comments from the Regional Planning Council and other reviewing agencies, DEO has thirty days to conduct its own review and determine compliance with state law. Within that thirty-day period, DEO transmits its written comments to the local government.

NOTE: THE ABOVE IS A SIMPLIFIED VERSION OF THE LAW. REFER TO THE STATUTE (CH. 163, FS) FOR DETAILS.
CITY OF BONITA SPRINGS COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT (DEO 17-2ESR)

RECEIVED: MARCH 9, 2017

Summary of Proposed Amendment
City of Bonita Springs DEO 17-2ESR consists of the City’s Evaluation and Appraisal Review. The City contracted with Florida Gulf Coast University to conduct the Review. The transmittal includes amendments to the Future Land Use Element, Transportation Element, Housing Element, Infrastructure Element, Conservation and Coastal Management Element, Intergovernmental Coordination Element, Capital Improvements Element, Public Participation, Monitoring, Updating, and Evaluation Process Element, Public School Facilities Element, and Definitions.

The Future Land Use Element adds estimates of how much land is in each Future Land Use Category. New language is added that discourages urban sprawl and encourages new urbanism concepts. A new Policy is added that states that newly annexed land will keep their existing FLUC until they can be formally categorized by the City of Bonita Springs.

The Transportation Element proposes to eliminate a Policy that refuses to issue development approvals that result in roadway degradation to the point that it will operate below the adopted level of service. A Policy has been proposed that makes public transit facilities exempt from transportation concurrency requirements.

The Housing Element adds that the City will use the State Statute’s definition of workforce housing (380.0651(3)(h), F.S.) and specifies that the City shall use a 10 year planning horizon when forecasting housing needs. The utility sub-elements promise that the City will coordinate with and provide relevant data to utility service providers within the City.

The Conservation/Coastal Management Element adds a directive to minimize flood risk resulting from high-tide, storm surge, flash floods, stormwater runoff, and sea-level rise. It also requires comp plan amendments in the Coastal High Hazard Area (CHHA) to meet one of three State Statutory requirements. A new Policy urges developments to consider site development techniques to reduce losses due to flooding.

Regional Impacts
Council staff has reviewed the requested changes and finds that the requested Comprehensive Plan amendments do not directly produce any significant regional impacts that would be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan of any other local government within the region.

Extra-Jurisdictional Impacts
Council staff has reviewed the requested changes and finds that the requested Comprehensive Plan amendments do not directly produce any significant extra-jurisdictional impacts that would be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan of any other local government within the region.
Conclusion
No significant adverse effects on regional resources or facilities and no extra-jurisdictional impacts have been identified. Staff finds that this project is not regionally significant.

Recommendation
Approve staff comments. Authorize staff to forward comments to the Department of Economic Opportunity and the City of Bonita Springs.
MAPS
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Item 9f

Town of Longboat Key
DEO 17-1 ESR
LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS  
TOWN OF LONGBOAT KEY

The Council staff has reviewed the proposed evaluation and appraisal based amendments to the Town of Longboat Key Comprehensive Plan (DEO 17-1ESR). These amendments were developed under the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act. A synopsis of the requirements of the Act and Council responsibilities is provided as Attachment I. Comments are provided in Attachment II.

Staff review of the proposed amendments was based on whether they were likely to be of regional concern. This was determined through assessment of the following factors:

1. **Location**—in or near a regional resource or regional activity center, such that it impacts the regional resource or facility; on or within one mile of a county boundary; generally applied to sites of five acres or more; size alone is not necessarily a determinant of regional significance;
2. **Magnitude**—equal to or greater than the threshold for a Development of Regional Impact of the same type (a DRI-related amendment is considered regionally significant); and
3. **Character**—of a unique type or use, a use of regional significance, or a change in the local comprehensive plan that could be applied throughout the local jurisdiction; updates, editorial revisions, etc. are not regionally significant.

A summary of the results of the review follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Consistent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEO 17-1ESR</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(1) Not Regionally Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:** Approve staff comments. Authorize staff to forward comments to the Department of Economic Opportunity and the Town of Longboat Key

04/2017
COMMUNITY PLANNING ACT

Local Government Comprehensive Plans
The Act requires each municipal and county government to prepare a comprehensive plan that must include at least the following nine elements:

1. Future Land Use Element;
2. Traffic Circulation Element;
   A local government with all or part of its jurisdiction within the urbanized area of a Metropolitan Planning Organization shall prepare and adopt a transportation element to replace the traffic circulation; mass transit; and ports, aviation, and related facilities elements. [9J-5.019(1), FAC]
3. General Sanitary Sewer, Solid Waste, Drainage, and Potable Water and Natural Groundwater Aquifer Recharge Element;
4. Conservation Element;
5. Recreation and Open Space Element;
6. Housing Element;
7. Coastal Management Element for coastal jurisdictions;
8. Intergovernmental Coordination Element; and

The local government may add optional elements (e.g., community design, redevelopment, safety, historical and scenic preservation, and economic).

All local governments in Southwest Florida have adopted revised plans:
Charlotte County, Punta Gorda
Collier County, Everglades City, Marco Island, Naples
Glades County, Moore Haven
Hendry County, Clewiston, LaBelle
Lee County, Bonita Springs, Cape Coral, Fort Myers, Fort Myers Beach, Sanibel
Sarasota County, Longboat Key, North Port, Sarasota, Venice
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS

A local government may amend its plan at any time during the calendar year. Six copies of the amendment are sent to the Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO) for review. A copy is also sent to the Regional Planning Council, the Water Management District, the Florida Department of Transportation, and the Florida Department of Environmental Protection.

The proposed amendments will be reviewed by DEO in two situations. In the first, there must be a written request to DEO. The request for review must be received within forty-five days after transmittal of the proposed amendment. Reviews can be requested by one of the following:

- the local government that transmits the amendment,
- the regional planning council, or
- an affected person.

In the second situation, DEO can decide to review the proposed amendment without a request. In that case, DEO must give notice within thirty days of transmittal.

Within five working days after deciding to conduct a review, DEO may forward copies to various reviewing agencies, including the Regional Planning Council.

Regional Planning Council Review
The Regional Planning Council must submit its comments in writing within thirty days of receipt of the proposed amendment from DEO. It must specify any objections and may make recommendations for changes. The review of the proposed amendment by the Regional Planning Council must be limited to "effects on regional resources or facilities identified in the Strategic Regional Policy Plan and extra-jurisdictional impacts which would be inconsistent with the comprehensive plan of the affected local government".

After receipt of comments from the Regional Planning Council and other reviewing agencies, DEO has thirty days to conduct its own review and determine compliance with state law. Within that thirty-day period, DEO transmits its written comments to the local government.

NOTE: THE ABOVE IS A SIMPLIFIED VERSION OF THE LAW. REFER TO THE STATUTE (CH. 163, FS) FOR DETAILS.
TOWN OF LONGBOAT KEY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT (DEO 17-1ESR)

RECEIVED: MARCH 9, 2017

Summary of Proposed Amendment
Town of Longboat Key DEO 17-1ESR will amend the Town’s 2007 Comprehensive Plan Capital Improvements Element, Governance Element (replaces the Intergovernmental Coordination Element), Conservation and Coastal Management Element, Housing Element, Recreation and Open Space Element, and Infrastructure: Stormwater Sub-element pursuant to Florida Statutes (F.S.). In 2015, Staff began work with the Planning and Zoning Board, seated as the Local Planning Agency, to review a “results neutral” crosswalk of the various elements of the Comprehensive Plan. The purpose of this exercise was to create a more user-friendly Comprehensive Plan that is easier to read, understand, and apply. The crosswalk assures that the existing goals, objectives, and policies in the Comprehensive Plan that remain essential to the Town are preserved, while any extraneous content is eliminated. Most regulatory provisions are directed to the appropriate documents governing such regulations. It also delineates strategies for successfully implementing the goals, objectives, and policies.

Regional Impacts
Council staff has reviewed the requested changes and finds that the requested Comprehensive Plan amendments do not directly produce any significant regional impacts that would be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan of any other local government within the region. The new Governance Element archives the same results as the Intergovernmental Review. The proposed changes to the other elements of the plan are results neutral.

Extra-Jurisdictional Impacts
Council staff has reviewed the requested changes and finds that the requested Comprehensive Plan amendments do not directly produce any significant extra-jurisdictional impacts that would be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan of any other local government within the region.

Conclusion
No adverse effects on regional resources or facilities and no extra-jurisdictional impacts have been identified. Staff finds that this project is not regionally significant.

Recommended Action
Approve staff comments. Authorize staff to forward comments to the Department of Economic Opportunity and the Town of Longboat Key.
Agenda
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Regional Impact

10
Funding for the reviews that Council will see today was funded through local jurisdiction dues and Applicant Fees.
Palmer Ranch Inc XXVI
Pre-App Checklist
• On April 6, 2017, a Pre-Application meeting was held for the proposed Palmer Ranch Increment 26 DRI Application for Incremental Development Approval on Parcel 9D.

