**COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA**

April 16, 2015  
9:00am – 11:30am

**Mission Statement:**
To work together across neighboring communities to consistently protect and improve the unique and relatively unspoiled character of the physical, economic and social worlds we share...for the benefit of our future generations.

1 INVOCATION  
2 PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE  
3 EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCE APPROVAL  
4 ROLL CALL  
5 PUBLIC COMMENTS  
6 AGENDA  
7 MINUTES OF THE MARCH 13, 2015 MEETING(WILL BE IN MAY PACKET)  
8 DIRECTOR’S REPORT  
   a) Annual Report  
9 STAFF SUMMARIES  
   a) Grant Activity Sheet (Information Only)  
10 CONSENT AGENDA  
   a) Intergovernmental Coordination and Review  
11 REGIONAL IMPACT  
   a) Charlotte County (DEO 15-2 ESR)  
   b) City of Punta Gorda (DEO 15-1 ESR)  
   c) Palmer Ranch (NOPC IV)  
12 REGIONAL ISSUES  
   a) Update on the Florida Transportation Plan by Sarah Catala  
13 COMMITTEE REPORTS  
   a) Budget & Finance Committee – Councilman Kit McKeon  
      - Financial Statements for March 2015  
   b) Economic Development Committee – Councilman Forrest Banks  
   c) Energy & Climate Committee – Mr. Don McCormick  
   d) Estero Bay Agency on Bay Management Committee – Mr. James Beever  
   e) Executive Committee – Chair Robert Mulhere

Two or more members of the Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program may be in attendance and may discuss matters that could come before the Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program, respectively, for consideration.

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), any person requiring special accommodations to participate in this meeting should contact the Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council 48 hours prior to the meeting by calling (239) 338-2550; if you are hearing or speech impaired call (800) 955-8770 Voice/(800) 955-8771 TDD.
Two or more members of the Peace River Basin Management Advisory Committee and Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program may be in attendance and may discuss matters that could come before the Peace River Basin Management Advisory Committee and Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program, respectively, for consideration.

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), any person requiring special accommodations to participate in this meeting should contact the Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council 48 hours prior to the meeting by calling (239) 338-2550; if you are hearing or speech impaired call (800) 955-8770 Voice/(800) 955-8771 TDD.
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SOUTHWEST FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL (SWFRPC) ACRONYMS

ABM - Agency for Bay Management - Estero Bay Agency on Bay Management

ADA - Application for Development Approval

ADA - Americans with Disabilities Act

AMDA - Application for Master Development Approval

BEBR - Bureau of Economic Business and Research at the University of Florida

BLID - Binding Letter of DRI Status

BLIM - Binding Letter of Modification to a DRI with Vested Rights

BLIVR - Binding Letter of Vested Rights Status

BPCC - Bicycle/Pedestrian Coordinating Committee

CAC - Citizens Advisory Committee

CAO - City/County Administrator Officers

CDBG - Community Development Block Grant

CDC - Certified Development Corporation (a.k.a. RDC)

CEDS - Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (a.k.a. OEDP)

CHNEP - Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program

CTC - Community Transportation Coordinator

CTD - Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged

CUTR - Center for Urban Transportation Research

DEO - Department of Economic Opportunity

DEP - Department of Environmental Protection
DO - Development Order
DOPA - Designated Official Planning Agency (i.e. MPO, RPC, County, etc.)
EDA - Economic Development Administration
EDC - Economic Development Coalition
EDD - Economic Development District
EPA – Environmental Protection Agency
FAC - Florida Association of Counties
FACTS - Florida Association of CTCs
FAR - Florida Administrative Register (formerly Florida Administrative Weekly)
FCTS - Florida Coordinated Transportation System
FDC&F - Florida Department of Children and Families (a.k.a. HRS)
FDEA - Florida Department of Elder Affairs
FDLES - Florida Department of Labor and Employment Security
FDOT - Florida Department of Transportation
FHREDI - Florida Heartland Rural Economic Development Initiative
FIAM – Fiscal Impact Analysis Model
FLC - Florida League of Cities
FQD - Florida Quality Development
FRCA - Florida Regional Planning Councils Association
FTA - Florida Transit Association
IC&R - Intergovernmental Coordination and Review
IFAS - Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences at the University of Florida
JLCB - Joint Local Coordinating Boards of Glades & Hendry Counties
JPA - Joint Participation Agreement
JSA - Joint Service Area of Glades & Hendry Counties
LCB - Local Coordinating Board for the Transportation Disadvantaged
LEPC - Local Emergency Planning Committee
MOA - Memorandum of Agreement
MPO - Metropolitan Planning Organization
MPOAC - Metropolitan Planning Organization Advisory Council
MPOCAC - Metropolitan Planning Organization Citizens Advisory Committee
MPOTAC - Metropolitan Planning Organization Technical Advisory Committee
NADO – National Association of Development Organizations
NARC - National Association of Regional Councils
NOPC - Notice of Proposed Change
OEDP - Overall Economic Development Program
PDA - Preliminary Development Agreement
REMI – Regional Economic Modeling Incorporated
RFB - Request for Bids
RFI – Request for Invitation
RFP - Request for Proposals
RPC - Regional Planning Council
SHIP - State Housing Initiatives Partnership
SRPP – Strategic Regional Policy Plan
TAC - Technical Advisory Committee
TDC - Transportation Disadvantaged Commission (a.k.a. CTD)
TDPN - Transportation Disadvantaged Planners Network

TDSP - Transportation Disadvantaged Service Plan

USDA - US Department of Agriculture

WMD - Water Management District (SFWMD and SWFWMD)
Economic Development Districts: Regional planning councils are designated as Economic Development Districts by the U. S. Economic Development Administration. From January 2003 to August 2010, the U. S. Economic Development Administration invested $66 million in 60 projects in the State of Florida to create/retain 13,700 jobs and leverage $1 billion in private capital investment. Regional planning councils provide technical support to businesses and economic developers to promote regional job creation strategies.

Emergency Preparedness and Statewide Regional Evacuation: Regional planning councils have special expertise in emergency planning and were the first in the nation to prepare a Statewide Regional Evacuation Study using a uniform report format and transportation evacuation modeling program. Regional planning councils have been preparing regional evacuation plans since 1981. Products in addition to evacuation studies include Post Disaster Redevelopment Plans, Hazard Mitigation Plans, Continuity of Operations Plans and Business Disaster Planning Kits.

Local Emergency Planning: Local Emergency Planning Committees are staffed by regional planning councils and provide a direct relationship between the State and local businesses. Regional planning councils provide thousands of hours of training to local first responders annually. Local businesses have developed a trusted working relationship with regional planning council staff.

Homeland Security: Regional planning council staff is a source of low cost, high quality planning and training experts that support counties and State agencies when developing a training course or exercise. Regional planning councils provide cost effective training to first responders, both public and private, in the areas of Hazardous Materials, Hazardous Waste, Incident Command, Disaster Response, Pre- and Post-Disaster Planning, Continuity of Operations and Governance. Several regional planning councils house Regional Domestic Security Task Force planners.

Multipurpose Regional Organizations: Regional planning councils are Florida’s only multipurpose regional entities that plan for and coordinate intergovernmental solutions on multi-jurisdictional issues, support regional economic development and provide assistance to local governments.

Problem Solving Forum: Issues of major importance are often the subject of regional planning council-sponsored workshops. Regional planning councils have convened regional summits and workshops on issues such as workforce housing, response to hurricanes, visioning and job creation.

Implementation of Community Planning: Regional planning councils develop and maintain Strategic Regional Policy Plans to guide growth and development focusing on economic development, emergency preparedness, transportation, affordable housing and resources of regional significance. In addition, regional planning councils provide coordination and review of various programs such as Local Government Comprehensive Plans, Developments of Regional Impact and Power Plant Ten-year Siting Plans. Regional planning council reviewers have the local knowledge to conduct reviews efficiently and provide State agencies reliable local insight.
- **Local Government Assistance**: Regional planning councils are also a significant source of cost effective, high quality planning experts for communities, providing technical assistance in areas such as: grant writing, mapping, community planning, plan review, procurement, dispute resolution, economic development, marketing, statistical analysis, and information technology. Several regional planning councils provide staff for transportation planning organizations, natural resource planning and emergency preparedness planning.

- **Return on Investment**: Every dollar invested by the State through annual appropriation in regional planning councils generates 11 dollars in local, federal and private direct investment to meet regional needs.

- **Quality Communities Generate Economic Development**: Businesses and individuals choose locations based on the quality of life they offer. Regional planning councils help regions compete nationally and globally for investment and skilled personnel.

- **Multidisciplinary Viewpoint**: Regional planning councils provide a comprehensive, multidisciplinary view of issues and a forum to address regional issues cooperatively. Potential impacts on the community from development activities are vetted to achieve win-win solutions as council members represent business, government and citizen interests.

- **Coordinators and Conveners**: Regional planning councils provide a forum for regional collaboration to solve problems and reduce costly inter-jurisdictional disputes.

- **Federal Consistency Review**: Regional planning councils provide required Federal Consistency Review, ensuring access to hundreds of millions of federal infrastructure and economic development investment dollars annually.

- **Economies of Scale**: Regional planning councils provide a cost-effective source of technical assistance to local governments, small businesses and non-profits.

- **Regional Approach**: Cost savings are realized in transportation, land use and infrastructure when addressed regionally. A regional approach promotes vibrant economies while reducing unproductive competition among local communities.

- **Sustainable Communities**: Federal funding is targeted to regions that can demonstrate they have a strong framework for regional cooperation.

- **Economic Data and Analysis**: Regional planning councils are equipped with state of the art econometric software and have the ability to provide objective economic analysis on policy and investment decisions.

- **Small Quantity Hazardous Waste Generators**: The Small Quantity Generator program ensures the proper handling and disposal of hazardous waste generated at the county level. Often smaller counties cannot afford to maintain a program without imposing large fees on local businesses. Many counties have lowered or eliminated fees, because regional planning council programs realize economies of scale, provide businesses a local contact regarding compliance questions and assistance and provide training and information regarding management of hazardous waste.

- **Regional Visioning and Strategic Planning**: Regional planning councils are conveners of regional visions that link economic development, infrastructure, environment, land use and transportation into long term investment plans. Strategic planning for communities and organizations defines actions critical to successful change and resource investments.

- **Geographic Information Systems and Data Clearinghouse**: Regional planning councils are leaders in geographic information systems mapping and data support systems. Many local governments rely on regional planning councils for these services.
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Director’s Report
Mission Statement:
To work together across neighboring communities to consistently protect and improve the unique and relatively unspoiled character of the physical, economic and social worlds we share...for the benefit of our future generations.

1. Management / Operations
   b. Budget Update
   c. Introduction of Nicole Bercome-Bass
   d. Update on Sunshine Law and interactive or telephonic participation by members
   e. Update on PCS for HB 933 (see attached summary)
   f. Interlocal Agreements

2. Resource Development and Capacity Building
   a. FRCA: Activity Report attached
   b. Invest in Manufacturing Communities Partnership application submitted

   a. Implementation of Workplan:
      • Grants Submitted:
        ✓ The Brownfields Grant has been submitted - $600,000
        ✓ Promise Zone Designation for Glades, Hendry, Immokalee has been submitted
        ✓ NEA for the Our Creative Economy project has been submitted - $200,000
        ✓ National Endowment for the Humanities for Our Creative Economy, $15,000
        ✓ EPA Environmental Workforce Development and Job Training $200,000
        ✓ I-75 Medical Manufacturing Corridor designation
        ✓ ArtPlace America grant $3,000,000
      • Grants Under Development:
        ✓ FDOT Highway Beautification Grant, Clewiston Project
        ✓ Byrne Criminal Justice Innovation Program, Sarasota and Region
        ✓ TIGER Grant, regional public transportation plan
      • Pending Grants: approximately $4,015,000 in various grants

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT: April 16, 2015
Project Review and Coordination Regional Clearinghouse Review

The attached report summarizes the project notifications received from various governmental and nongovernmental agencies seeking federal assistance or permits for the period beginning March 1, 2015 and ending March 31, 2015.

The staff of the Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council reviews various proposals, Notifications of Intent, Preapplications, permit applications, and Environmental Impact Statements for compliance with regional goals, objectives, and policies of the Regional Comprehensive Policy Plan. The staff reviews such items in accordance with the Florida Intergovernmental Coordination and Review Process (Chapter 291-5, F.A.C.) and adopted regional clearinghouse procedures.

Council staff reviews projects under the following four designations:

- **Less Than Regionally Significant and Consistent** - no further review of the project can be expected from Council.

- **Less Than Regionally Significant and Inconsistent** - Council does not find the project to be of regional importance, but notes certain concerns as part of its continued monitoring for cumulative impacts within the noted goal areas.

- **Regionally Significant and Consistent** - Project is of regional importance and appears to be consistent with Regional goals, objectives and policies.

- **Regionally Significant and Inconsistent** - Project is of regional importance and appears not to be consistent with Regional goals, objectives, and policies. Council will oppose the project as submitted, but is willing to participate in any efforts to modify the project to mitigate the concerns.