• The property is 169.3 acres and is located at the northwest corner of Honore Avenue and Bay Street (see Attachments I).

• The proposed community will be developed with 349 single family homes. There are two phases planned with two lot sizes. The community will be providing a 3.8 acre +/- amenity center, and will ensure the preservation of native habitats (see Attachment II).

• Pursuant to the amended Master Development Order, all parties agreed to require the applicant to answer all applicable regional and local information requirements in Attachment IV of agenda item.

• RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approve the questionnaire checklist.
Palmer Ranch Inc XXVII
Pre-App Checklist
On April 6, 2017, a Pre-Application meeting was held for the proposed Palmer Ranch Increment 27 DRI Application for Incremental Development Approval on B9 (40 acres) and B10 (53.77 acres).

Parcel B10 is a Sarasota County Park and is not being developed in any way by the applicant for B9, but was included within Increment 27 since all land within the Master DRI must be included within an Increment including county parks.

The property is located south of Sawyer Loop Road & approx. 1,000 ft. east of McIntosh Road (see Attachment I).

The development proposal is to construct a gated residential community consisting of 149 multi-family residential units with an amenity area on Parcel B9 (see Attachment II).

Pursuant to the amended Master Development Order, all parties agreed to require the applicant to answer all applicable regional and local information requirements in Attachment IV of agenda item.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approve the questionnaire checklist.
Pine Air Lakes NOPC
**PINE AIR LAKES DRI COLLIER COUNTY**

**NOTICE OF PROPOSED CHANGE**

- Originally approved in 1985 as multiuse project containing hotel, retail, office, and institutional uses totally 1,280,600 sq. ft.
- Based on previous changes as of 2007, the current approval is for 1,000,000 sq. ft. of Regional Commercial Retail, and 75,000 sq. ft. of office, with a conversion rate of up to 50,000 sq. ft. for both uses.
- Based on the 2016 monitoring report there is 787,158 sq. ft. of retail and 29,489 sq. ft. of office constructed on the site. The current buildout was October 14, 2010.
- In January 2017 a notice of proposed change (NOPC) was submitted by Daniel Aronoff of Land Management Services Associates LLC to extend the DO’s termination and the project build-out date (which are the same date) by one day less than FIVE (5) years, to December 28, 2023, and change the name of the Developer to “Land Management Services Associates LLC”.
- The NOPC seeks a number of extensions based on “tolling” for the last recession and various disaster declarations.
• The last amended DO 2007-01 was the result of a substantial deviation application that provided a cumulative analysis of impacts. Therefore, according to SWFRPC and State policy once a full reanalysis is performed the project can start over for extension date purposes to zero.

• No additional regional impacts to resources or facilities will occur from the extensions since most of the regional conditions of the DO have been met for buildout of the project. Furthermore, because this extension is for less than five-year it is considered not a substantial deviation according to Chapter 380.06(19)(c) F.S.

• The draft development order language provided with the NOPC is acceptable to address the change proposed to extend the termination and buildout date.

• RECOMMENDED ACTION: No objection to the NOPC change which is not a substantial deviation and does not create additional regional impacts not previously reviewed by the regional planning council.
Palmer Ranch Increment XXVI
Pre-App Checklist
Background

On April 6, 2017, a Pre-Application meeting was held for the proposed Palmer Ranch Increment 26 DRI Application for Incremental Development Approval on Parcel 9D. The property is 169.3 acres and is located at the northwest corner of Honore Avenue and Bay Street (see Attachments I and II). Attending this meeting was the applicant and their consultants, Sarasota County development review staff and SWFRPC staff.

Project Description

The proposed community will be developed with 349 single family homes. There are two phases planned with two lot sizes. The community will be providing a 3.8 acre +/- amenity center, and will ensure the preservation of native habitats (see Attachment III).

Questions for Palmer Ranch Increment

Pursuant to the amended Master Development Order, all parties agreed to require the applicant to answer all applicable regional and local information requirements (see Attachment IV). As required by the MDO a recent revised and updated transportation reanalysis included impacts from Increment 26.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approve the questionnaire checklist.
## PALMER RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT ORDER QUESTIONNAIRE
### CHECKLIST FOR DRI-AIDA SUBMISSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Question No.</th>
<th>Regionally Significant</th>
<th>Answer Required</th>
<th>Special Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General DRI AIDA Information</td>
<td>Part I &amp; Part II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Submit Maps A, B, C.1, C.2, C.3, F.1, F.2, G.1, G.2, H.1 &amp; I.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Conditions Air Quality</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land/Soils</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rare &amp; Endangered Species</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality &amp; Drainage</td>
<td>B. 1-4</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Answer B. 1-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Habitats</td>
<td>B. 1-4</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Answer B. 1-4 plus additional questions to be addressed, specifically relating to Wildlife and Plant Resources, from the State of Florida DRI Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use/Housing</td>
<td>B. 2-3</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Answer B. 2 &amp; 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical &amp; Archeological</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Answer A.2. and B.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation &amp; Open Space</td>
<td>B. 1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Answer B.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floodplain/Hurricane Evacuation</td>
<td>B. 1-2</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Answer B. 1 &amp; 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>B. 1</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Trip generation rates and site access issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater</td>
<td>B. 1 &amp; 2</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Answer B. 1 &amp; 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply</td>
<td>B. 1-4</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Answer B. 1-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste</td>
<td>B. 1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Answer B.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police</td>
<td>B. 1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Answer B.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Protection/Health Care</td>
<td>B. 1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Answer B.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECIFIC DRI INFORMATION – ADA Questionnaire (Form DSP-BLWM-11-76 renumbered DEO-BCP ADA 1 on 10-01-11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Applicant Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>A, B &amp; C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Part I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Responses Part V, Q 27,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GENERAL

B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA’S

1. In all appropriate AIDA’s, the Palmer Ranch shall respond to the following general questions as required in the Standardized Questionnaire for Developments of Regional Impact in Unincorporated Sarasota County:

Part I

A. Statement of Intent
B. Applicant Information
C. Development Information
D. Permit Information
E. Statement of Purpose

Part II

A. General DRI Information
B. Maps
C. General Project Description

RARE AND ENDANDED SPECIES

1. The buffer zones along Interstate 75 shall be defined and addressed in appropriate AIDA’s.

WATER QUALITY & DRAINAGE

B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA’S

1. Concurrent with each AIDA submitted for any development parcel, the appropriate Watershed Management Plan shall be updated and submitted to Sarasota County. The Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council shall be provided with a copy of any updates.

2. Any subsequent Application for Incremental Development Approval (AIDA) for the Palmer Ranch shall include an environmental and surface water management plan for the increment documenting consistency with the Little Sarasota Bay Watershed Management Plan. This plan shall be reviewed and approved by appropriate county departments as determined at the time of submittal.
3. The Palmer Ranch shall adhere to the Little Sarasota Bay Watershed Management Plan and all applicable drainage basin models. The drainage basin models shall be updated to assess any future changes in land use within the applicable areas of the Palmer Ranch.

4. The Applicant shall be responsible for any corrective actions required for the maintenance of stormwater management systems which is not specifically the responsibility of Sarasota County.

NATIVE HABITATS

B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA’S

1. Wetland modifications/alterations on the east side shall be as shown on Map F2 (Exhibit F), unless otherwise approved by Sarasota County. The total area of wetland habitat preserve may be slightly reduced resulting from unavoidable impacts necessitated by internal parcel roadway and infrastructure requirements as identified in subsequent Applications for Incremental Development Approval (AIDA’s). All alterations in wetlands which result in a loss of habitat shall be mitigated. The amount of mitigation needed to offset alterations that result in loss of wetland habitat shall be determined by the Uniform Mitigation Assessment Method (UMAM) Chapter 62-345 Florida Administrative Code. In instances where the UMAM requirements do not apply, mitigation shall be on a one-to-one basis for wet prairies and sloughs and a three-to-one basis for swamps and heads. All wetland mitigation shall be accomplished within the "Wetland Restoration/Rehydration Target Areas" shown on Map G2.I (Exhibit I).

Any future request to slightly reduce the total area of wetland habitat preserve shall be addressed as part of the appropriate Application for Incremental Approval (AIDA). The rationale for alteration and the alternatives that were investigated to either limit or eliminate the need for wetland alterations shall be provided by the Applicant as part of appropriate AIDA’s. Specific details of any wetland alteration/modification and appropriate mitigation, monitoring and maintenance plans shall be submitted to Sarasota County for review and approval at the preliminary plan or site and development plan stage. These plans shall address the criteria contained in "The Environmental and Surface Water Management, Maintenance and Monitoring Manual for the Palmer Ranch." Said alterations and/or required mitigation shall be consistent with the Management Guidelines of the Environment Chapter of the Sarasota County Comprehensive Plan, County approved mitigation monitoring and maintenance plans, the intent of the MDO commitment of preserving both wetland habitats and mitigation areas, and subject to the review and approval by Sarasota County.