The report includes the SWFRPC number, the applicant name, project description, location, funding or permitting agency, and the amount of federal funding, when applicable. It also includes the comments provided by staff to the applicant and to the FDEP-State Clearinghouse in Tallahassee.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:** Approval of the administrative action on Clearinghouse Review items.

04/2015
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SWFRPC #</th>
<th>Name1</th>
<th>Name2</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Funding Agent</th>
<th>Funding Amount</th>
<th>Council Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015-12</td>
<td>Mr. Paul E.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Glades County</td>
<td>Glades County - 2013 Community Development Block Grant - Economic Development Application - Construction of infrastructure for a Loves Travel Stops and Country Stores in Glades County.</td>
<td>HUD</td>
<td>$1,154,967.00</td>
<td>Regionally Significant and Consistent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SOUTHWEST FLORIDA’S POSITION ON PCS FOR HB933

#### SOUTHWEST FLORIDA OPPOSES THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS OF THE PCS FOR HB933 AN ACT RELATING TO GROWTH MANAGEMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section from HB933</th>
<th>Reason for Opposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pg 9 lines 225-233 (163.3164 section c) establishing presumption that the amendment (to the comprehensive plan) is not urban sprawl under certain conditions;</td>
<td>Changes are required to be consistent with uses within a 3 mile radius. Potential for a development to have impacts outside of a local jurisdiction with no regional involvement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pg 10 lines 245-257 (163.3164 section e) limiting the authority of the local government to establish specified prohibitions on the constrained agricultural parcel under certain circumstances;</td>
<td>Local Government cannot prohibit uses densities and intensities if project is consistent with the most prevalent surrounding uses within a 3 mile radius of constrained agricultural parcel. Accordingly there is limited local control over the future of development by local governments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pg 12 lines 289-302 (163.3184 section 2c) requiring plan amendments proposing a development that qualifies as a development of regional impact to be subject to the state coordinated review process;</td>
<td>Removes the DRI process from the RPCs authority thereby removing the regional review of the impacts anticipated by large developments that, because of their character, magnitude or location, would have a substantial effect on more than one county. Developers/consultants would have to travel to Tallahassee to deal with regional issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pg 12 lines 307-311 (380.06 section 30) providing that new proposed developments are subject to the state coordinated review process and not the development of regional impact review process;</td>
<td>Removes the regional review of the impacts anticipated by large developments that, because of their character, magnitude or location, would have a substantial effect on the health, safety or welfare of the citizens of more than one county.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pg 22 lines 550-560 (163.3245 section h) authorizing specific agencies to allow an applicant to use previously recorded conservation easements to offset impacts to wetlands or uplands for permitting purposes;</td>
<td>&quot;Without considering the fact that a conservation easement encumbering the same real property was previously recorded.&quot; This promotes double dipping which negates the purpose of conservation areas to adequately mitigate impacts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pg 30 lines 760-765 (185.512 section 2) and Pg 27 lines 682-687 (186.504) authorizing a county to opt out of membership in a regional planning council;</td>
<td>This defeats the purpose of requiring regional coordination and collaboration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pg 26 lines 668-676 (186.504 section 4) Removes all the ex-officio members from the membership on the RPCs-FDOT, FDEP, DEO, Water Management Districts, MPOs.</td>
<td>These agencies are essential in the promotion of regional collaboration. Combining locally elected officials and these agencies provides the forum and transparency needed to address development impacts that cross county boundaries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pg 34 lines 875–895 (380.06 section 18) Biennial Reports -removes certain duties of regional planning councils; specifically removing the requirement that developers submit biennial reports to regional planning agencies;</td>
<td>DRIs are regional projects. This provision takes the oversight and follow up by the RPC for regional impacts and gives it to the local governments. Local governments understand the importance of having a collaborative review process as stated in</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. Pg 66 lines 1714-1740 (163.3167 section 9b) requiring local governments to address the protection of private property rights in their comprehensive plans; The property rights section shall set forth the principles, guidelines, standards and strategies to guide local government’s decisions and program with respect to; impact to private property rights of all proposed development orders, plan amendments ordinance and other government decisions etc.

An unfunded mandate on local governments; Legal issue inappropriate for comprehensive plan element. Contains no mention of the interrelationships between this element and the other required elements in a comprehensive plan. Criteria and rules should be promulgated by the State if this portion of the bill becomes law. How would this portion affect eminent domain issues.

10. Pg 66 lines 1714-1740 (163.3167 section 9b) requiring the comprehensive plan to include a property rights element that addresses certain objectives;

An unfunded mandate on local governments; Legal issue inappropriate for comprehensive plan element. See comments in item #9 above.

11. Pg 66 lines 1714-1740 (163.3167 section 9b) requiring counties and municipalities to adopt land development regulations consistent with the property rights element within one year of adopting the property rights element;

An unfunded mandate on local governments; Legal issue inappropriate for comprehensive plan element. See comments in item #9 above.

SOUTHWEST FLORIDA SUPPORTS THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS OF THE PCS FOR HB933 AN ACT RELATING TO GROWTH MANAGEMENT

1. Pg 49 lines 1272-1281 (section 36) providing an appropriations for the Regional Planning Councils.

SOUTHWEST FLORIDA OPPOSES EXPANDING EXEMPTIONS WITHIN OR REPEALING THE DEVELOPMENTS OF REGIONAL IMPACT (DRI) PROCESS.

The DRI process assists local governments by providing for coordinated state and regional review of the impacts anticipated by large developments that, because of their character, magnitude or location would have a substantial effect on the health, safety or welfare of the citizens of more than one county.

DRIs are by definition multi-jurisdictional. To have only local staff assessing projects based on their local government’s needs and desires will not provide a regional viewpoint. This will result in the adjacent counties or cities needs and desires not being fully recognized and addressed and could, under the worst case scenario, lead to expensive and damaging legal actions.

Southwest Florida has a unique ecosystem that supports an environmental quality that is the basis of our economy. We do not want this resource and our economy destroyed.

Regional level review is more protective of watersheds than local level review and better serves the health, safety and welfare of all jurisdictions within the watershed. (Ensures that upstream jurisdictions are concerned with downstream jurisdictions.)

Intergovernmental coordination is the most important role of the RPCs. Without bringing together the region’s elected officials to see how other local governments are handling significant development issues, valuable information exchanges will not happen and therefore, will result in reduced governmental coordination. There is no other venue for elected officials to communicate and understand regional issues on a monthly basis.

Services to the development industry will be lost because they will not have a major research source for development activities in the region when they do their economic assessments for potential development projects.
INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT CREATING THE
SOUTHWEST FLORIDA PLANNING COUNCIL

THIS AGREEMENT, made and entered into this 8th day of November, 1973, pursuant to the authority of Section 163.01, Florida Statutes, by and between CHARLOTTE COUNTY, COLLIER COUNTY, GLADES COUNTY, HENDRY COUNTY, LEE COUNTY and SARASOTA COUNTY, each being a political subdivision of the State of Florida,

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the continuing "Comprehensive State Planning" process described by Chapter 23 of the Florida Statutes includes, but is not limited to the following areas of Regional and Local Development and concern:

1. "Economic Development" including agriculture, industry and commerce;

2. "Natural Resources Development" including oceanic and water resources, fish and wildlife, parks and recreation, pollution and environmental health;

3. "Social Development" including housing, employment, education, mental and physical health and social welfare, and cultural development, public utilities and services;

4. "Transportation Development" including provisions for airports, highways, roads and waterways;

5. "Public and Industrial Safety" including the prevention and suppression of fires, explosions and unsafe conditions and practices including the prevention of crime, identification, custody and correction of criminals and those criminally inclined;

WHEREAS, the Environmental Land and Water Management Act, Chapter 380 of the Florida Statutes, grants to Regional Planning Agencies the right and duty to study, review and make recommendations concerning "Areas of Critical State Concern" and "Developments of Regional Impact" through the Division of State Planning to the Governor and the Cabinet, and

WHEREAS, Rule 22B-1.01 of the Florida Administrative Code requires every State Board, Department, Commission, District, Agency, County and Municipality Agency created by Florida Statutes
or laws, except judicial or legislative circuits and districts, to use the ten (10) multi-county regional planning district boundaries to prepare regional studies, reports and plans and for programs and budgets, including but not limited to comprehensive planning and land and water management, and

WHEREAS, the Division of State Planning is responsible for conducting a "continual process of State Comprehensive Planning" by considering studies, reports and plans of each Federal, State, Region, and Local Governmental Department, Agency, Institution and Commission and considering existing and prospective resources, capabilities, and needs of State and Local governments based upon the best available data to establish goals, objectives and policies for the long-range guidance for orderly social, economic, and physical growth of Florida, and

WHEREAS, the Division of State Planning, established within the State Department of Administration by Part I of Chapter 23 of the Florida Statutes, shall integrate the services and plans of local governments and regional planning agencies to the extent feasible, and

WHEREAS, "Comprehensive Regional Planning Districts" are an integral part of "State Comprehensive Planning" as established by Part I of Chapter 23 of the Florida Statutes, and

WHEREAS, Rule 22E-1.01 of the Administrative Regulations of the State of Florida establishes the geographic region of the 9th Comprehensive Planning District to include Charlotte, Collier, Glades, Hendry and Lee Counties; and these counties have, in accordance with Rule 22E-1.03, justified to and requested the Secretary of Administration to amend this region pursuant to Chapter 120 of the Florida Statutes to include Sarasota County in this region, and

WHEREAS, the parties hereto desire to make the most efficient use of their powers to cooperate for mutual advantages to provide services and facilities in an effort to optimize the employment of geographic human, economic and natural resources in an effort to optimize economic, natural resources, social, land use, transportation and public safety development, and

WHEREAS, Section 163.01(4) of the Florida Statutes
provides "a public agency of the State of Florida may exercise jointly with any other public agency of the State, or any other State or of the United States Government any power, privilege, or authority which such agencies share in common and which each might exercise separately."

NOW, THEREFORE, FOR AND IN CONSIDERATION of the mutual promises, covenants, benefits to accrue and agreements herein contained and set forth, the parties signatory hereto do hereby establish the "Southwest Florida Planning Council", of the 9th Region, hereinafter referred to as Region, a separate legal entity, and do further agree as follows:

1. **Purpose:** The purpose of this Agreement is:
   a. To provide a means of exercising the rights, duties and powers of a Regional Planning Agency set forth by Chapters 23, 160, 163 and 380 of the Florida Statutes, including those functions enumerated hereinabove by preambles, and other applicable Florida, Federal and Local law.
   b. To serve as a regional coordinator for the members of the Region.
   c. To exchange, interchange and review various programs of the individual members which have a relationship to regional problems.
   d. To promote communication between the members for the conservation and compatible development of the member counties.
   e. To cooperate with Federal, State, Local and non-governmental agencies to accomplish these objectives.

2. **Effective Date, Duration, Termination and Withdrawal:**
   a. The member units of the Region will include Charlotte, Collier, Glades, Hendry, Lee and Sarasota Counties. Officers shall be elected and by-laws adopted and the Region shall be deemed to be in
effect at that time. The organization meeting shall be convened by the Chairman of the Board of County Commissioners of Collier County and notice of the time and place thereof shall be given the Chairmen of the other Boards of County Commissioners signatory hereto in writing by regular mail postmarked at least ten (10) days prior to the date set for said organizational meeting.

b. This agreement shall be effective for an initial term of one (1) year from the effective date hereof, and shall continue thereafter from year to year without the necessity of a formal renewal by any party hereto, unless terminated as hereinafter provided.

c. Amendments to this agreement except as to its membership provisions shall be made effective by an affirmative vote of not less than three-fourths (3/4) of the voting members of the Region. Changes in membership provisions shall require unanimous consent of the principal member units in addition to the three-quarters (3/4) vote of voting members of the Region. Any amendment to this agreement shall be submitted, in writing, to each voting member at least fifteen (15) days prior to the meeting at which such amendment is to be voted upon.

d. Any party hereto or principal member unit may withdraw its membership by resolution duly adopted by its governing body, and upon giving twelve (12) months written notice of withdrawal to the chairman of the governing body of each other principal member unit without the effect of terminating this agreement. Contractual obligations of the withdrawing member shall continue until the effective date of the withdrawal. All property, real or personal, of the Region on the effective date of withdrawal shall remain the property of Region and the withdrawing principal member unit shall have no right thereto.
e. In the event there is a complete termination of this agreement which would involve the disposition of the property of Region, such property shall be liquidated and each principal member unit shall be entitled to a share of the proceeds bearing the same ratio to the total proceeds as the contribution of the principal member unit bore to total contributions made by all principal member units during the preceding fiscal year of the Region.

f. In case of a complete termination of this agreement, the non-federal matching contribution to any approved federal grant shall be firm. The project shall be completed and the required reports and accounting shall be completed.

g. This agreement may be terminated at any time by resolution duly adopted by the governing body of each and every principal member unit.

3. Membership: Each principal member unit as defined in Article 2(a) above shall be represented by three (3) voting members of whom two (2) will be members of the elected governing body of the principal member unit and the third a member of the governing body of a municipal corporation located within the boundaries of the principal member unit. Each to be appointed by the governing body of the appropriate principal member unit. After seeking the recommendation of the governing bodies of all municipalities within the County appointed members shall serve at the pleasure of the appointing Board of County Commissioners.

4. Officers: The officers of the Region shall consist of the following:

a. A Chairman, who shall be chief executive officer to supervise all functions of the Council. He shall be ex officio a member of all subsidiary committees and boards.

b. A Vice Chairman, who shall act for the Chairman in his absence. He shall also perform such other functions as the members, from time to time, shall assign.

c. A Secretary, who shall conduct the correspondence
of the council, maintain minutes of the meetings, be custodian of the records, keep the roll of all members and discharge such other duties as may be assigned to him by the Chairman or the members.

d. A Treasurer, who shall supervise the financial affairs of the corporation and perform such other duties as usually pertain to that office.

e. The first officers of the Region shall be those elected at the first Region organization meeting and shall hold office until the annual meeting of the region in the year 1974, or until their successors are elected and qualified. Thereafter, the officers of the Region shall be elected at the annual meeting of the Region and shall hold office for a term of one (1) year or until their respective successors are elected and qualified.

5. Meetings:

a. The annual meeting for the election of officers shall be held on the first Monday of December in each year.

b. Regular meetings shall be held on the first Monday of each month.

c. Special meetings may be called by the Chairman at his discretion and shall be called by the Chairman when requested by one (1) voting member from each of two thirds (2/3) of the principal member units.

d. The place and time of each meeting shall be determined by the membership prior to the adjournment of the previous meeting. In the absence of such a determination, the time and place of meetings shall be determined by the Chairman.

e. A quorum at any meeting shall consist of a majority of the voting members provided, however, no quorum shall exist unless a voting member is present from each of more than one half (½) of the principal
member units. When a quorum has been determined to be present, a majority of those present may take action on all matters presented at the meeting. Each member present shall vote on each question presented to the Council except in the event he disqualifies himself and leaves the room. Proxy voting is prohibited.

f. The Secretary or his nominee shall keep minutes of each meeting and distribute a copy thereof to each voting member.

6. Finances:

a. On or before July 1st of each year, the Region shall adopt a budget and certify a copy thereof to the Clerk of the governing body of each principal member unit. Upon approval thereof by the governing body of the principal member unit, each principal member unit shall include in its annual budget and cause the levy of a millage sufficient to produce an amount sufficient to fund the proportionate share of each principal member unit of the Region’s budget.

b. The fiscal year of the Region shall commence on the first day of October and end on the last day of September in each year.

c. The Region shall have the right to receive and accept in furtherance of its functions, funds, grants and services from federal, state and local governments or their agencies and from private and community sources, and to expend therefrom such sums of money as shall be deemed necessary from time to time for the attainment of its objectives.

d. The proportionate share of the budget of the Region shall be an amount which bears the same ratio to the total budget as the population of each principal member unit bears to the total population of Region, all as determined annually by the Department of Administration pursuant to Section 23.019, Florida Statutes, for the year preceding each budget determination.

e. The initial contribution of each principal
member unit shall be thirty cents (30¢) per capita of the population of the principal member unit according to the last available determination under Section 23.019.

7. Powers: The Region shall have all powers granted by law, including without limiting the generality of the foregoing:

a. The powers granted by Chapters 23, 160, 163 and 380 of the Florida Statutes as now existing or as, from time to time, amended.

b. To adopt rules of procedure and by-laws, to regulate its affairs and conduct business.

c. To adopt an official seal.

d. To maintain an office at such place within the region as may from time to time be determined.

e. To employ staff members and consultants, including an executive director, planning specialist, clerical personnel, attorneys, engineers and other specialists as the Region deems necessary and desirable to the performance of its duties and exercise of its rights and powers.

f. To utilize staff members employed by principal member units as agreed by the principal member unit and determined by the Region to be desirable to solve regional and local problems and establish Region policies.

g. To hold public hearings and sponsor public forums whenever deemed necessary or useful in the execution of the functions of the Region.

h. To acquire, own, operate, maintain, lease and sell real or personal property and hold title thereto in the name of the Region.

i. To fix and determine by resolution rules and regulations relating to advertisement for bids, manner of bidding and a maximum amount, below which same will not be required.

j. To sue and be sued, implead and be impleaded, complain and defend, in all courts.
k. To receive and accept from any Federal or State agency grants for or in aid of the purposes of the Region.

l. To make and enter into all contracts and agreements, and do and perform all acts and deeds necessary and incidental to the performance of its duties and the exercise of its powers.

m. To incur debts, liabilities or obligations which do not constitute the debts, liabilities or obligations of any of the parties to this Agreement.

8. It is expressly understood that the terms and conditions of, and this Agreement, shall be effective between and among those parties signatory hereto; and that the validity, force and effect of their Agreement shall not be affected by one or more of the parties named hereinabove not joining in this Agreement, any other provision of this Agreement to the contrary notwithstanding.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused this Agreement to be executed and their signatures to be affixed on the day and year first above written.

Board of County Commissioners
Charlotte County, Florida
By: ____________________________
   Chairman

Board of County Commissioners
Glades County, Florida
By: ____________________________
   Vice Chairman

Board of County Commissioners
Lee County, Florida
By: ____________________________
   Chairman

Board of County Commissioners
Collier County, Florida
By: ____________________________
   Chairman

Board of County Commissioners
Hendry County, Florida
By: ____________________________
   Chairman

Board of County Commissioners
Sarasota County, Florida
By: ____________________________
   Chairman
RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT
OF THE SOUTHWEST FLORIDA PLANNING COUNCIL TO
PROVIDE; AN ALTERNATE REPRESENTATIVE FROM EACH
COUNTY, THAT CHECKS BE SIGNED BY THE TREASURER,
THAT REGULAR MEETINGS BE ON THE FIRST (1st)
THURSDAY OF EACH MONTH, THAT SPECIAL MEETINGS
REQUIRE A MINIMUM OF 24 HOURS NOTICE; PROVIDING
EXCEPTIONS AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, three-fourths (3/4ths) of the voting members of
the Council affirmatively vote in favor of amending the Inter-
local Agreement creating the Southwest Florida Planning Council
dated November 8, 1973, and

WHEREAS, such amendments have been submitted in writing
to each voting member by mailing at least fifteen (15) days
prior to voting thereon as required by Paragraph 2 c. thereof.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Southwest Florida
Regional Planning Council in regular meeting this ___ day of
__ , 1974:

I. That the paragraphs as indicated below of the Interlocal
Agreement dated November 8, 1973 be and are hereby amended to
read as follows:

1. Purpose:
   "e. To cooperate with Federal, State and Local
   Governmental and non-governmental agencies to accomplish these
   objectives."

   AND

   "2. Member Units, Effective Date, Duration Amendments,
   withdrawal and termination of agreement:"

3. Membership:
   "b. Alternate Member.

Each principal member unit (shall) (may) appoint one
alternate representative whom shall be a member of the elected
governing body of the county (or a municipality lying therein). Such alternate shall, in the absence of a regular representative, have the rights, duties and voting power of such representative (except to elect any officer, or to amend this Agreement or any Bylaw)."

AND

4. Officers:
"d. A Treasurer, who shall supervise the financial affairs of the corporation council and perform such other duties as usually pertain to that office. Each negotiable check or warrant shall bear the signature of the Treasurer with the exceptions provided by Paragraph 6f. of this Agreement."

AND

5. Meetings:
"b. Regular meetings shall be held on the first Monday Thursday of each month."

AND

"c. Special meetings, with a minimum of 24 hours telephone or written notice to the office of each regular voting representative, may be called by the Chairman at his discretion and shall be called by the Chairman when requested by one Regular voting member from each of two-thirds (2/3) of the principal member units."

AND

6. Finances:
"a. On or before July 1 and August 15 of each year, the Region shall adopt a budget and certify a copy thereof to the Clerk of the governing body of each principal member unit. Upon approval thereof by the governing body of the principal member unit, each principal member unit shall include in its annual budget and cause the levy of a millage sufficient to produce an
amount sufficient to fund the proportionate share of each
principal member unit of the Region's budget.

AND

"f. Each negotiable check or warrant shall be co-
signed by the Executive Director, or an alternate appointed by
majority vote of the Council, and the Treasurer unless he be
absent from the Region for a period of (   ) days or longer
in which event such check or warrant shall bear the signature
of either the Chairman or Vice Chairman."

II. That each Board of County Commissioners of each member unit
is requested to pass a Resolution consenting to the addition of
alternate voting members as provided for in subparagraph 3b.
hereinabove as required by Paragraph 2.c. of the Interlocal
Agreement prior to a membership provision change.

III. The Executive Director is hereby directed to forward a
copy of this Resolution to each member unit and to request their
consent by Resolution.

ATTEST:

[Signature]
Secretary

[Signature]
Chairman

Approved as to form and legality:

[Signature]
David Emerson Bruner, Attorney
Southwest Florida Planning Council
March 6, 1974

Honorable David Emerson Bruner
Collier County Attorney
Court House Complex
Naples, Florida 33940

Re: INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT—Southwest Florida Planning Council. §163.01, F.S.

Dear Mr. Bruner:

This is in response to your request regarding approval of the Interlocal Agreement creating the Southwest Florida Planning Council.

I have reviewed the proposed agreement and have no objections to its form or content. Sarasota County may be included within the Planning Council District if Rule 22E-1.01, Fla. Ad. Code, is administratively amended to allow such an inclusion. This is assuming, of course, that no statutory provision exists which requires the inclusion of Sarasota County within a different Planning District.

This is an informal opinion which has been prepared by the legal staff of the Department of Legal Affairs and should not be considered a formal Attorney General's Opinion.

Trusting that these comments will be of assistance and with all good wishes, I remain

Sincerely,

ROBERT L. SHEVIN
ATTORNEY GENERAL

By: Sharyn Smith
Assistant Attorney General

RLS/Scb
MONTHLY ACTIVITY REPORT: March 2015

OUTREACH

- Began developing the Spring 2015 electronic newsletter, which will be issued in early April.
- Worked with staff from the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Office of Energy, on a U.S. Department of Energy grant application to develop an Energy Efficiency Financing Guide for Florida Local Governments.

RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT/CAPACITY BUILDING

- To enhance partnerships and strengthen the relationship between regional planning councils and their state and federal partners, participated in or attending the following: a meeting of the Florida Transportation Commission and Enterprise Florida, Inc.’s monthly teleconference. FRCA was also represented at meetings of the Rural Economic Development Initiative and Florida Defense Support Task Force.
- Distributed funding announcements from the U.S. Department of Agriculture, U.S. Department of Commerce, and U.S. Department of Environmental Protection.

LEGISLATIVE SUPPORT

- Provided support to FRCA’s Executive Director, Ron Book, and Brian Teeple, Chief Executive Officer of the Northeast Florida Regional Council and Chair of the FRCA Executive Directors Advisory Committee, to address request for information pertaining to Senate Bill 484 and House Bill 933, pertaining to regional planning councils.
- At the request of the South Florida Regional Planning Council, developed talking points for Senate Bill 484.
- Completed House Budget Issue forms for regional planning council funding.
- Developed a list of U.S. Economic Development Administration projects that were funded due to direct involvement of a regional planning council to support those talking points.
- Attended the Small County Coalition’s annual legislative luncheon.
- Attended/monitored numerous committee meetings in the Florida Senate and Florida House of Representatives and reviewed and summarized relevant pieces of legislation as needed.
- Created and distributed two Legislative Highlights reports covering the first four weeks of the Legislative Session and issued weekly versions of FRCA’s bill tracking report.
ASSOCIATION MANAGEMENT

- Sent FRCA new membership orientation packets to Commissioner Tod Mowery, Indian River County (TCRPC), Mr. Michael Davis, Gubernatorial Appointee (TCRPC), Mr. Toby Overdorf (TCRPC), and Ms. Lisa Miller, Gubernatorial Appointee (ARPC).
- Updated the FRCA Website to address regular maintenance issues and post meeting agendas and summaries.
- Prepared for and participated in the March 12-13 FRCA meetings.
- Finalized the logistics, secured speakers, developed agendas, and drafted meeting summaries for the April 9-10, 2015 FRCA meetings.
- Secured exhibit hall space and speakers for the June 19, 2015 FRCA meeting, which will be held in conjunction with the Florida Association of Counties Annual Conference in Ponte Vedra Beach.
- Secured a hotel room block and meeting location for the July 10, 2015 FRCA Policy Board meeting, which will be held in Altamonte Springs.
Agenda
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Grant Activity Sheet
(Information Only)