2. In accordance with the MDO commitments, all undisturbed wetlands, mitigation areas and required upland vegetative buffers shall be maintained as preservation areas, labeled preservation areas on all plans, and whenever practical, recorded as separate tracts on final plats. All preserve areas shall be maintained in compliance with resource management plans (including identification of responsible entity) submitted as part of appropriate AIDA’s, subject to review and approval by the County.
3. Open space areas shall be depicted on the appropriate AIDA's to ensure that preservation, conservation/open space areas, wildlife corridors, wetland restoration, mitigation and littoral zone target areas are used first to fulfill open space requirements. A breakdown of open space with an indication of where the proposed open space balance would be allocated in the future shall be submitted with each AIDA, thereby demonstrating compliance with this requirement. Any proposed reallocation of open space types shall a) not involve either designated preservation areas or lands within existing eagle primary protection zones, b) not create a net loss of open space, and c) be justified by the Applicant and approved by Sarasota County through the AIDA or subsequent amendment process. Any proposed modifications complying with these criteria shall not be deemed a substantial deviation pursuant to Chapter 380, Florida Statutes.

4. In accordance with Native Habitat Condition No. 9 herein, no less than 40.0 acres of mesic hammock shall be maintained as preserves, labeled as preserves on all plans, and whenever practical, recorded as separate tracts on appropriate final plats. Exact locations and acreages of mesic hammock preserves shall be identified in the appropriate subsequent AIDA's. To ensure compliance with the Comprehensive Plans' Management Guidelines concerning mesic hammock, the Applicant shall develop in consultation with Sarasota County a monitoring program through the preliminary plan and/or site and development plan process to assure that no more than 25 percent of mesic hammocks are removed from the east side Palmer Ranch DRI site and that 50 foot wide buffers of mesic hammock adjacent to wetlands and watercourses are maintained. Prior to or concurrent with the first preliminary plan and/or site and development plan submittal containing mesic hammock within the east side, a monitoring program shall be submitted to Sarasota County for review and approval. Said preservation areas shall be maintained in accordance with resource management plans (including identification of responsibility entity) submitted as part of appropriate AIDA's subject to review and approval by Sarasota County.

Additional questions to be addressed, specifically relating to Wildlife and Plant Resources. These questions are taken from the State of Florida DRI Application:

1) Identify the dominant species and other unusual or unique features of the plant communities on Map F. Identify and describe the amount of all plant communities that will be preserved in a natural state following development as shown on Map H.

2) Discuss what survey methods were used to determine the absence or presence of state or federally listed wildlife and plants. State actual sampling times and dates, and discuss any factors that may have influenced the results of the sampling effort.

3) List all state or federally listed wildlife and plant resources that were observed on the site. Given the plant communities on site, list any additional state or federally listed wildlife and plant resources expected to occur on the site and show the location of suitable habitat. Additionally, address any unique wildlife and plant resources, such as colonial bird nesting sites and migrating bird concentration areas. For species that are either observed, or expected to utilize the site, discuss the known or expected location and populations size on-
site, existence (and extent, if known) of adjacent, contiguous habitat off-site, and any specific
habitat requirements of the species.

4) Indicate what impacts development of the site will pose to affected state or federally
listed wildlife and plant resources.

5) Discuss what measures are proposed to be taken to mitigate impacts to state and
federally listed wildlife and plant resources. If protection is proposed to occur on-site, describe
what legal instrument may be used to protect the site, and what management activities will be
taken to maintain habitat value. If protection is necessary to occur off-site, identify the
proposed amount, and what means will be employed to ensure that off-site protection.

LAND USE/HOUSING

B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA’S

1. Internal commercial areas shown on Map H-2 shall be included in their entirety as part of
a subsequent AIDA or filed as a separate AIDA application. The allocation, distribution
of acreage and type of commercial use (i.e., CG, CN, OPI) in the internal commercial
nodes will be provided in subsequent AIDA’S.

2. Estimate the population increases in each AIDA according to any phasing of
development. Indicate the ultimate functional and resident population, and areas of
population concentration in each AIDA area.

3. Provide the following demographic and housing information. If specific demographic
information is not available, use County-wide data.

   a. Number of persons per household.

   b. Number of children per household.

   c. Number of elderly per household (Age 65 years and older).

   d. Total number of housing units to be built. Indicate type of housing (i.e., single
      family, duplex, cluster, multi-family), and tenure (i.e., owner occupied versus renter
      occupied).

   e. Anticipated first year of home sales.

   f. Projected final year of home sales.

   g. Projected number of sales per year by housing type and tenure.

   h. Estimated average sales price per year until build-out occurs.

4. All new AIDA submittals and modifications to approved IDOs proposing a change in use
may utilize the attached equivalency matrix, “Exhibit “E” as applicable in conjunction with
the 5-year Traffic Reanalysis.
RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE

B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA'S

1. Indicate the size, location (Map H-2), ownership and type of all proposed recreation and open space areas. The bicycle and pedestrian circulation systems shall be delineated on each subsequent Map H-2 or on an updated Map-1-2/ MPCP Master Pedestrian and Circulation Plan.

FLOODPLAIN/HURRICANE EVACUATION

B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA'S

1. If the area is subject to category 2 or 3 flooding, information shall be submitted concerning expected flooding levels, building elevations, and shelter plans, as well as any other information deemed necessary.

2. The Palmer Ranch shall consult with Sarasota County, prior to the site and development stage of each AIDA. Sarasota County Emergency Services will review each facility to be used as an emergency shelter, to determine whether it is adequate for a storm shelter. In addition, all evacuation routes shall be reviewed to determine their adequacy in the event of an emergency.

TRANSPORTATION

B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA'S

1. Palmer Ranch shall continue to provide reanalysis for the DRI pursuant to the requirements of the Settlement Stipulation described in Resolution No. 87-549 and consistent with the methodologies utilized in prior analyses adopted by Sarasota County Resolution Nos. 89-98 and 95-231, as described in the Stipulation of Settlement (Exhibit J). The review of subsequent Transportation issues in AIDA’s shall be limited to providing trip generation information demonstrating consistency with the Transportation reanalysis and demonstrating adequate site access.

2. All new AIDA submittals and modifications to approved IDOs proposing a change in use may utilize the attached equivalency matrix, “Exhibit E” as applicable in conjunction with the 5-year Traffic Analysis.

WASTEWATER

B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA'S

1. The Palmer Ranch shall update all projected wastewater flows for each AIDA project.

2. Each AIDA shall include average daily flow in MGD of wastewater generated by each development at the end of each phase.
3. If applicable, the Palmer Ranch shall provide a table describing the volume characteristics, and treatment techniques of any industrial or other effluent.

WATER SUPPLY

B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA'S

1. Potable Water - Each AIDA shall identify potable water needs and the most feasible sources to satisfy potable water demands.

2. Non-potable Water - Each AIDA shall have definitive land use plans which quantify the irrigation and other non-potable water demands and detail the non-potable water supply source to satisfy demands.

3. If any water wells exist, they shall be located during site investigations for each AIDA, at which time, proposed well locations and other information required for non-potable use shall also be delineated and presented in AIDA documents.

4. Parcels within each AIDA are required to connect to Sarasota County Public Utilities reclaimed water systems when reasonably available. In cases where a connection to reclaimed water is not readily available, then the development shall be constructed with the underground infrastructure needed to establish a reclaimed connection at a future date. Sarasota County’s Utility Director is authorized to determine the configuration of are claimed water connection or future reclaimed water connection, or waive this requirement in cases where the Utility Director deems the connection requirement impractical.

SOLID WASTE

B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA'S

1. The Palmer Ranch shall provide in each AIDA a letter from the Sarasota County Director of Solid Waste indicating the amount of current excess capacity to accommodate the additional refuse.

POLICE

B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA'S

1. The Palmer Ranch shall indicate the demand that will be generated by each AIDA for police services.

FIRE PROTECTION/HEALTH CARE

B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA'S

1. The Palmer Ranch shall provide in each AIDA response times for fire and emergency medical service.
SPECIFIC DRI INFORMATION

In the appropriate AIDA’s the Palmer Ranch shall respond to the following questions as required in ADA Questionnaire (Form DSP-BLWM-11-76 Note: Renumbered DEO-BCP ADA 1, 10-01-11):

Question 42-27 - Schools. (Responses A and B).

A. If the development contains residential units, estimate the number of school age children expected to reside in the development. Use class breakdowns appropriate to the area in which the development is located (specify on chart below):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Elementary</th>
<th>Middle</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Will school facilities or sites be dedicated or otherwise provided on the site?