9a

9a
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Agency Type</th>
<th>Awarded</th>
<th>Funding Agency</th>
<th>Project Mgr.</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>LOI Due Date</th>
<th>LOI Date Submitted</th>
<th>App Due Date</th>
<th>Date Submitted</th>
<th>Date Awarded/Denied</th>
<th>Date Contract Signed</th>
<th>Contract Signed</th>
<th>Project Total</th>
<th>RPC Amt</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Deliverables</th>
<th>Total Match Amt-RPC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>PO</td>
<td>TBRPC - Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council</td>
<td>Rebekah Harp</td>
<td>Tampa Bay RPC Graphics and Publications</td>
<td>10/21/2014</td>
<td>10/21/2014</td>
<td>As needed publication and graphic design, including FOR (Future of the Regions) award materials and annual report.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>PO</td>
<td>TBRPC - Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council</td>
<td>Rebekah Harp</td>
<td>2015 Disaster Planning Guide</td>
<td>12/28/2015</td>
<td>12/28/2015</td>
<td>2015 Disaster Planning Guide for eight counties in English and Spanish.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>DEM - FL Div. of Emergency Management</td>
<td>Tim Walker</td>
<td>Collier Hazard Analysis</td>
<td>12/5/2014</td>
<td>12/5/2014</td>
<td>There are 4 deliverables stipulated with the contractual agreement.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>DEM - FL Div. of Emergency Management</td>
<td>Nichole Gwinett</td>
<td>FY14-15 HMEP Planning</td>
<td>2/4/2015</td>
<td>2/4/2015</td>
<td>Major Planning Project; travel coordination for LEPC Chairman; LEPC program coordination and quarterly reports.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>EPA - US Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td>Tim Bower</td>
<td>WQFAM</td>
<td>10/1/2011</td>
<td>10/1/2011</td>
<td>Extention 2014-2015</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>Glades County</td>
<td>Tim Walker</td>
<td>Glades County Small Quantity Generators (SQG)</td>
<td>5/17/2012</td>
<td>5/17/2012</td>
<td>The goal of the assessment, notification, and verification program is to inform Small Quantity Generators (SQGs) of their legal responsibilities, limit the illegal disposal of hazardous waste, and identify the location of waste operators for an update to State officials. Also, local knowledge of hazardous wastis is useful for land development planning, emergency protective services, health care and water quality management.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Agency Type</td>
<td>Awarded</td>
<td>Funding Agency</td>
<td>Project Mgr.</td>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>LOI Due Date</td>
<td>LOI Date Submitted</td>
<td>App Due Date</td>
<td>Date Submitted</td>
<td>Date Awarded/Denied</td>
<td>Date Contract Signed</td>
<td>Project Total RPC Amt</td>
<td>RPC Amt Start Date</td>
<td>End Date</td>
<td>Deliverables</td>
<td>Total Match Amt-RPC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>DOE - US Dept. of Energy</td>
<td>Rebekah Harp</td>
<td>Solar Ready II</td>
<td>1/24/2013</td>
<td>1/24/2013</td>
<td>3/22/2013</td>
<td>7/18/2013</td>
<td>$140,000.00</td>
<td>7/1/2013</td>
<td>7/1/2016</td>
<td>Recruit local governments to review and adopt BMPs. Host stakeholder meetings and/or training programs, providing technical assistance to local governments as needed, and tracking any policy adoptions and local government feedback.</td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>EDA - US Economic Development Administration</td>
<td>Jennifer Pellechio</td>
<td>EDA Planning Grant</td>
<td>1/22/2013</td>
<td>12/18/2013</td>
<td>4/18/2014</td>
<td>4/21/14</td>
<td>$270,000.00</td>
<td>$180,000.00</td>
<td>1/1/2014</td>
<td>12/31/2016</td>
<td>CEDS Plan, Annual Reports, CEDS Working Committee</td>
<td>$81,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>EDA - US Economic Development Administration</td>
<td>Jennifer Pellechio</td>
<td>Advanced Manufacturing in West Central Florida An Ecosystem Analysis Supporting Regional Development</td>
<td>12/26/2013</td>
<td>9/3/2014</td>
<td>$116,514.00</td>
<td>$58,257.00</td>
<td>SWOT Analysis, Web Survey, REMI, Regional website, branding strategy, brochures</td>
<td>$30,584.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Visit Florida</td>
<td>Margaret Wuerstle</td>
<td>Our Creative Economy: Video - Southwest Florida Regional Strategy for Public Art</td>
<td>2/18/2014</td>
<td>2/18/2014</td>
<td>5/14/2014</td>
<td>7/17/14</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>7/1/2014</td>
<td>5/31/2015</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>EPA/CHNEP - Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program</td>
<td>Jim Beever</td>
<td>Mangrove Loss Project</td>
<td>4/4/2014</td>
<td>4/4/2014</td>
<td>12/19/2014</td>
<td>$243,324.00</td>
<td>$60,000.00</td>
<td>Report, transect information, presentations, articles</td>
<td>$63,800.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>DEO - FL Dept. of Economic Opportunity</td>
<td>Margaret Wuerstle</td>
<td>Agriculture Tours to Promote Assets and Economic Development in the City of Labelle</td>
<td>6/6/2014</td>
<td>5/7/2014</td>
<td>8/26/2014</td>
<td>$23,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>City of Labelle Agriculture Tour Plan</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>CTD - FL Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged</td>
<td>Nicholas Gwinnett</td>
<td>Grades-Hendry TD Planning Agreement FY2014-15</td>
<td>5/16/2014</td>
<td>$38,573.00</td>
<td>$38,573.00</td>
<td>7/1/2014</td>
<td>6/30/2015</td>
<td>Update of TDSP, CTC Evaluation, Staff Support, LCB Quarterly Meetings, Committee Meetings, Update By-Laws and Grievance Procedures.</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SWFRPC Grant Summary As Of April 6, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Agency Type</th>
<th>Awarded</th>
<th>Funding Agency</th>
<th>Project Mgr.</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>LOI Due Date</th>
<th>LOI Date Submitted</th>
<th>App Due Date</th>
<th>Date Submitted</th>
<th>Date Awarded/Denied</th>
<th>Date Contract Signed</th>
<th>Project Total RPC Amt</th>
<th>RPC Amt</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Deliverables</th>
<th>Total Match Amt-RPC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>SWFRPC Contract</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>DEM - FL Div. of Emergency Management</td>
<td>Nichole Gwinnett</td>
<td>Title III (LEPC) FY14-15</td>
<td>7/1/2014</td>
<td>9/24/2014</td>
<td>9/24/2014</td>
<td>$42,000.00</td>
<td>$42,000.00</td>
<td>7/1/2014</td>
<td>6/30/2015</td>
<td>LEPC Program Coordination; attendance during four (4) local quarterly meetings; attendance during four (4) state quarterly meetings; quarterly reports; quarterly news articles/updates; annual LEPC plan update; industry compliance support; housing of chemical data, meeting minutes; exercise coordination; publishing of public availability notice; etc.</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>SWFRPC Grant</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>City of Bonita Springs</td>
<td>Jim Beaver</td>
<td>Spring Creek Restoration Plan</td>
<td>8/27/2014</td>
<td>9/26/2014</td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
<td>8/31/2014</td>
<td>8/31/2015</td>
<td>The Spring Creek Vulnerability Assessment and The Spring Creek Restoration Plan</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>SWFRPC Grant</td>
<td>To Be Submitted</td>
<td>FDOT - Florida Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Jennifer Pellechio</td>
<td>Florida Highway Beautification Grant - City of Clewiston</td>
<td>10/1/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>SWFRPC Grant</td>
<td>To Be Submitted</td>
<td>NOAA - National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration</td>
<td>Jim Beaver</td>
<td>Measuring and Forecasting Future Ecosystem Services in the CHNEP Study Area</td>
<td>1/30/2015</td>
<td>3/17/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>$400,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>Products of the study will include updated valuations of the ecosystem services provided by existing conservation lands in the CHNEP; an updated conservation lands mapping of the project study area; a documentation and quantification of the ecosystem services provided by each habitat type, etc.</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>SWFRPC Grant</td>
<td>To Be Submitted</td>
<td>USDOJ - US Dept. of Justice</td>
<td>Margaret Wuersle</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>4/21/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## SWFRPC Grant Summary As Of April 6, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Awarded</th>
<th>Funding Agency</th>
<th>Project Mgr.</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>LOI Due Date</th>
<th>LOI Date Submitted</th>
<th>App Due Date</th>
<th>Date Submitted</th>
<th>Date Awarded/Denied</th>
<th>Date Contract Signed</th>
<th>Project Total</th>
<th>RPC Amt</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Deliverables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>RC&amp;DC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Dreyfus Foundation - The Max and Victoria Dreyfus Foundation</td>
<td>Beth Nightingale</td>
<td>&quot;Our Creative Economy - A Regional Strategy for Southwest Florida Public Art, Festivals and Cultural Venues&quot;</td>
<td>11/10/2014</td>
<td>11/10/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. complete the Lee County public art descriptions (name of artist, year of creation, material, and significance); 2. provide QR Codes for Lee County’s public art assets which will drive traffic to the Guide and direct users to other public art assets and venues; and 3. Create and promote a photo share site to encourage making art (photography) from art (public art assets and venues).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>RC&amp;DC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>The Awesome Foundation</td>
<td>Barbara Hawkes</td>
<td>2015 Zombicon Festival: Documentary Video</td>
<td>10/15/2014</td>
<td>10/2/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WSGU Public Media, an affiliate of Florida Gulf Coast University (FGCU), in Fort Myers, FL will create a documentary regarding the ZombiCon festival.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>EPA - US Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td>John Gibbons</td>
<td>Environmental Workforce Development Job Training</td>
<td>2/3/2015</td>
<td>2/3/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$200,000.00</td>
<td>$200,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OSHA 29 CFR 1910.120 40-Hour HAZWOPER and other training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Florida Humanities Council</td>
<td>Jennifer Pellechio</td>
<td>Public Art Field Guide and Map Viewer for Lee County</td>
<td>01/15/2015</td>
<td>01/15/2015</td>
<td>3/11/2015</td>
<td>3/5/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>CHNEP - Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program</td>
<td>Margaret Wuertle</td>
<td>North Port EcoFest Tour</td>
<td>1/12/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$308.85</td>
<td>$308.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>North Port Friends of Wildlife will ask folks to sign up with their organization and that of CHNEP. They will encourage folks to get involved to help in these organizations’ endeavors. Many photos will be taken during the bus tour, possibly some videos. They will be posted on NPFOWL’s Facebook. NPFOWL will be reaching out to schools during this involvement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Visit Florida</td>
<td>Jennifer Pellechio</td>
<td>OUR CREATIVE ECONOMY Marketing</td>
<td>2/9/2015</td>
<td>2/9/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Artplace America</td>
<td>Margaret Wuertle</td>
<td>ArtPlace - &quot;OUR CREATIVE ECONOMY&quot;</td>
<td>3/12/2015</td>
<td>3/11/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,000,000.00</td>
<td>$3,000,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total Match Amt-RPC | $0.00 |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Awarded</th>
<th>Funding Agency</th>
<th>Project Mgr.</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>LOI Due Date</th>
<th>LOI Date Submitted</th>
<th>App Due Date</th>
<th>Date Submitted</th>
<th>Date Awarded/Denied</th>
<th>Date Contract Signed</th>
<th>Project Total</th>
<th>RPC Amt</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Deliverables</th>
<th>Total Match Amt-RPC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>NEA - National Endowment for the Arts</td>
<td>Margaret Wuerstle</td>
<td>Our Creative Economy - A Regional Strategy for Southwest Florida’s Public Art and Cultural Venues</td>
<td>1/15/2015</td>
<td>1/14/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$400,000.00</td>
<td>$200,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Asset Mapping • A Regional Strategy for Enhancing Public Art: A SWOT • Southwest Florida’s Public Art and Cultural Venues Field and Tour Guide</td>
<td>$113,472.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>EPA - US Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td>Dottie Cook</td>
<td>Southwest Florida Brownfields Coalition</td>
<td>12/18/2014</td>
<td>12/19/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$600,000.00</td>
<td>$600,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rural designation of a Promise Zone for Immokalee in Collier County, Glades County, and Hendry County</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>USDA - US Dept. of Agriculture</td>
<td>Dottie Cook</td>
<td>Southwest Florida Rural Promise Zone</td>
<td>10/17/2014</td>
<td>10/14/2014</td>
<td>11/21/2014</td>
<td>11/21/2014</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Summit</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>NACo - National Association of Counties</td>
<td>Jennifer Pellicchio</td>
<td>NACo County Prosperity Summit</td>
<td>10/3/2014</td>
<td>10/3/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Provide information to the non-profit community about collaborative models that have succeeded in our area and to share proven effective practices for non-profits working together.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>RC&amp;DC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Southwest Florida Community Foundation</td>
<td>Nichole Gwinnett</td>
<td>SWFRPC &amp; RC&amp;DC Collaboration</td>
<td>9/30/2014</td>
<td>9/30/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Film Script/Storyline developed, in collaboration with humanities scholars.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NEH - National Endowment for the Humanities</td>
<td>Jay McLoud</td>
<td>ZombiCon: Dying for the Arts</td>
<td>8/13/2014</td>
<td>8/13/2014</td>
<td>2/3/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$45,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New website, 2-year hosting, Adwords setup, and BoardMa tool (50 licenses).</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>RC&amp;DC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Atilus, LLC</td>
<td>Rebekah Harp</td>
<td>RC&amp;DC Website</td>
<td>9/30/2014</td>
<td>9/30/2014</td>
<td>12/31/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New website, 2-year hosting, Adwords setup, and BoardMa tool (50 licenses).</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>DEO - FL Dept. of Economic Opportunity</td>
<td>Jennifer Pellicchio</td>
<td>Economic Development Plan for Immokalee</td>
<td>10/22/2014</td>
<td>10/1/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Bloomberg Philanthropies</td>
<td>Margaret Wuerstle</td>
<td>Painting with Sunlight</td>
<td>12/15/2014</td>
<td>12/15/2014</td>
<td>3/5/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,275,000.00</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Each of the six host cities will be provided an application that is unique to their site. The project highlights new opportunities for renewable energy by using solar energy to highlight and promote the arts.</td>
<td>$61,875.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Agency Type</td>
<td>Awarded</td>
<td>Funding Agency</td>
<td>Mgr.</td>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>LOI Due Date</td>
<td>LOI Date Submitted</td>
<td>App Due Date</td>
<td>Date Submitted</td>
<td>Date Awarded/Denied</td>
<td>Date Contract Signed</td>
<td>Project Total</td>
<td>RPC Amt</td>
<td>Start Date</td>
<td>End Date</td>
<td>Deliverables</td>
<td>Total Match Amt-RPC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Rauschenberg/SWFLA Community Foundation</td>
<td>Jennifer Pellechio</td>
<td>Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd and Veronica S. Shoemaker Blvd Corridors Retail Market Analysis and Community Preference Survey</td>
<td>1/6/2015</td>
<td>1/6/2015</td>
<td>2/2/2015</td>
<td>2/2/2015</td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>SWFRPC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>USDA - US Dept. of Agriculture</td>
<td>Rebekah Harp</td>
<td>Farm to School - HUB</td>
<td>4/24/2013</td>
<td>4/24/2013</td>
<td>11/20/2013</td>
<td>1/1/2014</td>
<td>9/30/2015</td>
<td>$140,725.00</td>
<td>$13,360.00</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>$40,728.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **SWFRPC Grant Summary As Of April 6, 2015**

- **Project Name**
  - Retail Market Analysis and Community Preference Survey
  - Farm to School - HUB
  - Opportunity Buy Program Coordinator

- **Deliverables**
  - Host regional stakeholder meeting.
  - Hire and train two food service processors.
  - Secure warehouse rental space.
  - Distributing food from hub to school districts.
  - Completion of project – self sustaining.

- **Notes**
  - A part-time employee will be assigned to develop and coordinate this program over a two-year period. After the program is implemented and stable, it will be turned over to the school districts for their continued usage.
| #  | Agency Type | Awarded | Funding Agency | Project Mgr. | Project Name | LOI Due Date | LOI Date Submitted | App Due Date | Date Submitted | Date Awarded/Denied | Contract Signed | Project Total | RPC Amt | Start Date | End Date | Deliverables | Total Match Amt-RPC |
|----|-------------|---------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|------------|----------|-------------|---------------------|}
| 68 | SWFRPC Grant | No | DEO - FL Dept. of Economic Opportunity | Jennifer Pellechio | SWFL - Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS) Incorporates Economic Resiliency | 6/6/2014 | 6/6/2014 | 9/9/2014 | 9/9/2014 | 5/31/2015 | $25,000.00 | $25,000.00 | 5/31/2015 | This project will create an in-depth study analysis based on the federal change requirements to the document incorporating economic vulnerabilities as it related to jobs and employers. The outcome of the integrated technical assistance would be a general framework for considering economic resilience in the CEDS for Southwest Florida. The project would build upon the national model by creating “Resiliency Specific Action Plans” to address the top economic vulnerabilities and strengthen economic resilience. These would include specific economic diversification strategies and projects. | $0.00 |
| 69 | RC&DC Grant | No | USDA - US Dept. of Agriculture | Nichole Gwinnett | Fort Myers Food Desert Farmer's Market | 6/20/2014 | 6/19/2014 | 9/29/2014 | 9/29/2014 | $97,792.00 | $97,792.00 | 5/31/2015 | 1. Establish a year-round daily farm stand and weekend Farmer’s Market offering affordable, fresh, local produce. 2. Support farmers, food producers and value added vendors with training and workshops and provide opportunities for independent entrepreneurs. 3. Expand the access of the residents of the surrounding food desert to locally grown and produced food and encourage consumption of nutritious, fresh foods. | $0.00 |
### SWFRPC Grant Summary As Of April 6, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Awarded</th>
<th>Funding Agency</th>
<th>Project Mgr.</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>LOI Due Date</th>
<th>LOI Date Submitted</th>
<th>App Due Date</th>
<th>Date Submitted</th>
<th>Date Awarded/Denied</th>
<th>Date Contract Signed</th>
<th>Project Total</th>
<th>RPC Amt</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Deliverables</th>
<th>Total Match Amt-RPC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>RC&amp;DC</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Sunoco Foundation</td>
<td>Barbara Hawkes</td>
<td>Safety Training for Agriculture-Related Staff (STARS)</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>10/23/2014</td>
<td>1/1/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>$350,000.00</td>
<td>$350,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eligibility Quiz. Letter of Inquiry (LOI) - If LOI reflects the Foundation’s priorities, you will be asked to complete a full application.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Intergovernmental Coordination and Review
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Project Review and Coordination Regional Clearinghouse Review

The attached report summarizes the project notifications received from various governmental and non-governmental agencies seeking federal assistance or permits for the period beginning March 1, 2015 and ending March 31, 2015.

The staff of the Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council reviews various proposals, Notifications of Intent, Preapplications, permit applications, and Environmental Impact Statements for compliance with regional goals, objectives, and policies of the Regional Comprehensive Policy Plan. The staff reviews such items in accordance with the Florida Intergovernmental Coordination and Review Process (Chapter 29I-5, F.A.C.) and adopted regional clearinghouse procedures.

Council staff reviews projects under the following four designations:

- **Less Than Regionally Significant and Consistent** - no further review of the project can be expected from Council.

- **Less Than Regionally Significant and Inconsistent** - Council does not find the project to be of regional importance, but notes certain concerns as part of its continued monitoring for cumulative impacts within the noted goal areas.

- **Regionally Significant and Consistent** - Project is of regional importance and appears to be consistent with Regional goals, objectives and policies.

- **Regionally Significant and Inconsistent** - Project is of regional importance and appears not to be consistent with Regional goals, objectives, and policies. Council will oppose the project as submitted, but is willing to participate in any efforts to modify the project to mitigate the concerns.

The report includes the SWFRPC number, the applicant name, project description, location, funding or permitting agency, and the amount of federal funding, when applicable. It also includes the comments provided by staff to the applicant and to the FDEP-State Clearinghouse in Tallahassee.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:** Approval of the administrative action on Clearinghouse Review items.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SWFRPC #</th>
<th>Name1</th>
<th>Name2</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Funding Agent</th>
<th>Funding Amount</th>
<th>Council Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015-12</td>
<td>Mr. Paul E. Carlisle</td>
<td>Glades County</td>
<td>Glades County</td>
<td>Glades County - 2013 Community Development Block Grant - Economic Development Application - Construction of infrastructure for a Loves Travel Stops and Country Stores in Glades County.</td>
<td>HUD</td>
<td>$1,154,967.00</td>
<td>Regionally Significant and Consistent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Review in Progress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SWFRPC #</th>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Funding Agent</th>
<th>Funding Amount</th>
<th>Council Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015-05</td>
<td>Lee County</td>
<td>Lee County</td>
<td>Lee County Transit - Section 5311 Non-Urbanized Program Grant - Rural Operating Assistance for Lee County.</td>
<td>FTA</td>
<td>$184,582.00</td>
<td>Review in Progress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-13</td>
<td>Sarasota County</td>
<td>Sarasota County</td>
<td>FDEP - Joint Coastal Permit (File No. 0333315-001-JC) - City of Sarasota and the USACOE - The proposed project is to nourish 1.6 miles of shoreline on Lido Key from Department Reference Monuments R-34.5 to R-44.</td>
<td>Review in Progress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-14</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Lighthouse of SWFL - Section 5310 Capital Assistance - in order to assume ownership of four (4) new vehicles originally approved for use by another agency. Lighthouse of SWFL is submitting this application to purchase the four vehicles.</td>
<td>FTA</td>
<td>$76,509.00</td>
<td>Review in Progress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-15</td>
<td>Collier County</td>
<td>Collier County</td>
<td>CDBG Grant # B-14-UC-12-0016, B-13-UC-12-0016, B-12-UC-12-0016, B-11-UC-12-0016 - Youth Haven, Inc. - Safe and Secure Home for Collier Youth Phases II and III.</td>
<td>HUD</td>
<td>$479,000.00</td>
<td>Review in Progress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>Collier County</td>
<td>Collier County</td>
<td>CB&amp;I Coastal Planning &amp; Engineering, Inc. - Request for Additional Information No. 1 - FDEP # 0331817-001-JC, Collier County Beach Nourishment and Doctors Pass Maintenance Dredging.</td>
<td>Review in Progress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Charlotte County (DEO 15-2 ESR)
LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS
CHARLOTTE COUNTY

The Council staff has reviewed proposed changes to the Charlotte Comprehensive Plan Amendment (DEO 15-2ESR). A synopsis of the requirements of the Act and Council responsibilities is provided as Attachment I. Comments are provided in Attachment II. Site location maps can be reviewed in Attachment III.

Staff review of the proposed amendments was based on whether they were likely to be of regional concern. This was determined through assessment of the following factors:

1. Location—in or near a regional resource or regional activity center, such that it impacts the regional resource or facility; on or within one mile of a county boundary; generally applied to sites of five acres or more; size alone is not necessarily a determinant of regional significance;
2. Magnitude—equal to or greater than the threshold for a Development of Regional Impact of the same type (a DRI-related amendment is considered regionally significant); and
3. Character—of a unique type or use, a use of regional significance, or a change in the local comprehensive plan that could be applied throughout the local jurisdiction; updates, editorial revisions, etc. are not regionally significant.

A summary of the results of the review follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
<th>Factors of Regional Significance</th>
<th>Consistent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEO 15-2ESR</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:** Approve staff comments. Authorize staff to forward comments to the Department of Economic Opportunity and Charlotte County Planning and Development Services Director.
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COMMUNITY PLANNING ACT

Local Government Comprehensive Plans

The Act requires each municipal and county government to prepare a comprehensive plan that must include at least the following nine elements:

1. Future Land Use Element;
2. Traffic Circulation Element;
   A local government with all or part of its jurisdiction within the urbanized area of a Metropolitan Planning Organization shall prepare and adopt a transportation element to replace the traffic circulation; mass transit; and ports, aviation, and related facilities elements. [9J-5.019(1), FAC]
3. General Sanitary Sewer, Solid Waste, Drainage, and Potable Water and Natural Groundwater Aquifer Recharge Element;
4. Conservation Element;
5. Recreation and Open Space Element;
6. Housing Element;
7. Coastal Management Element for coastal jurisdictions;
8. Intergovernmental Coordination Element; and

The local government may add optional elements (e.g., community design, redevelopment, safety, historical and scenic preservation, and economic).

All local governments in Southwest Florida have adopted revised plans:
  Charlotte County, Punta Gorda
  Collier County, Everglades City, Marco Island, Naples
  Glades County, Moore Haven
  Hendry County, Clewiston, LaBelle
  Lee County, Bonita Springs, Cape Coral, Fort Myers, Fort Myers Beach, Sanibel
  Sarasota County, Longboat Key, North Port, Sarasota, Venice
Attachment I

Comprehensive Plan Amendments

A local government may amend its plan at any time during the calendar year. Six copies of the amendment are sent to the Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO) for review. A copy is also sent to the Regional Planning Council, the Water Management District, the Florida Department of Transportation, and the Florida Department of Environmental Protection.

The proposed amendments will be reviewed by DEO in two situations. In the first, there must be a written request to DEO. The request for review must be received within forty-five days after transmittal of the proposed amendment. Reviews can be requested by one of the following:

- the local government that transmits the amendment,
- the regional planning council, or
- an affected person.

In the second situation, DEO can decide to review the proposed amendment without a request. In that case, DEO must give notice within thirty days of transmittal.

Within five working days after deciding to conduct a review, DEO may forward copies to various reviewing agencies, including the Regional Planning Council.

Regional Planning Council Review

The Regional Planning Council must submit its comments in writing within thirty days of receipt of the proposed amendment from DEO. It must specify any objections and may make recommendations for changes. The review of the proposed amendment by the Regional Planning Council must be limited to "effects on regional resources or facilities identified in the Strategic Regional Policy plan and extra-jurisdictional impacts which would be inconsistent with the comprehensive plan of the affected local government.

DEO has thirty days to conduct its own Expedited State Review and determine compliance with state law. Within that thirty-day period, DEO transmits its written comments to the local government.