C. Attach a letter from the appropriate school board, acknowledging receipt of the estimated school age population information in (A) above, and providing a statement of what capital improvement adjustments would be necessary to accommodate these students.
Agenda

__________________ Item

Palmer Ranch Increment XXVII
Pre-App Checklist

10b

10b
Background

On April 6, 2017, a Pre-Application meeting was held for the proposed Palmer Ranch Increment 27 DRI Application for Incremental Development Approval on Parcels B9 (40 acres) and B10 (53.77 acres). Parcel B10 is a Sarasota County Park and is not being developed in any way by the applicant for B9, but was included within Increment 27 since all land within the Master DRI must be included within an Increment including county parks. The property is located south of Sawyer Loop Road & approx. 1,000 ft. east of McIntosh Road (see Attachment I and II). Attending this meeting was the applicant and their consultants, Sarasota County development review staff and SWFRPC staff.

Project Description

The development proposal is to construct a gated residential community consisting of 149 multi-family residential units with an amenity area on Parcel B9 (see Attachment III).

Questions for Palmer Ranch Increment

Pursuant to the amended Master Development Order, all parties agreed to require the applicant to answer all applicable regional and local information requirements (see Attachment IV). As required by the MDO a recent revised and updated transportation reanalysis included impacts from Increment 27.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approve the questionnaire checklist.
# PALMER RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT ORDER QUESTIONNAIRE CHECKLIST FOR DRI-AIDA SUBMISSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Question No.</th>
<th>Regionally Significant Y/N</th>
<th>Answer Required Y/N</th>
<th>Special Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General DRI AIDA Information</td>
<td>Part I &amp; Part II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Submit Maps A, B, C.1, C.2, C.3, F.1, F.2, G.1, G.2, H.1 &amp; I.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Conditions Air Quality</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land/Soils</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rare &amp; Endangered Species</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality &amp; Drainage</td>
<td>B. 1-4</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Answer B. 1-4 plus additional questions to be addressed, specifically relating to Wildlife and Plant Resources. These questions are taken from the State of Florida DRI Application.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Habitats</td>
<td>B. 1-4</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use/Housing</td>
<td>B. 2-3</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Answer B. 2 &amp; 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical &amp; Archeological Recreational &amp; Open Space</td>
<td>B. 1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Answer B.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floodplain/Hurricane Evacuation</td>
<td>B. 1-2</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Answer B. 1 &amp; 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>B. 1</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Trip generation rates and site access issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater</td>
<td>B. 1 &amp; 2</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Answer B. 1 &amp; 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply</td>
<td>B. 1-3 &amp; *B. 4</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Answer B. 1-4 (B. 4 to be adopted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste</td>
<td>B. 1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Answer B.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police</td>
<td>B. 1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Answer B.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Protection/Health Care</td>
<td>B. 1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Answer B.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SPECIFIC DRI INFORMATION – ADA Questionnaire (Form DSP-BLWM-11-76 renumbered DEO-BCP ADA 1 on 10-01-11)

Applicant Information Part I
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Question No.</th>
<th>Regionally Significant Y/N</th>
<th>Answer Required Y/N</th>
<th>Special Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>A, B &amp; C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Responses Part V, Q 27,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GENERAL

B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA’S

1. In all appropriate AIDA’s, the Palmer Ranch shall respond to the following general questions as required in the Standardized Questionnaire for Developments of Regional Impact in Unincorporated Sarasota County:

Part I

A. Statement of Intent
B. Applicant Information
C. Development Information
D. Permit Information
E. Statement of Purpose

Part II

A. General DRI Information
B. Maps
C. General Project Description

WATER QUALITY & DRAINAGE

B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA’S

1. Concurrent with each AIDA submitted for any development parcel, the appropriate Watershed Management Plan shall be updated and submitted to Sarasota County. The Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council shall be provided with a copy of any updates.

2. Any subsequent Application for Incremental Development Approval (AIDA) for the Palmer Ranch shall include an environmental and surface water management plan for the increment documenting consistency with the Little Sarasota Bay Watershed Management Plan. This plan shall be reviewed and approved by appropriate county departments as determined at the time of submittal.

3. The Palmer Ranch shall adhere to the Little Sarasota Bay Watershed Management Plan and all applicable drainage basin models. The drainage basin models shall be updated to assess any future changes in land use within the applicable areas of the Palmer Ranch.

4. The Applicant shall be responsible for any corrective actions required for the maintenance of stormwater management systems which is not specifically the responsibility of Sarasota County.
NATIVE HABITATS

B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA’S

1. Wetland modifications/alterations on the east side shall be as shown on Map F2 (Exhibit F), unless otherwise approved by Sarasota County. The total area of wetland habitat preserve may be slightly reduced resulting from unavoidable impacts necessitated by internal parcel roadway and infrastructure requirements as identified in subsequent Applications for Incremental Development Approval (AIDA’s). All alterations in wetlands which result in a loss of habitat shall be mitigated. The amount of mitigation needed to offset alterations that result in loss of wetland habitat shall be determined by the Uniform Mitigation Assessment Method (UMAM) Chapter 62-345 Florida Administrative Code. In instances where the UMAM requirements do not apply, mitigation shall be on a one-to-one basis for wet prairies and sloughs and a three-to-one basis for swamps and heads. All wetland mitigation shall be accomplished within the "Wetland Restoration/Rehydration Target Areas" shown on Map G2.1 (Exhibit I).

Any future request to slightly reduce the total area of wetland habitat preserve shall be addressed as part of the appropriate Application for Incremental Approval (AIDA). The rationale for alteration and the alternatives that were investigated to either limit or eliminate the need for wetland alterations shall be provided by the Applicant as part of appropriate AIDA’s. Specific details of any wetland alteration/modification and appropriate mitigation, monitoring and maintenance plans shall be submitted to Sarasota County for review and approval at the preliminary plan or site and development plan stage. These plans shall address the criteria contained in "The Environmental and Surface Water Management, Maintenance and Monitoring Manual for the Palmer Ranch." Said alterations and/or required mitigation shall be consistent with the Management Guidelines of the Environment Chapter of the Sarasota County Comprehensive Plan, County approved mitigation monitoring and maintenance plans, the intent of the MDO commitment of preserving both wetland habitats and mitigation areas, and subject to the review and approval by Sarasota County.

2. In accordance with the MDO commitments, all undisturbed wetlands, mitigation areas and required upland vegetative buffers shall be maintained as preservation areas, labeled preservation areas on all plans, and whenever practical, recorded as separate tracts on final plats. All preserve areas shall be maintained in compliance with resource management plans (including identification of responsible entity) submitted as part of appropriate AIDA’s, subject to review and approval by the County.

3. Open space areas shall be depicted on the appropriate AIDA’s to ensure that preservation, conservation/open space areas, wildlife corridors, wetland restoration, mitigation and littoral zone target areas are used first to fulfill open space requirements. A breakdown of open space with an indication of where the proposed open space balance would be allocated in the future shall be submitted with each AIDA, thereby demonstrating compliance with this requirement. Any proposed reallocation of open space types shall a) not involve either designated preservation areas or lands within existing eagle primary
protection zones, b) not create a net loss of open space, and c) be justified by the Applicant and approved by Sarasota County through the AIDA or subsequent amendment process. Any proposed modifications complying with these criteria shall not be deemed a substantial deviation pursuant to Chapter 380, Florida Statutes.

4. In accordance with Native Habitat Condition No. 9 herein, no less than 40.0 acres of mesic hammock shall be maintained as preserves on all plans, and whenever practical, recorded as separate tracts on appropriate final plats. Exact locations and acreages of mesic hammock preserves shall be identified in the appropriate subsequent AIDA’s. To ensure compliance with the Comprehensive Plans’ Management Guidelines concerning mesic hammock, the Applicant shall develop in consultation with Sarasota County a monitoring program through the preliminary plan and/or site and development plan process to assure that no more than 25 percent of mesic hammocks are removed from the east side Palmer Ranch DRI site and that 50 foot wide buffers of mesic hammock adjacent to wetlands and watercourses are maintained. Prior to or concurrent with the first preliminary plan and/or site and development plan submittal containing mesic hammock within the east side, a monitoring program shall be submitted to Sarasota County for review and approval. Said preservation areas shall be maintained in accordance with resource management plans (including identification of responsibility entity) submitted as part of appropriate AIDA’s subject to review and approval by Sarasota County.

Additional questions to be addressed, specifically relating to Wildlife and Plant Resources. These questions are taken from the State if Florida DRI Application:

1) Identify the dominant species and other unusual or unique features of the plant communities on Map F. Identify and describe the amount of all plant communities that will be preserved in a natural state following development as shown on Map H.

2) Discuss what survey methods were used to determine the absence or presence of state or federally listed wildlife and plants. State actual sampling times and dates, and discuss any factors that may have influenced the results of the sampling effort.

3) List all state or federally listed wildlife and plant resources that were observed on the site. Given the plant communities on site, list any additional state or federally listed wildlife and plant resources expected to occur on the site and show the location of suitable habitat. Additionally, address any unique wildlife and plant resources, such as colonial bird nesting sites and migrating bird concentration areas. For species that are either observed, or expected to utilize the site, discuss the known or expected location and populations size on-site, existence (and extent, if known) of adjacent, contiguous habitat off-site, and any specific habitat requirements of the species.

4) Indicate what impacts development of the site will pose to affected state or federally listed wildlife and plant resources.

5) Discuss what measures are proposed to be taken to mitigate impacts to state and federally listed wildlife and plant resources. If protection is proposed to occur on-site, describe
what legal instrument may be used to protect the site, and what management activities will be taken to maintain habitat value. If protection is necessary to occur off-site, identify the proposed amount, and what means will be employed to ensure that off-site protection.

**LAND USE/HOUSING**

**B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA'S**

1. Estimate the population increases in each AIDA according to any phasing of development. Indicate the ultimate functional and resident population, and areas of population concentration in each AIDA area.

2. Provide the following demographic and housing information. If specific demographic information is not available, use County-wide data.
   
   a. Number of persons per household.
   
   b. Number of children per household.
   
   c. Number of elderly per household (Age 65 years and older).
   
   d. Total number of housing units to be built. Indicate type of housing (i.e., single family, duplex, cluster, multi-family), and tenure (i.e., owner occupied versus renter occupied).
   
   e. Anticipated first year of home sales.
   
   f. Projected final year of home sales.
   
   g. Projected number of sales per year by housing type and tenure.
   
   h. Estimated average sales price per year until build-out occurs.

**RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE**

**B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA'S**

1. Indicate the size, location (Map H-2), ownership and type of all proposed recreation and open space areas. The bicycle and pedestrian circulation systems shall be delineated on each subsequent Map H-2 or on an updated Map-1-2/ MPCP Master Pedestrian and Circulation Plan.

**FLOODPLAIN/HURRICANE EVACUATION**

**B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA'S**

2. If the area is subject to category 2 or 3 flooding, information shall be submitted concerning expected flooding levels, building elevations, and shelter plans, as well as any other information deemed necessary.
3. The Palmer Ranch shall consult with Sarasota County, prior to the site and development stage of each AIDA. Sarasota County Emergency Services will review each facility to be used as an emergency shelter, to determine whether it is adequate for a storm shelter. In addition, all evacuation routes shall be reviewed to determine their adequacy in the event of an emergency.

TRANSPORTATION

B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA'S

1. Palmer Ranch shall continue to provide reanalysis for the DRI pursuant to the requirements of the Settlement Stipulation described in Resolution No. 87-549 and consistent with the methodologies utilized in prior analyses adopted by Sarasota County Resolution Nos. 89-98 and 95-231, as described in the Stipulation of Settlement (Exhibit J). The review of subsequent Transportation issues in AIDA's shall be limited to providing trip generation information demonstrating consistency with the Transportation reanalysis and demonstrating adequate site access.

WASTEWATER

B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA'S

1. The Palmer Ranch shall update all projected wastewater flows for each AIDA project.

2. Each AIDA shall include average daily flow in MGD of wastewater generated by each development at the end of each phase.

WATER SUPPLY

B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA'S

1. Potable Water - Each AIDA shall identify potable water needs and the most feasible sources to satisfy potable water demands.

2. Non-potable Water - Each AIDA shall have definitive land use plans which quantify the irrigation and other non-potable water demands and detail the non-potable water supply source to satisfy demands.

3. If any water wells exist, they shall be located during site investigations for each AIDA, at which time, proposed well locations and other information required for non-potable use shall also be delineated and presented in AIDA documents.

4. Parcels within each AIDA are required to connect to Sarasota County Public Utilities reclaimed water systems when reasonably available. In cases where a connection to reclaimed water is not readily available, then the development shall be constructed with the underground infrastructure needed to establish a reclaimed connection at a future date. Sarasota County’s Utility Director is authorized to determine the configuration of are claimed water connection or future reclaimed water connection, or waive this
requirement in cases where the Utility Director deems the connection requirement impractical.

SOLID WASTE

B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA'S

1. The Palmer Ranch shall provide in each AIDA a letter from the Sarasota County Director of Solid Waste indicating the amount of current excess capacity to accommodate the additional refuse.

POLICE

B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA'S

1. The Palmer Ranch shall indicate the demand that will be generated by each AIDA for police services.

FIRE PROTECTION/HEALTH CARE

B. ISSUES SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW IN AIDA'S

SPECIFIC DRI INFORMATION

In the appropriate AIDA's the Palmer Ranch shall respond to the following questions as required in ADA Questionnaire (Form DSP-BLWM-11-76 Note: Renumbered DEO-BCP ADA 1, 10-01-11):

Question 42-27- Schools. (Responses A and B).

A. If the development contains residential units, estimate the number of school age children expected to reside in the development. Use class breakdowns appropriate to the area in which the development is located (specify on chart below):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Elementary</th>
<th>Middle</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Will school facilities or sites be dedicated or otherwise provided on the site?

C. Attach a letter from the appropriate school board, acknowledging receipt of the estimated school age population information in (A) above, and providing a statement of what capital improvement adjustments would be necessary to accommodate these students.
Agenda
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PINE AIR LAKES DRI COLLIER COUNTY
NOTICE OF PROPOSED CHANGE

Background

On November 12, 1985, the Collier County, Board of County Commissioners approved the Pine Air Lakes Development Order (DO) (DO #85-5). Originally, approved as multiuse project containing hotel, retail, office and institutional uses totally 1,280,600 sq. ft. The last county DO amendment was approved on March 13, 2007 in Resolution 07-63/DO #07-01, which was reviewed as a substantial deviation application. The DRI has current approval for 1,000,000 sq. ft. of Regional Commercial Retail, and 75,000 sq. ft. of office, with a conversion rate of up to 50,000 sq. ft. for both uses. Based on the 2016 monitoring report there is 787,158 sq. ft. of retail and 29,489 sq. ft. of office constructed on the site. The current buildout was October 14, 2010. The project is located just north of the intersection of Airport-Pulling Road and Pine Ridge Road, in the North Naples area of Collier County (see Attachment I, Location Map).

Previous Changes

1. Resolution 86-63, April 15, 1986: to address an appeal of the DO; and the appeal had the effect of extending the buildout date from November 12, 1995 to April 15, 1996.

2. Resolution 94-349/DO 94-2, May 10, 1994: to: (1) revise the buildout/termination date to be 14 years and 6 months from the date the DO was effective (from April 15, 1986); and (2) to state that the project buildout date shall be the same date as the DO termination date, that is October 15, 2000.

3. Resolution 2003/DO 2004-02, September 28, 2004: to revise the buildout/termination date to state “This DO’s termination date and the project buildout date shall be the same date which is October 14, 2005.


Proposed Changes

In January 2017 a notice of proposed change (NOPC) was submitted by Daniel Aronoff of Land Management Services Associates LLC to extend the DO’s termination and the project build-out date (which are the same date) by one day less than FIVE (5) years, to December 28, 2023, and change the name of the Developer to “Land Management Services Associates LLC”. Below is the time line for the various extensions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buildout</th>
<th>Expiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original Date - DO 85-5</td>
<td>11/12/1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution No. 86-63</td>
<td>11/15/1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO 94-2</td>
<td>10/15/2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO 04-02</td>
<td>10/14/2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO 07-01</td>
<td>10/14/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007 Extension - 3 years</td>
<td>10/14/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380.06(19)(c), F.S.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 Extension - 2 years</td>
<td>10/14/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senate Bill 360</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governor Emergency Extension</td>
<td>3/12/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS Debby &amp; TS Isaac - 149 days tolled plus 12 mo. extension</td>
<td>3/12/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252.363, F.S.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governor Emergency Extension</td>
<td>12/29/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zika Virus and Hurricane Matthew - 292 days tolled plus 12 mo. extension</td>
<td>12/29/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252.363, F.S.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed 4 years 364 days</td>
<td>12/28/2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12/28/2023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Staff Analysis**

The NOPC seeks a number of extensions based on “tolling” for the last recession and various disaster declarations. Tolling for DRI's relates to “any extension of the buildout date of a project or a phase thereof shall automatically extend the commencement date of the project, the termination date of the development order, the expiration date of the development of regional impact, and the phases thereof if applicable by a like period of time” (Chapter 380.06(19)(c)2 F.S.).

The last amended DO 2007-01 was the result of a substantial deviation application that provided a cumulative analysis of impacts. Therefore, according to SWFRPC and State policy once a full reanalysis is performed the project can start over for extension date purposes to zero. As a result, this less than five-year extension according to Chapter 380.06(19)(c) F.S. is considered not a substantial deviation.
Character, Magnitude and Location

The character, magnitude and location will not change associated with this change in buildout.

Regional Resources and Facilities Impacts

No additional regional impacts to resources or facilities will occur from the extensions since most of the regional conditions of the DO have been met for buildout of the project. Furthermore, because this extension is for less than five-year it is considered not a substantial deviation according to Chapter 380.06(19)(c) F.S.

Potential Multi-Jurisdictional Issues

No new multi-jurisdictional issues will occur from this change not previously reviewed by the SWFRPC.

Need for Reassessment of the DRI

Because this less than five-year extension is considered not a substantial deviation according to Chapter 380.06(19)(c) F.S. we are precluded from seeking a reassessment of the DRI, unless the local government needs to reassessment the project impacts for some specific reason.