NOTE: THE ABOVE IS A SIMPLIFIED VERSION OF THE LAW. REFER TO THE STATUTE (CH. 163, FS) FOR DETAILS.
LOCAL GOVERNMENT:
Charlotte County

DATE AMENDMENT RECEIVED:
March 9, 2015

DATE AMENDMENT MAILED TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND STATE:
Pursuant to Section 163.3184, Florida Statutes, Council review of proposed amendments to local government Comprehensive Plans is limited to adverse effects on regional resources and facilities identified in the Strategic Regional Policy Plan and extra-jurisdictional impacts that would be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan of any affected local government within the region. A written report containing the evaluation of these impacts, pursuant to Section 163.3184, Florida Statutes, is to be provided to the local government and the State land planning agency within 30 calendar days of receipt of the amendment.
April 8, 2015

1. AMENDMENT NAME:

Application Number: Charlotte County DEO 15-2 ESR

2. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT(S):

Two plan amendments initiated by the Charlotte County planning staff are being reviewed PA-14-11-17-LS and PA-14-04-04-LS. They constitute a large scale amendment to Charlotte County Future Land Use (FLU) Element and to the Future Land Use Map (FLUM).

PA-14-04-04-LS amends the Charlotte County FLUM Map #1: 2030 Future Land Use of the Smart Charlotte 2050 Comprehensive Plan which affects 255 parcels totaling 83.92 acres. The amendment consolidates three existing mixed use FLUM designations; Charlotte Harbor Tourist, Charlotte Harbor Mixed Use and Charlotte Harbor Neighborhood Business/Residential within the Charlotte Harbor Community Redevelopment Area (CRA) into a single Charlotte Mixed Use FLUM designation. It also amends two other small portions of land; one along Homewood Street south of Harborview Road from Charlotte Harbor Coastal Residential to Charlotte Harbor Commercial and the other located at the foot of the US 41 bridge owned by State of Florida from Charlotte Harbor Tourist to Parks and Recreation. The details of the property use change, acreage and annotations follow:

1. Charlotte Harbor Tourist (CHT) 30.34 ± acres to Charlotte Harbor Mixed Use (CHMU) with an annotation to the 2030 Future Land Use Map to limit the base density to 15 units per acre, unless density is transferred to the property.

2. Charlotte Harbor Tourist (CHT) 0.96± acres to Parks and Recreation (PKR).

3. Charlotte Harbor Neighborhood Business/Residential (CHNBR) 21.62± to Charlotte Harbor Mixed Use (CHMU) with an annotation to the 2030 Future Land Use Map to limit the base density to 10 units per acre, unless density is transferred to the property.

4. Charlotte Harbor Coastal Residential (CHCR) to Charlotte Harbor Mixed Use (CHMU) 2.75± acres with an annotation to the 2030 Future Land Use Map to limit the base density to 3.5 units per acre, unless density is transferred to the property.


6. Charlotte Harbor Commercial (CHC) to Charlotte Harbor Mixed Use (CHMU) 1.78± acres with an annotation to the 2030 Future Land Use Map to limit the base density to 0 units per acre, unless density is transferred to the property.

7. Medium Density Residential (MDR) to Charlotte Harbor Mixed Use (CHMU) 14.9± acres with an annotation to the 2030 Future Land Use Map to limit the base density to 10 units per acre, unless density is transferred to the property.

An annotation is also added to the 2030 Future Land Use Map for all properties which are currently designated as Charlotte Harbor Mixed Use (CHMU) and located within the Riverwalk Sub-District 10.76± acres and the annotation is to limit the base density to 15 units per acre unless density is transferred to the property.
The large scale amendment is necessary to implement the vision of the Charlotte Harbor Community Revitalization Plan (CHCRP) which has been designed to promote development and redevelopment. The vision is defined in the Redevelopment Plan which designates the Charlotte Harbor Community as a walkable mixed-use community attracting residents, business people, investors and visitors. Policies for Revitalization Area Plan Incentive Density (RAPID) established in FLU Policy 1.2.15, regulate how development in certain sub-districts within the Charlotte Harbor Community may receive increased density and building height in exchange for public benefit enhancements.

The current land uses for the properties being changed are; vacant, improved commercial and residential. The surrounding land uses are Institutional, commercial and residential with the eastern and southern border areas being water bodies. The plan amendments are not applicable to an area of critical concern, will not produce significant adverse effects to the regional resources or regional facilities and are consistent with the Goals, Strategies and Actions found in the Strategic Regional Policy Plan.

3. ADVERSE EFFECTS TO SIGNIFICANT REGIONAL RESOURCES AND FACILITIES IDENTIFIED IN THE STRATEGIC REGIONAL POLICY PLAN:

Council staff has reviewed the proposed changes and proposed revisions to the Plan and finds that the amendments do not adversely affect any significant regional resources or facilities that are identified in the Strategic Regional Policy Plan. Further, staff has reviewed the proposed land use changes and found that the request was not regionally significant due to its lack of magnitude, location and character. Staff's review found that the land use changes in the Redevelopment District will assist the county in its economic revitalization efforts and help improve development alternatives.

4. EXTRAJURISDICTIONAL IMPACTS INCONSISTENT WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLANS OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS WITHIN THE REGION

Council staff has reviewed the requested changes and finds that the requested amendments do not produce any significant extra-jurisdictional impacts that would be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan of any other local government within the region.

Request a copy of the adopted version of the amendment?  X Yes ___ No
Maps

Charlotte County
DEO 15-2 ESR

Growth Management Plan
Comprehensive Plan Amendments
Charlotte Harbor Community
Revitalization Plan
City of Punta Gorda (DEO15-1ESR)
LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS
CITY OF PUNTA GORDA

The Council staff has reviewed proposed amendments to add a new section to the City of Punta Gorda Comprehensive Plan (DEO 15-1ESR). A synopsis of the requirements of the Act and Council responsibilities is provided as Attachment I. Comments are provided in Attachment II. Site location maps can be reviewed in Attachment III.

Staff review of the proposed amendments was based on whether they were likely to be of regional concern. This was determined through assessment of the following factors:

1. Location— in or near a regional resource or regional activity center, such that it impacts the regional resource or facility; on or within one mile of a county boundary; generally applied to sites of five acres or more; size alone is not necessarily a determinant of regional significance;
2. Magnitude— equal to or greater than the threshold for a Development of Regional Impact of the same type (a DRI-related amendment is considered regionally significant); and
3. Character— of a unique type or use, a use of regional significance, or a change in the local comprehensive plan that could be applied throughout the local jurisdiction; updates, editorial revisions, etc. are not regionally significant.

A summary of the results of the review follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Amendment</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Consistent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEO 15-1ESR</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>(1) procedural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) not regionally significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) consistent with SRPP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approve staff comments. Authorize staff to forward comments to the Department of Economic Opportunity and the City of Punta Gorda.
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Attachment I

COMMUNITY PLANNING ACT

Local Government Comprehensive Plans

The Act requires each municipal and county government to prepare a comprehensive plan that must include at least the following nine elements:

1. Future Land Use Element;
2. Traffic Circulation Element;
   A local government with all or part of its jurisdiction within the urbanized area of a Metropolitan Planning Organization shall prepare and adopt a transportation element to replace the traffic circulation; mass transit; and ports, aviation, and related facilities elements. [9J-5.019(1), FAC]
3. General Sanitary Sewer, Solid Waste, Drainage, and Potable Water and Natural Groundwater Aquifer Recharge Element;
4. Conservation Element;
5. Recreation and Open Space Element;
6. Housing Element;
7. Coastal Management Element for coastal jurisdictions;
8. Intergovernmental Coordination Element; and

The local government may add optional elements (e.g., community design, redevelopment, safety, historical and scenic preservation, and economic).

All local governments in Southwest Florida have adopted revised plans:
Charlotte County, Punta Gorda
Collier County, Everglades City, Marco Island, Naples
Glades County, Moore Haven
Hendry County, Clewiston, LaBelle
Lee County, Bonita Springs, Cape Coral, Fort Myers, Fort Myers Beach, Sanibel
Sarasota County, Longboat Key, North Port, Sarasota, Venice
Attachment I

Comprehensive Plan Amendments

A local government may amend its plan at any time during the calendar year. Six copies of the amendment are sent to the Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO) for review. A copy is also sent to the Regional Planning Council, the Water Management District, the Florida Department of Transportation, and the Florida Department of Environmental Protection.

The proposed amendments will be reviewed by DEO in two situations. In the first, there must be a written request to DEO. The request for review must be received within forty-five days after transmittal of the proposed amendment. Reviews can be requested by one of the following:

- the local government that transmits the amendment,
- the regional planning council, or
- an affected person.

In the second situation, DEO can decide to review the proposed amendment without a request. In that case, DEO must give notice within thirty days of transmittal.

Within five working days after deciding to conduct a review, DEO may forward copies to various reviewing agencies, including the Regional Planning Council.

Regional Planning Council Review

The Regional Planning Council must submit its comments in writing within thirty days of receipt of the proposed amendment from DEO. It must specify any objections and may make recommendations for changes. The review of the proposed amendment by the Regional Planning Council must be limited to "effects on regional resources or facilities identified in the Strategic Regional Policy plan and extra-jurisdictional impacts which would be inconsistent with the comprehensive plan of the affected local government.

After receipt of comments from the Regional Planning Council and other reviewing agencies, DEO has thirty days to conduct its own review and determine compliance with state law. Within that thirty-day period, DEO transmits its written comments to the local government.

NOTE: THE ABOVE IS A SIMPLIFIED VERSION OF THE LAW. REFER TO THE STATUTE (CH. 163, FS) FOR DETAILS.
LOCAL GOVERNMENT:
The City of Punta Gorda

DATE AMENDMENT RECEIVED:
February 24, 2015

DATE AMENDMENT MAILED TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND STATE:
Pursuant to Section 163.3184, Florida Statutes, Council review of proposed amendments to local government Comprehensive Plans is limited to adverse effects on regional resources and facilities identified in the Strategic Regional Policy Plan and extra-jurisdictional impacts that would be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan of any affected local government within the region. A written report containing the evaluation of these impacts, pursuant to Section 163.3184, Florida Statutes, is to be provided to the local government and the State land planning agency within 30 calendar days of receipt of the amendment.

March 26, 2015

1. AMENDMENT NAME:
Application Number: The City of Punta Gorda, DEO 15-1 ESR

2. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT(S):
The City of Punta Gorda is proposing to amend their Comprehensive Plan by adding a new section, Section 11, Historical Element, to the City of Punta Gorda Comprehensive Plan 2025. The inclusion of the Historical Element is consistent with the City's Comprehensive Plan and maintains internal consistency with all elements and supports the preservation of the City of Punta Gorda's rich and diverse history. The Historical Element provides a plan for the protection, enhancement and preservation of structures or lands having historical, archaeological, architectural, scenic or similar significance. Through the Growth Management Act, historically significant properties and resources are required to be addressed by the Future Land Use and Housing Elements. The new element meets the intent of the Act, fulfills a desire of the citizens to set in place policies that will strengthen and enforce historical preservation efforts within the city and generates community pride.

The addition of this section causes renumbering of succeeding sections, updating to; Table of Contents, Introduction, Acronyms, Definitions, Maps and References. The development and inclusion of the Historical Element is a direct outcome of the citizens' recommendations to preserve and protect the historic, archaeological and paleontological resources and properties within the city. Additionally the Historic Element ties several of the required elements of the Comprehensive Plan together as identified in the following examples;
Future Land Use Element- Land use designations and overlay districts are identified for historical preservation,

Recreation & Open Space Element- The city's recreation facilities are enhanced by incorporating the City's historic districts and structures and the multi-use recreational trails into the overall park system.

Housing Element- Policies promote and protect the historic resources of the City.

3. ADVERSE EFFECTS TO SIGNIFICANT REGIONAL RESOURCES AND FACILITIES IDENTIFIED IN THE STRATEGIC REGIONAL POLICY PLAN:

Council staff has reviewed the proposed changes and revisions to the Comprehensive Plan of the City of Punta Gorda and finds that the proposed amendments to adopt a new section entitled Historical Element does not adversely affect any significant regional resources or facilities that are identified in the Strategic Regional Policy Plan.

4. EXTRAJURISDICTIONAL IMPACTS INCONSISTENT WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLANS OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS WITHIN THE REGION

Council staff has reviewed the requested changes and finds that the requested Comprehensive Plan amendments do not produce any significant extra-jurisdictional impacts that would be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan of any other local government within the region.

Request a copy of the adopted version of the amendment?  _X_ Yes ___ No
Maps

City of Punta Gorda

DEO 15-1ESR

Comprehensive Plan Amendment
City of Punta Gorda
Historical Element

Regional Location Map
Palmer Ranch (NOPC IV)
Background

The Palmer Ranch Development of Regional Impact (DRI) is an approved mixed-use master-planned development located in central Sarasota County, Florida. The DRI is generally bounded to the east by I-75, Beneva Road and U.S. 41 on the west, Clark Road to the north, and Preymore Street to the south. The original Master Development Order (MDO) document was approved by the Sarasota Board of County Commissioners on December 18, 1984. The MDO, including the Conceptual Master Development Plan (Map H-2) for the Palmer Ranch DRI is presently being implemented pursuant to the terms and conditions of the amended and restated MDO (Resolution No. 91-170, as amended). The amended and restated MDO which was first adopted on July 12, 1991 by the Sarasota Board of County Commissioners. The amended and restated MDO calls for planning and developing the 5,198-acre Palmer Ranch DRI in incremental developments.