Acceptable of the Proposed Development Order Amendment Language

The draft development order language provided with the NOPC is acceptable to address the change proposed to extend the termination and buildout date.

RECOMMENDED ACTIONS: 1. Notify Collier County, the Florida Department of Community Affairs, and the applicant of the Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council determination that the proposed change does not create additional regional impacts.

2. Request Collier County provide a copy of the proposed Development Order and any related materials to the Council in order to ensure that the Development Order is consistent with the Notice of Proposed Change.

April 20, 2017
Agenda

Item

SWFRPC Committee Reports
Agenda

Item 11a

Budget & Finance Committee

11a
2016 - 2017 Workplan & Budget Financial Snapshot
Feb-17

Revenues
Local Assessments
Total Federal/State Grants
Misc. Grants/Contracts
Other Revenue Sources

Monthly Revenues

Notes: Local Assessments billed at the beginning of each quarter: October, January, April and July
State/Federal Grants billed quarterly: LEPC, HMEP, TD, EPA, and ED
Misc. Grants/Contracts billed by deliverable: SQG, Interagency PO’S
Other(DRI) billed /recorded monthly as cost reimbursement

Monthly Net Income (Loss)

YTD: Net Income $21,053 Unaudited
### Cash and Cash Equivalents:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Petty Cash</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FineMark Operating Funds</td>
<td>$75,896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016 Fiscal Year Carryover</td>
<td>$22,258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cash and Cash Equivalents</strong></td>
<td><strong>$98,354</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Investments:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FineMark Money Market</td>
<td>$535,913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local government Surplus Trust Fund Investment Pool (Fund A)</td>
<td>$136,818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Investments</strong></td>
<td><strong>$672,732</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total Reserves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Reserves</td>
<td><strong>$771,085</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## SWFRPC INCOME STATEMENT
### COMPARED WITH BUDGET
#### FOR THE ONE MONTH ENDING FEBRUARY 28, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVENUES</th>
<th>Current Month</th>
<th>Year to Date A</th>
<th>FY 2016-2017 Approved Budget B</th>
<th>% Of Budget Year to Date</th>
<th>Budget Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOCAL ASSESSMENTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHARLOTTE COUNTY</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 25,072</td>
<td>$ 50,142</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>$ 25,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLLIER COUNTY</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 51,570</td>
<td>$ 103,141</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>$ 51,571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLADES COUNTY</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 1,928</td>
<td>$ 3,856</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>$ 1,928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HENDRY COUNTY</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 5,714</td>
<td>$ 11,429</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>$ 5,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEE COUNTY</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 55,142</td>
<td>$ 110,282</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>$ 55,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY OF CAPE CORAL</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 24,976</td>
<td>$ 49,952</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>$ 24,976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY OF FORT MYERS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 10,860</td>
<td>$ 21,719</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>$ 10,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOWN OF FORT MYERS BEACH INC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 940</td>
<td>$ 1,879</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>$ 939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BONITA SPRINGS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 6,986</td>
<td>$ 13,970</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>$ 6,984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY OF SANIBEL</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 976</td>
<td>$ 1,951</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>$ 975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARASOTA COUNTY</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 58,814</td>
<td>$ 117,627</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>$ 58,813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSMENTS</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 242,978</td>
<td>$ 485,948</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>$ 242,970</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FEDERAL / STATE GRANTS</th>
<th>Current Month</th>
<th>Year to Date A</th>
<th>FY 2016-2017 Approved Budget B</th>
<th>% Of Budget Year to Date</th>
<th>Budget Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEM -Title III - LEPC 16/17</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 14,544</td>
<td>$ 44,250</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>$ 29,706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEM HMEP PT 16/17</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 2,384</td>
<td>$ 60,349</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>$ 57,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL CTD - TD Glades/Hendry 16/17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 7,329</td>
<td>$ 28,880</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>$ 21,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEM - Collier Hazard Analysis 16/17</td>
<td>$ 3,624</td>
<td>$ 3,624</td>
<td>$ 8,054</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>$ 4,430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEO Labelle</td>
<td>$ 5,000</td>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
<td>$ 20,000</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecosystem Services - EPA</td>
<td>$ 2,025</td>
<td>$ 36,318</td>
<td>$ 36,634</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>$ 316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland Mitigation Strategy - EPA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 110,000</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$ 110,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development Planning 14/16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 15,750</td>
<td>$ 15,750</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development Planning 17/19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 70,000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$ 70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL FEDERAL / STATE GRANTS</td>
<td>$ 10,649</td>
<td>$ 89,950</td>
<td>$ 393,917</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>$ 303,967</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MISC. GRANTS / CONTRACTS/CONTRACTUAL</th>
<th>Current Month</th>
<th>Year to Date A</th>
<th>FY 2016-2017 Approved Budget B</th>
<th>% Of Budget Year to Date</th>
<th>Budget Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GLADES SQG</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 3,900</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$ 3,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Coral CCRS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 30,600</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$ 30,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelican Cove CCAP</td>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
<td>$ 20,000</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train the Trainers</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 5,000</td>
<td>$ 5,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangrove Loss</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 1,000</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$ 1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clewiston RBDG TA</td>
<td>$ 3,000</td>
<td>$ 3,000</td>
<td>$ 3,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL MISC. GRANTS/CONTRACTS</td>
<td>$ 13,000</td>
<td>$ 18,000</td>
<td>$ 63,500</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>$ 45,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DRIS/NOPCS/MONITORING</th>
<th>Current Month</th>
<th>Year to Date A</th>
<th>FY 2016-2017 Approved Budget B</th>
<th>% Of Budget Year to Date</th>
<th>Budget Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DRI MONITORING FEES</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 750</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ (750)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRIS/NOPCS INCOME</td>
<td>$ 5,563</td>
<td>$ 13,823</td>
<td>$ 35,000</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>$ 21,177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$ 5,563</td>
<td>$ 14,573</td>
<td>$ 35,000</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>$ 20,427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current Month</td>
<td>Year to Date A</td>
<td>FY 2016-2017 Approved Budget B</td>
<td>% Of Budget Year to Date</td>
<td>Budget Remaining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Development (Unsecured Grants/Contract)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 Brownfield Symposium</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>(2,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$ (2,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL REVENUES</td>
<td>$31,437</td>
<td>$370,282</td>
<td>$1,572,802</td>
<td>$614,082</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSONNEL EXPENSES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALARIES EXPENSE</td>
<td>$32,715</td>
<td>$158,669</td>
<td>$476,748</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>318,079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FICA EXPENSE</td>
<td>2,419</td>
<td>11,735</td>
<td>36,471</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>24,736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RETIREMENT EXPENSE</td>
<td>3,763</td>
<td>13,112</td>
<td>47,715</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>34,603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEALTH INSURANCE EXPENSE</td>
<td>4,775</td>
<td>23,776</td>
<td>63,090</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>39,314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WORKERS COMP. EXPENSE</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>3,687</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>2,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNEMPLOYMENT COMP. EXPENSE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PERSONNEL EXPENSES</td>
<td>$43,887</td>
<td>$208,276</td>
<td>$627,711</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>419,435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPERATIONAL EXPENSES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSULTANTS</td>
<td>$19,588</td>
<td>$52,393</td>
<td>$108,600</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>56,208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRANT/CONSULTING EXPENSE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,012</td>
<td>37,049</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>31,037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDIT SERVICES EXPENSE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAVEL EXPENSE</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>8,307</td>
<td>29,620</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>21,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TELEPHONE EXPENSE</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>1,834</td>
<td>5,100</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>3,266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POSTAGE / SHIPPING EXPENSE</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>1,725</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>1,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUIPMENT RENTAL EXPENSE</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>2,563</td>
<td>7,190</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>4,627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INSURANCE EXPENSE</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>6,982</td>
<td>10,566</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>3,585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPAIR/MAINT. EXPENSE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,324</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRINTING/REPRODUCTION EXPENSE</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>1,707</td>
<td>4,146</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>2,439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTILITIES (Elec, Internet)</td>
<td>1,289</td>
<td>7,778</td>
<td>24,900</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>17,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADVERTISING/LEGAL NOTICES EXP</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>1,750</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>1,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER MISIC. EXPENSE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4,837</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4,805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current Month</td>
<td>Year to Date</td>
<td>FY 2016-2017 Approved Budget</td>
<td>% Of Budget Year to Date</td>
<td>Budget Remaining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BANK SERVICE CHARGES</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFFICE SUPPLIES EXPENSE</td>
<td>852</td>
<td>1,702</td>
<td>5,211</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>3,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPUTER RELATED EXPENSE</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>14,134</td>
<td>21,671</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>7,537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUES AND MEMBERSHIP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13,184</td>
<td>25,310</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>12,126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUBLICATION EXPENSE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROF. DEVELOP.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEETINGS/EVENTS EXPENSE</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>2,552</td>
<td>4,750</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>2,198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPITAL OUTLAY - OPERATIONS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPITAL OUTLAY - BUILDING</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEASE LONG TERM</td>
<td>3,675</td>
<td>18,025</td>
<td>43,750</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>25,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNCOLLECTABLE RECEIVABLES</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FUND BALANCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$588,437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALLOCATION FOR FRINGE/INDIRECT (CAPTURED BY GRANTS)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UTILIZED RESERVE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>(13,322)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL OPERATIONAL EXP.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>945,090</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL CASH OUTLAY</strong></td>
<td>$72,913</td>
<td>$349,229</td>
<td>$1,572,802</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NET INCOME (LOSS)</strong></td>
<td>$-41,476</td>
<td>$21,053</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ASSETS