Palmer Ranch Master Development is approved for 11,150 residential dwelling units; 99± acres of internal commercial, plus additional square footage of commercial/office in designated Activity Centers and 1.75 million square feet of industrial development. To date, 20 Incremental Development Orders have been approved within the Palmer Ranch DRI.

Previous Changes

On December 18, 1984, the Sarasota Board of County Commissioners adopted Resolution No. 84-418, which approved the Palmer Ranch DRI (#08-8283-032) Master Development Order (MDO). Since that time, the Palmer Ranch MDO has been amended fifteen (15) times. The previous amendments involved the following:

1. Resolution No. 86-203, adopted by the Sarasota Board of County Commissioners on May 13, 1986, to reflect changes to Land Use/Housing conditions;
2. Resolution No. 89-98, adopted by the Sarasota Board of County Commissioners on March 21, 1989, to formally adopt the Transportation Reanalysis that provided supplemental traffic impacts and transportation conditions to address compliance with projected 1995 levels of development of the Palmer Ranch DRI.
3. Resolution No. 89-99, adopted by the Sarasota Board of County Commissioners on March 21, 1989, to formally establish the Affordable Housing Program within the Palmer Ranch Master Development and map identifying areas for the provision of required low and moderate income housing within the Palmer Ranch DRI.
4. Resolution No. 91-170, adopted by the Sarasota Board of County Commissioners on July 9, 1991, to formally adopt the “Eastside Environmental System Analysis,” add 127.2 ± acres northeast of the original boundary and amend the Master Development Order supplement necessary to provide detailed information concerning issues including native habitats, rare and endangered species, drainage, water quality, floodplains, and historical and archaeological resources. It also included modifications relating to the park locations, internal commercial areas, and the legal description.
5. Resolution No. 99-179, adopted by the Sarasota Board of County Commissioners on July 14, 1999, to provide impact fee credits and reimbursements for certain road, park, and utility improvements made by the development, and the addition of 1.5 ± acres to Parcel S. This resolution is consistent with the Stipulation of Settlement agreed to in conjunction with the lawsuit filed by Palmer Ranch against Sarasota County.

6. Resolution No. 2000-095, adopted by the Sarasota Board of County Commissioners on April 26, 2000, provided for the relocation of a designated school site from Parcel Q-1 to Parcel U-3 and the re-designation of Parcel Q-1a from “institutional (school)” to “internal commercial.”

7. Resolution No. 2000-216, adopted by the Sarasota Board of County Commissioners on September 26, 2000, adopted an Updated Transportation Reanalysis identifying the roadway improvements necessary to balance and/or mitigate off-site traffic impacts associated with further development in the Palmer Ranch DRI.

8. Resolution No. 2004-077, adopted by the Sarasota Board of County Commissioners on April 14, 2004, amended the legal description to add 38.6 acres ± to the southernmost boundary and changed the reporting requirements from annual to biennial reports.

9. Ordinance No. 2006-024, adopted by the Sarasota Board of County Commissioners on March 22, 2006, “memorialized” the process that Palmer Ranch has utilized to reallocate un-built dwelling units from previously approved Increments to other areas within Palmer Ranch. This amendment revised the Master Development Plan to reflect the correct number of dwelling units within each built-out increment.

10. Resolution No. 2008-098, adopted by the Sarasota Board of County Commissioners on June 10, 2008, re-designated Parcel Q-1a, within Increment III, from Institutional to Commercial and re-designated Parcel Q-1b, also within Increment III, from Residential to Commercial.

11. Resolution No. 2011-226, adopted by the Sarasota Board of County Commissioners on December 7, 2011, amended the legal description to add 38.4 acres ± to the southeastern-most boundary of the Palmer Ranch Master Development.

12. Resolution No. 2012-223, adopted by the Sarasota Board of County Commissioners on November 7, 2012, updated the Conceptual Master Development Plan (Map H-2) to show Increment XX.

13. Resolution No. 2012-253, adopted by the Sarasota Board of County Commissioners on December 12, 2012, updated the Conceptual Master Development Plan (Map H-2) to show Increment XXI.

14. Resolution No. 2013-196, adopted by the Sarasota Board of County Commissioners on November 20, 2013, increased the total number of residential units allowed in the Palmer Ranch DRI by 10%, from 10,500 to 11,550 dwelling units.

15. Resolution No. 2014-125. adopted by the Sarasota Board of County Commissioners on July 9, 2014 amended Transportation A.2, removing Sawyer Loop Road West from the list of collector roads to be constructed and removed Land/Soils Condition A.2 relating to Radon testing.

Increment IV, Parcel 7A

Parcel 7A is located within the Palmer Ranch DRI, Increment IV which is south of Clark Road, east and west of McIntosh Road and contains Parcel A-1 through A-9. Parcel A7 is located in the southeast quadrant of Sawyer Loop Road and Northridge Road within Sarasota County.
Palmer Ranch Increment IV was originally approved by Sarasota County Resolution No. 89-205 on June 20, 1989 and has been amended two (2) times to date. The previous amendments are summarized below:

1. Sarasota County Ordinance No. 97-026 on March 11, 1997 added 21± acres (Parcels A8 and A9) located south of East Sawyer Loop Road and east of McIntosh Road and amended Development Order Conditions.
2. Sarasota County Ordinance No. 2014-035, on July 9, 2014 added 180 residential units to Increment IV and amended Development Order Conditions, including the requiring Parcels A2 and A6 be developed under the provisions of the Planned Unit Development (PUD) Overlay District.

There has been no change in local government jurisdiction for any portion of the development since the last approval or issued development order.

**Proposed Changes**

On December 31, 2014 a Notice of Proposed Change (NOPC) was submitted to develop 260 multi-family residential dwelling units on a 20± acre portion of Parcel A7 instead of the approved 275,000 square feet of light industrial and warehouse uses. The specific land use language in the Palmer Ranch DRI upon approval will be revised to state; Palmer Ranch Increment IV shall include a mix of professional office, light industrial and warehousing uses not to exceed a total of 1,481,000 square feet of gross leasable area, and 440 residential units. The acreage proposed to be converted to multi-family is within the Planned Commerce Development (PCD) District. No changes are proposed for the balance of the 176.82± acre PCD-zoned Palmer Park Commerce.

The Conceptual Development Plan for Increment IV has been revised to reflect the proposed change and is attached.

The approval of the NOPC will result in a revised Master Development Plan (Map C-3) for Increment IV. As part of this NOPC to Increment IV, the following maps will be updated:
- Existing Native Habitat (Map F-1)
- Habitat Preservation and Alteration Plan (Map F-2)
- Existing Surface Water Management Plan (Map G-2)
- Increment IV 9 (Parcel A2/A6) PM Peak Hour Project Traffic (Map H-1)

No build-out date is required for this increment because the requirements for a build-out date are pre-dated by the original approval date of June 20, 1989 (Resolution No.89-205).

This proposed change does not require a comprehensive plan amendment.

**Regional Staff Analysis**

With respect to the change in industrial and warehouse use to multi-family residential use, the Council staff does not believe that the change in the Master Development Increment IV, Parcel A7
will result in the creation of regional impacts beyond those already analyzed during the review and approval of Increment IV.

Housing

Increment IV is designated Major Employment Center on the Sarasota County's Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map and the parcel is zoned PCD. The residential development proposed for this site is consistent with the Major Employment Centers land use designation.

The proposal to develop housing on this parcel is consistent with the Sarasota Comprehensive Plan Goal 1; to enhance Sarasota County's sustainability and a community by encouraging the development of affordable, safe and sanitary housing with variety, density, size, tenure, cost and in various locations to accommodate the needs, preferences and financial capabilities of current and future residents. Many polices of the housing goals are upheld by the proposal to construct the carefully cited multi-family dwelling units on Parcel A7. Additionally, Housing Policy 1.6.8, is just one of the policies this proposal satisfies. It state; "Foster flexibility in the development of housing to minimize new development's impacts on environmentally sensitive areas."

The positioning of the multi-family dwelling structures on highly impacted land within the parcel separates the industrial uses and the roadway to the west and provides a favorable transition and buffer for the existing single family home sites to the east of the parcel.

Transportation

Off-Site Transportation impacts from the property were accounted for in the Palmer Ranch 2014 Transportation Reanalysis which was submitted to Sarasota County. As part of the 2014 Transportation Reanalysis, 240 townhomes were assigned to Parcel A-7 in anticipation of this requested land use change. The 2014 Transportation Reanalysis demonstrates that the roads included in the Master Development Order provide a benefit larger than the impact of the approved Palmer Ranch land use at build-out. Because the proposed use is 20 units greater than what was assumed the 2014 Transportation Reanalysis, a verification that no off-site improvements are needed in conjunction with this project was performed.

Findings indicate, although the proposed development has a 38-trip increase over the assumed development in the 2014 Transportation Reanalysis, the proposed development has a less trip generation potential compared to the approved existing light industrial and warehousing use. Review of the impact on the adjacent roadway found that the adjacent roadway segments will continue to operate at acceptable level-of-service standards with the addition of the project traffic, similar to what was indicated in the 2014 Transportation Reanalysis Report.

These findings are confirmed by the Florida Department of Transportation Comments and Recommendations found in the letter dated March 25, 2015 and attached to this report.
Drainage

Drainage of the 20± acre portion of Parcel A7 lies within the Catfish Creek Watershed Basin. The stormwater runoff generated from the parcel improvement project area is conveyed to the existing treatment lakes located on the east side of the project area overland. The proposed attenuated stormwater from the developable portion of the 20± acre project connects the proposed stormwater pond on the north end of the project to the existing lake to the east and expands the existing lake on the southeast corner of the project. Treatment for the proposed project area runoff has been accounted for in the existing large lake to the south according to Environmental Resource Permit No.:491293.03.25 for the Palmer Park of Commerce Phase 1. As part of the requirements for Southwest Florida Water Management District this ERP shall be modified in advance of construction plan approval.

The Analysis of the existing conditions, revised existing conditions and proposed conditions indicate that the development of Parcel A7 will not have an adverse impact on the off-site surface water elevations. Sarasota County Construction Plan review process will further analyze the drainage plans and determine if any additional measures are required.

Wildlife

The area proposed to be developed within Increment IV, Parcel A7 is greatly disturbed and contains minimal native habitat. A small group of trees occur along the southern perimeter of the parcel and buffer the adjacent wetland from the proposed development. Within these trees there are none that meet the criteria of being identified as a Grand Tree. Development of a portion of Increment IV, Parcel A7 is unlikely to impact native habitats since the proposed area for development consists entirely of highly impacted uplands and is unlikely to impact state or federally listed wildlife. No impacts are noted to the wetlands or their buffers and management of those areas will continue. The project is consistent with the Increment IV IDO Vegetation and Wildlife conditions and the previously executed permanent easement between Palmer Ranch and the Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission (now know as Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission).

Character, Magnitude, Location

These land use changes, as defined, do not affect the character, magnitude and/or location of the DRI.

Regional Goals, Resources and Facilities

Because there will not be an increase in approved development levels no regional goals, resources or facilities not previously reviewed for impacts will change.

Multi-Jurisdictional Issues

No multi-jurisdictional issues will result from the proposed land use changes.
Need For Reassessment of The DRI

There is no need to reassess the DRI as a result of the proposed changes.

Acceptance of Proposed D.O. Language

Regional staff recommends acceptance of the proposed development order amendment language;

E. LAND USE

1. Palmer Ranch Increment IV shall include a mix of professional office, light industrial, and warehousing uses not to exceed a total of 1,756,900 square feet of gross leasable area, and 180 residential units. Parcels A-3 through A-5 and A-7 through A-9 shall be developed under the provisions of the Planned Commerce Development (PCD) District Regulations. A-2 and A-6 shall be developed under the provisions of the Planned Unit Development (PUD) Overlay District.

RECOMMENDED ACTIONS: 1. Notify Sarasota County, the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO) and the applicant that the proposed changes do not create additional regional impacts and that Council participation at the local public hearing is not necessary, unless requested by the County for technical assistance purposes.

2. Request that Sarasota County provide a copy of any development order amendment related to the proposed changes to the SWFRPC in order to ensure that the amendment is consistent with the Notice of Proposed Change.
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MAPS

Maps listed below and following are included in the report for reference.

1. Site Location Map
2. Aerial Existing Zoning & Existing Land Use
3. Binding Development Concept Plan
4. Conceptual On-Site Surface Water Management Plan
5. Cardno Entrix - Land Use Map
6. Habitat Preservation and Alteration Plan
AGENCY REVIEW LETTERS

1. Sarasota County Water, Sewer and Reclaimed Water Availability
2. Florida Department of Transportation
3. Southwest Florida Water Management District
4. Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council- Environmentalist
5. Florida Department of Environmental Protection
December 18, 2014

James A. Paulmann, FAICP
Senior Principal, Urban Development
Stantec
6900 Professional Parkway East
Sarasota, Florida 34240-8414

Re: Palmers Ranch Increment IX (Parcel A7)
(PID# 0097-14-001U)
Water, Sewer and Reclaimed Water Availability

Dear Mr. Paulmann,

The above referenced property is within the Sarasota County Public Utilities Department’s water, wastewater and reclaimed water service area. Sarasota County is willing to provide utility service to the proposed development. Adequate water, wastewater and reclaim water capacity exists in the system to serve the proposed project.