### Current Assets
- Cash - Florida Prime: $136,818.47
- Cash - FineMark Oper.: 75,895.55
- Cash - FineMark MM: 535,913.23
- Petty Cash: 200.00
- Accounts Receivable: 128,251.74

**Total Current Assets:** $877,078.99

### Property and Equipment
- Property, Furniture & Equip: 237,172.31
- Accumulated Depreciation: (197,201.57)

**Total Property and Equipment:** $39,970.74

### Other Assets
- Amount t.b.p. for L.T.L.-Leave: 40,634.44
- FSA Deposit: 2,881.29
- Rental Deposits: 3,500.00
- Amt t.b.p. for L.T.Debt-OPEB: 63,441.00

**Total Other Assets:** $110,456.73

**Total Assets:** $1,027,506.46

## LIABILITIES AND CAPITAL

### Current Liabilities
- Accounts Payable: $4,967.50
- Deferred Income - EPA_3675: 315.50
- Deferred NorthPoint NOPC_5328: 662.23
- Deferred Pelican Marsh_5329: 468.69
- Deferred Commons NOPC_5337: 1,500.00
- Deferred BRC Master NOPC_5338: 1,899.11
- Deferred BRC Incr 1 NOPC_5339: 1,918.14
- Deferred Tern Bay NOPC_5340: 1,126.43
- Deferred PR-II CC NOPC_5341: 1,500.00
- Deferred PR Parcel 9E DRI_5342: 19,169.99
- Deferred Pine Air NOPC_5343: 1,937.12
- FICA Taxes Payable: 174.29
- United way Payable: (235.00)
- FSA Payable: (79.53)
- LEPC Contingency Fund: 305.25

**Total Current Liabilities:** $35,629.72

### Long-Term Liabilities
- Accrued Annual Leave: 40,634.44
- Long Term Debt - OPEB: 63,441.00

**Total Long-Term Liabilities:** $104,075.44

**Total Liabilities:** $139,705.16

### Capital
- Fund Balance-Unassigned: 312,777.76
- Fund Balance-Assigned: 514,000.00
- FB-Non-Spendable/Fixed Assets: 39,970.74
- Net Income: 21,052.80

**Total Capital:** $866,761.30

*Unaudited - For Management Purposes Only*
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Capital</td>
<td>887,801.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liabilities &amp; Capital</td>
<td>$ 1,027,506.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unaudited - For Management Purposes Only
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Estero Bay Agency on Bay Management

The regular meeting of the Estero Bay Agency on Bay Management was held on Monday, April 10, 2017 at the 9:30 AM at the SWFRPC offices. The approved minutes of the February 13, 2017 meeting are attached.

There was presentation on the project to maintenance dredge New Pass between Estero Bay and the Gulf of Mexico by Mr. Michael Poff. A copy of the presentation will be posted at the EBABM web page at [http://www.swfrpc.org/abm.html](http://www.swfrpc.org/abm.html).

Old Business included discussions on the Comprehensive Plan process for the Village of Estero, the proposed developments in the DRGR, and the South Lee County Watershed Initiative.

Emerging Issues include the Corkscrew Road Overlay Study, and proposal to fill submerged lands north of Bonita Beach road, and the offshore drilling issue.

The **next IAS Subcommittee Meeting** is Monday, April 24, 2017, 1:30 PM, SWFRPC.

The **next Meeting Time and Place**, for EBABM is May 8, 2017 9:30 AM, SWFRPC.

**Recommended Action: Information only.**
Summary Activity by Dr. Everham) from
Cela Tega - Resiliency and Adaptations in the Estero Bay Region
December 12, 2016

As the closing activity for the conference, participants were asked to respond to two questions as a group activity: 1) What was the most important thing you heard today?, and 2) What did we miss? Group responses are summarized below.

Most Important Points:

Impacts:
- Sea level rise and groundwater interactions
- SLR impacts on building foundations and other underground effects
- Saltmarshes, peat loss, subsidence, loss of islands and changing geomorphology
- Differences between sea level rise, storm surge and king tides
- Sea level rise AND run

Actions:
- All the community-based planning already happening
- Simple approaches in Punta Gorda give hopeful realistic response
- Opportunities are still out there
- Find ways to get the general public involved, encourage public input
- Resiliency planning needs to start early and be integrated in all aspects of community planning
- How planners need the buy-in of the general public and elected officials
- Utilize existing, particularly free, resources, and many tools that are already out there
- Local communities are able to organize and have impact – grassroots networks to work together
- Work on parallel tracks with planning and mitigation actions
- Senate Bill 1094

Things that were missed:
- Collier County missing from the conversation (there was a presentation on Collier County plans)
- We need a regional model for SLR (these exist)
- Need a coordinated regional advisory network
- What is happening in the State Legislature? Nationally? What should be?
- Funding sources
- Ties to economics and the business community, Chambers of Commerce
- Have the insurance industry represented
- The economics of building demolition and cleanup
- Water management and water resources
- Habitat migration, impacts to wildlife
- The difficulty of communicating urgency with incremental change
Following the discussion of these two questions, the participants were charged with determining individual actions that would follow. (§) represent comments by JWB3)

W. Everham 1/9/2017
1. Provide Comments and Report to the Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council (SWFRPC) and others on relevant Items of Review such as: Comprehensive Plan Amendments, Developments of Regional Impact, update of the Strategic Regional Policy Plan, Intergovernmental Coordination and Review projects, etc.

2. Develop strategies and recommend actions to reduce impairment to Estero Bay waters. This will include comment on important initiatives including Surface Water Improvement Management (SWIM), development of TMDLs, establishment of Minimum Flows and Levels, Pollution Load Reduction Goals (PRGs), Basin Management Action Plans (BMAPs), Numeric Nutrient Criteria (NNC), and refinement of the Southwest Florida Special Basin Rule.

3. Seek continuing funding support from EBABM partners and external grant sources for special projects, event, and staff support of the EBABM.

4. Coordinate activities with the Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program (CHNEP) and the Southwest Florida Watershed Council.

5. Collect and maintain a data library for Estero Bay at the offices of the SWFRPC and contribute to the CHNEP water atlas.

6. Explore the addition of these Estero Bay materials to Digital FGCU.

7. Review and comment to regulatory and infrastructure agencies on issues affecting Estero Bay and its watershed.

8. Review and participate as appropriate on other current issues affecting Estero Bay, such as the Caloosahatchee watershed and its tributaries.

9. Assure effective dissemination recommendations and findings to decision makers and the public.

10. Support land acquisitions and protections in the Estero Bay Watershed including participation in the implementation of the Florida Water and Land Conservation Initiative, (Amendment 1)

11. Continue mapping project that shows relationship of projects that impact conservation lands, critical habitats, watersheds and flow-way areas.
AGENDA/SCHEDULE
2016 Cela Tega: Resiliency and Climate Change Adaptation in the Estero Bay Region
FGCU Sugden Resort and Hospitality Management Building Room 111
December 12, 2016

Cela Tega is the southwest Florida native (i.e.: Calusa) term for “A view from high ground”. We used it here to symbolize “overview”. The term was inspired from the Carib Native North American term epopopanana meaning:
this is Meeting (Epopo) + And (ana) +Place (Pa) which in Carib syntax is Epopopanana

Scroll down to see the abstracts and presentations
here is a scan of the sign in sheet
and a list of registrants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monday</th>
<th>December 12, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:00 – 8:55</td>
<td>BREAKFAST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:55</td>
<td>WELCOME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00 - 10:30</td>
<td>Technical session I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 9:00 - 9:20 | Lisa Beever, PhD, Director-emeritus Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program | Climate changes and local planning responses
See Dr. Beever’s presentation here |
| 9:25 -9:45 | Rachael Kangas, Public Archaeology Coordinator, Florida Public Archaeology Network | Assessing Vulnerability at Archaeological Sites in Florida: Case Study from Pineland in Lee County (abstract)
See Ms. Kangas presentation here |
| 9:50-10:10 | Mike Savarese, Professor, Florida Gulf Coast University | Dramatic Changes within Coastal Wetlands of the Ten Thousand Islands as a Consequence of Sea-level Rise: Lessons for Estero Bay (abstract)
See Professor Savarese’s presentation here |
| 10:15 -10:30 | BREAK | BREAK |
| 10:30 - 12:00 | Technical session II | Adaptation and resiliency plans 1 |
| 10:30 - 10:45 | Tessa LeSage, Southwest Florida Community Foundation | Regional Change for the Common Good
See Ms. LeSage presentation here |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presentation Link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:50 - 11:10</td>
<td>Nader Ardalan, Chief Advisor, Harvard SLR Project</td>
<td>The Harvard GSD Project on Southwest Florida and Sea Level: The Case of Collier County (including Naples, Marco Island, and Everglades City) (Abstract)</td>
<td>Dr. Ardalan's presentation here</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15 - 11:40</td>
<td>Ricardo Alvarez, MITIGAT.com, Inc Vulnerability, Assessment and Mitigation</td>
<td>Sea level rise and the built environment: Expected impacts and solutions (abstract)</td>
<td>Mr. Alvarez's presentation here</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:40 - 12:00</td>
<td>Dr. Jennifer Shafer and Dr. David Shafer, Co-Executive Directors, Science and Environment Council</td>
<td>Climate Action Update from the Science and Environment Council of Southwest Florida (abstract)</td>
<td>the Shafers' presentation here</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 - 1:00</td>
<td>LUNCH</td>
<td>WGCU Video premiere: Preserving our waters: Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve at 50 years.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 1:45</td>
<td>Craig Pittman, author, investigative journalist</td>
<td>Oh Florida! Wacky Florida Weather and other stories</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:50 - 5:00</td>
<td>Technical session III</td>
<td>Adaptation and resiliency plans 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:45 - 2:05</td>
<td>Joan LeBeau, Chief Planner, Mitchell Austin, Planner, City of Punta Gorda, FL</td>
<td>Adaptation Planning: The Punta Gorda Experience (abstract)</td>
<td>presentation here</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:05 - 2:25</td>
<td>Randall W. Parkinson, Institute for Water and Environment, Florida International University</td>
<td>An Update on Adaptation Action Activities Undertaken Since Completion of the City of Satellite Beach (FL) Vulnerability Assessment to Rising Seas (2010) (abstract)</td>
<td>Dr. Parkinson's presentation here</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30 - 3:00</td>
<td>Keren Prize Bolter, PhD, Climate, Policy, &amp; Geospatial Analyst at the South Florida Regional Planning Council</td>
<td>Coastal Flood Resiliency: South Florida Initiatives</td>
<td>Dr. Bolter's presentation here</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00 - 3:25</td>
<td>Jim Beever, Planner, Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council</td>
<td>Public Climate Change Adaptation Process Driven by Public Input Using Public Participation Games, Interviews, Pre and Post Surveys and Other Tools (abstract)</td>
<td>Mr. Beever's presentation here</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:30 - 3:50</td>
<td>Win Everham, Professor of Environmental Studies, FGCU</td>
<td>Conference wrap up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3:55 - 5:30 | reception, appetizers, cash bar

The Wayne and Win Happy Hour

Grass at FGCU (digital, Andy Morris, 2011)

| SUMMARY AND CLOSING |
Call to Order – Mr. Daltry called the meeting to order at 9:40 AM.

Attendance- As usual attendance was taken from the sign in sheet:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tom Babcock</td>
<td>Beach Area Civic Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Cangialosi</td>
<td>ECCL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brad Cornell</td>
<td>Audubon of Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne Daltry</td>
<td>RGMC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nora Demers</td>
<td>Happehatchee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liz Donley</td>
<td>CHNEP (telephone)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Win Everham</td>
<td>FGCU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Kreiger</td>
<td>Lee County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sean McCabe</td>
<td>Conservancy of Southwest Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura Miller</td>
<td>LWV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cecil Pendergrass</td>
<td>Lee Board of Commissioners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pete Quasius</td>
<td>Audubon of the Western Everglades</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Richards</td>
<td>FGCU Student</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martha Simons</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlie Whitehead</td>
<td>Beach Area Civic Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patty Whitehead</td>
<td>Bonita Lion's Club Green Team</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staff in Attendance: Jim Beever

1) **Election of Officers**: Dr. Demers nominated Mr. Daltry for Chair, herself as continuing vice chair Nora, and Ms. Whitehead as Secretary. Ms. Simons also nominated Mr. McCabe for Secretary. The list of nominees was approved unanimously.

2) **Motion to Approve the Minutes** of the January meeting was made by Mr. Daltry and seconded by Dr. Everham. Approved unanimously.

3) **Approval of the 2017 Workplan** Discussion was held on the Workplan (attached). Win slowed work plan approval down to do introductions for new FGCU student member Lisa Rickards, graduate student examining sea grass beds in Estero bay. Small changes to the wording were suggested and approved by consensus. Motion by Mr. McCabe, second by Ms. Simons for approval of the revised Work Plan. Approved unanimously. A subcommittee was formed to work on implementation of the work plan.
4) **Review of absent memberships.** The EBABM reviewed cases of absent membership. The Port Authority, Vester Lab and SFWMD did not attend in all of last year. Darren Rumbold no longer director of Vester Marine Lab. It is now Mike Parsons, Dr. Everham is concerned that FGCU would look like a voting block with so many seats on the EBAM. Mr. Beever will reach out via letter that also tells them they can attend by phone. Ms. Simons asked that the letter contain information about why we want them to be at our table. Ms. Simons also mentioned that Bonita Springs has a zoning meeting schedule conflict that they may change. Mr. Daltry will be willing to help draft the letter. Motion to send letter by Ms. Simons, second by Charlie Whitehead. Motion passed unanimously.

5) **Old business** - Mr. Cangialosi has asked Chip Block with Lee County about the status of projects in the DR/GR. Update:

   a) Wild Blue- no current activity.
   b) Place at Corkscrew- under construction,
   c) Pepperland Ranch-(1:10 to 1:1) zoning case tentatively set March 23rd zoning May 4th before hearing examiner. Asked for comprehensive plan amendment for some sort of environmental zoning overlay to increase density. Cecil met with them, he suggested they meet with Estero group also. Cecil would not support transmittal until they met with other groups, and after traffic study was at least started. (East Corkscrew alliance). Traffic study scope postponed until March. Cecil- property already zoned for mining claims a decrease in nitrogen load to convert to residential, Martha remarks that better to do restoration of land than to convert to residential. Adjacent to Pepperland
   d) Verdana 1425 homes was found insufficient, response due March 10th.
   e) Corkscrew crossing in Estero.
   f) Troyer Bros mine insufficient.
   g) Old Corkscrew plantation- insufficient. Response march 21st.
   h) King Ranch, no response.
   i) Ms. Simons discussed the mine in Bonita Springs titled Bonita Grand Aggregate of 1300 acres trying to de-annex form Bonita Springs to Lee County in order to obtain less restrictive standards. They were denied extension of mining rights by the City of Bonita Springs.
   j) Edison Farms is looking for offers for purchase of the property. The Lee Count Commission approval for purchase will being discussed on March 13th. Appraisers going to parcel this week. All will be expedited. The proposal is to purchase all of it. Lee County is making it a priority to purchase. Mr. Daltry said it is a good idea to resend the letter- purchase of all 4000 acres and land in conservation in perpetuity. Commissioner Kiker is going to Villages of Estero and City of Bonita Springs to discuss. Motion by Mr. Quasius, second by Dr. Everham. Approved unanimously.
6) **Emerging Issues** included Charlie Whitehead- current zoning and comp plan proposal pending on San Carlos Island for high rise parking lot. (current is water-industry overlay). Request is for central urban designation –which could lead to proliferation of central urban request along that area. There is undeveloped, and underdeveloped all along there that may change if this designation changes could impact the Estero Bay itself. Motion by Dr. Demers, second by Mr. McCabe Passed unanimously to ask County Staff for presentation about Central urban designation and alternative designation and its potential impacts. There is interest to get planning ahead of the development in this situation. Southern end of Estero bay facing similar situation with high rises. Ms. Simmons suggest Beach folks may want to coordinate with the(Village of Estero and City of Bonita Springs. Mr. Babcock wanted to know if there is a correlation between density limits and flood maps? Mr. Beever stated that no there is not right now, but it is a problem Mr. Daltry stated that central designation is supposed to pull in people from elsewhere.

7) **Announcements** included South Lee County watershed initiative with Steve Sentes second meeting March 2nd. Objective to look at projects in DR/GR that will benefit looking at funding sources to provide collaborative opportunity to seek funding for projects that will benefit watershed. Sean will send information around. Lee County looking at comp plan. These work sessions are not involving the public like before. Village of Estero is doing a stormwater management study Gopher tortoise day is April 10th. Registration for the FGCU biodiversity conference Mar 7-9 is filling.

8) The **next Meeting Time and Place**, for EBABM is March 13, 2017 9:30 AM, SWFRPC

9) The **next IAS and Workplan Implementation Subcommittee Meeting** is Monday, February 27, 2017, 1:30 PM, SWFRPC

10) **Adjournment** was at 11:37 A.M.
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