All construction plans must adhere to the requirements of the Uniform Water, Wastewater, and Reclaimed Water Systems Code. Easements are required for all utility lines installed where there are no previous easements or in the County right-of-way. For lines which will be conveyed to the County system and where the lines must be installed beneath the pavement, the easement must carry the stipulation that should a line break occur, the County will be responsible for the replacement of sod only. All other restoration costs will be the responsibility of the property owner/developer.

This project will be subject to all approved rates, fees, and charges for water, wastewater and reclaimed water as approved by the Board of County Commissioners, including but not limited to impact fees, capacity fees, permitting fees, security deposits, installation fees, availability charges, and guaranteed revenues. Utility service will be subject to compliance with the Sarasota County Public Utilities Departments Rules and Regulations as approved by the Board of County Commissioners.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Michael M. Mehan, P.E.
Utilities Regulatory Manager

PUBLIC UTILITIES DEPARTMENT 1001 Sarasota Center Blvd., Sarasota, FL 34240
Tel 941-861-0964  Fax 941-861-0888
Ms. Maryann Devanas
Planner I
Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council
1926 Victoria Ave.
Fort Myers, FL 33901

RE: Palmer Ranch Development of Regional Impact (DRI) Increment IV, Notice of Proposed Change (NIPC), Parcel A-7 – FDOT Comments and Recommendations

Dear Ms. Devanas:

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District One, has reviewed the Palmer Ranch DRI Increment IV, NIPC, Parcel A-7 (received from the Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council (SWFRPC) on March 19, 2015) in accordance with the requirements of Florida Statutes (F.S.) Chapter 380.06. The Department offers the SWFRPC the following comments for your consideration.

The Palmer Ranch DRI Increment IV development consists of nine (9) parcels, A-1 thru A-9, and encompasses approximately 251.63 acres. It is generally located south of Clark Road/SR 72 and east and west of McIathos Road in Sarasota County, Florida.

Currently, the Palmer Ranch DRI Increment IV is approved as a mixed use development including 1,756,000 square feet of office, light industrial, and warehousing uses and 180 residential units.

The NIPC is specific for Parcel A-7. The subject property (Parcel A-7) encompasses approximately 20 acres and is generally located at the southeast quadrant of Sawyer Loop Road and Northridge Road. The Palmer Ranch DRI Increment IV, NIPC proposes to allow for the development of 260 multi-family dwelling units on approximately 20 acre portion of Parcel A7, instead of the approved 275,000 square feet of professional office, light industrial and warehousing uses. As such, the NIPC, as proposed, limits the maximum development within the Palmer Ranch DRI Increment IV to 1,481,000 square feet of office, light industrial and warehousing uses and limits the maximum residential units to 440 residential units.

Based on the review of the Transportation Analysis (dated December 2014) for the Palmer Ranch DRI Increment IV, Parcel A-7, the Department notes that the proposed conversion from 275,000 square feet of office, light industrial and warehousing uses (generating 236 PM peak hour trips) to 260 multi-family dwelling units (generating 161 PM peak hour trips) would generate less traffic compared to the existing approved condition. The Department has

www.dot.state.fl.us
determined that the changes associated with the NOPC, are not anticipated to adversely impact important state transportation resources or facilities.

Thank you for providing FDOT with the opportunity to review and comment on the proposed NOPC. If you have any questions please feel free to contact me at (239) 225-1981 or sarah.catala@dot.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Sarah Catala
SIS/Growth Management Coordinator
FDOT District One

CC: Mr. Ray Enbanks, Florida Department of Economic Opportunity
April 1, 2015

Maryann Devanas
Planner I
Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council
1326 Victoria Avenue
Fort Myers, FL 33901

Subject: Palmer Ranch DRI - Increment IV, Parcel A7 NOPC

Dear Ms. Devanas:

The Southwest Florida Water Management District (District) has reviewed the referenced application. Our technical assistance comments are as follows:

1. There are no Special Flood Hazard Areas in this location on the effective or preliminary FEMA FIRM. However, the County's Little Sarasota Bay model (and Catfish Creek sub-model) depicts locations within the project area where water is expected to accumulate during a 100 year storm. This model information is used by District staff in the review of permit applications.

2. The Surface Water Management Plan only details the pre and post-development modeling for a 100 year storm. Please be advised that District Environmental Resource Permit (ERP) permitting will require the applicant to also demonstrate no adverse impacts from any floodplain encroachment for lesser storms (i.e. 2.33 year, 10 year and 25 year storm). The District recommends this comment be incorporated into the Development Order.

3. The existing MSSW permits noted by the applicant in the NOPC application (49001293.003/025) will require an ERP modification. Early coordination with the District's Regulatory section is encouraged. Mr. Dave Kramer, ERP Evaluation Manager, at (813) 985-7481, ext. 2009, or dave.kramer@watermatters.org may be contacted for further information and assistance.

4. The applicant states no impacts to wetlands or buffers will occur. As part of the District ERP process, wetland lines will need to be verified. Mr. Al Gagne, Environmental Manager, at (813) 985-7481, ext. 4352, or albert.gagne@watermatters.org may be contacted for further information and assistance.

We appreciate this opportunity to participate in the review process. If we may be of further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me at (352) 796-7211, ext. 4422, or melissa.dickens@watermatters.org.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Melissa Dickens, AICP
Staff Planner

cc: Suzanne Ray, FDEP
    Al Gagne, SWFWMD
    Steven Lopes, SWFWMD

Dave Kramer, SWFWMD
Dawn Turner, SWFWMD
Tara Poulin, SWFWMD
April 6, 2015

Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council
1926 Victoria Avenue
Fort Myers, Florida 33901

Staff:

I have reviewed the proposed Palmer Ranch Increment IV Parcel A7, prepared for The Spanos Corporation by Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. Dated December 2014.

The applicant requests this Notice of Proposed Change to develop 260 multi-family residential dwelling units instead of the approved light industrial and warehouse uses on Parcel A7. The Conceptual Development Plan for Increment IV has been revised to reflect the proposed change and is attached to this application.

The project area is comprised of a 15.0±-acre portion of Palmer Ranch Parcel A7 that is under contract for purchase by The Spanos Corporation. Approximately 5 acres will remain under the ownership and control of the Palmer Park of Commerce Master Association. Cardno ENTRIX ecologists conducted habitat assessments and a Grand Tree survey in October 2014. Habitats and land uses were mapped in accordance with the Florida Land Use Cover and Forms Classification System (FLUCFCS) (FDOT, January 1999). Approximately 90% of the Parcel A-7 project area is improved pasture that is still actively grazed. The remaining uplands within Parcel A-7 project area are located outside of the pasture area within the upland buffer or other areas immediately adjacent to wetlands on the southern or eastern perimeters of the parcel. A constructed lake and canal is on the eastern side of Parcel A-7 project area. This surface water management system was designed as part of the Catfish Creek stormwater requirement under the IDO for the Palmer Park of Commerce. Depressional areas connected by a narrow slough also occur in the eastern side of Parcel A-7. A small band of native trees occurs along the southern perimeter of the parcel and buffers the adjacent wetland from the proposed development. The natural resources on the proposed development area will be protected in accordance with the Sarasota County Comprehensive Plan’s Principles for Evaluating Development Proposals in Native Habitats and all other applicable local, state, and federal regulations.

Three listed species, wood stork (Mycteria americana), limpkin (Aramus guarauna) and little blue heron (Egretta caerulea), were observed feeding or loafing along the edges of the stormwater lake and canal. It is expected that other state-listed wading birds will use the stormwater wetlands on the parcel for foraging or loafing. No evidence of nesting by any of these species has been observed on the subject parcel. The preservation and management of natural wetlands and the construction of additional lakes on the subject project will maintain foraging and loafing habitats for water birds.
Consistent with Increment IV IDO Wetlands conditions, no impacts are proposed to wetlands or their upland buffers and management of those areas will continue following site development. The proposed project is consistent with Sarasota County Comprehensive Plan’s Goals, Objectives and Policies for Environmental Protection and with Land Development Regulations for upland and wetland habitat protection. The subject project is consistent with the Increment IV IDO Vegetation and Wildlife conditions and the previously executed Permanent Conservation Easement between Palmer Ranch and the Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission (now known as the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission) to address impacts to listed species.

Based on the materials provided the Palmer Ranch Parcel A7 NOPC appears to be consistent with the SRPP and the Sarasota County Comp Plan in the areas of Vegetation and Wildlife, and Wetlands.

Thank you

Jim Beever
Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council
1926 Victoria Avenue
Fort Myers, Florida 33901
Telephone (239) 338-2550 ext 224
Fax (239) 338-2560
E-mail: jbeever@swfrpc.org
Website: http://www.swfrpc.org/
From: Neurohr, Julie [Julie.Neurohr@dep.state.fl.us]
Sent: Tuesday, April 07, 2015 11:31 AM
To: Maryann Devanas
Cc: Iglehart, Jon
Subject: Palmer Ranch IV, Parcel A7 Response

Maryann,

Staff reviewed the Palmer Ranch IV, Parcel A7 documents and have the following comments:

1. SWFWMD has reviewed the ERP portion of the this project. We have no additional comments in this respect.
2. Both the water and wastewater needs of the entire development are provided by central systems, therefore the potable water permits will be processed by the Sarasota County Health Dept. and the any wastewater and reuse permits will be processed by the Sarasota County wastewater delegated program.

Thank you for allowing us to review this on such short notice.

Julie Neurohr
TMDL Coordinator
FDEP
239-344-5608
Agenda
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13a
Revenues

Local Assessments
Total Federal/State Grants
Misc. Grants/Contracts
Other Revenue Sources

Notes:
Local Assessments billed at the beginning of each quarter: October, January, April and July
Federal Grants (EPA) billed monthly: EPA: FAMWQ and Conservation Easement
State/Federal Grants billed quarterly: LEPC, HMEP, TD, and ED
Misc. Grants/Contracts billed by deliverable: SQG, Interagency PO'S
Other(DRI) billed /recorded monthly as cost reimbursement

YTD: Net Income $(165,494) Unaudited
### SWFRPC

**INCOME STATEMENT**

**COMPAred WITH BUDGET**

**FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDING MARCH 31, 2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current Year</th>
<th>Year to Date</th>
<th>FY 2014-2015 Approved Budget</th>
<th>FY 2014-2015 (March 2015) Amended Budget</th>
<th>% Of Budget year to Date</th>
<th>Budget Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>REVENUES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LOCAL ASSESSMENTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHARLOTTE COUNTY</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 24,552</td>
<td>$ 49,104</td>
<td>$ 49,104</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>$ 24,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLLIER COUNTY</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 50,050</td>
<td>$ 100,100</td>
<td>$ 100,100</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>$ 50,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLADES COUNTY</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 1,899</td>
<td>$ 3,797</td>
<td>$ 3,797</td>
<td>50.01%</td>
<td>$ 1,898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HENDY COUNTY</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 5,671</td>
<td>$ 11,342</td>
<td>$ 11,342</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>$ 5,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEE COUNTY</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 77,736</td>
<td>$ 155,480</td>
<td>$ 155,480</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>$ 77,744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARASOTA COUNTY</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 57,794</td>
<td>$ 115,588</td>
<td>$ 115,588</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>$ 57,794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY OF FORT MYERS</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 10,044</td>
<td>$ 20,124</td>
<td>$ 20,124</td>
<td>49.91%</td>
<td>$ 10,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOWN OF FORT MYERS BEACH INC</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 948</td>
<td>$ 1,897</td>
<td>$ 1,897</td>
<td>49.97%</td>
<td>$ 949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BONITA SPRINGS</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 6,784</td>
<td>$ 13,569</td>
<td>$ 13,569</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>$ 6,785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY OF SANIBEL</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 970</td>
<td>$ 1,940</td>
<td>$ 1,940</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>$ 970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSMENTS</strong></td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 236,448</td>
<td>$ 472,941</td>
<td>$ 472,941</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>$ 236,493</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                      |              |               |                               |                                          |                          |                  |
| **FEDERAL / STATE GRANTS** |              |               |                               |                                          |                          |                  |
| EPA FAMWQ            | $ 4,162      | $ 13,029      | $ 36,000                      | $ 18,833                                 | 69.18%                   | $ 5,804          |
| EPA-CONSERVATION     | $ 7,965      | $ 33,442      | $ 95,944                      | $ 84,020                                 | 39.80%                   | $ 50,578         |
| DEM-LEPC -14/15      | $ 10,500     | $ 18,861      | $ 40,909                      | $ 42,000                                 | 44.91%                   | $ 23,139         |
| DEM-HMEP 14/15       | $ -          | $ 1,060       | $ 58,370                      | $ 22,000                                 | 4.82%                    | $ 20,940         |
| Economic Development | $ 15,750     | $ 30,486      | $ 63,000                      | $ 63,000                                 | 48.39%                   | $ 32,514         |
| EDA TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE | $ 14,564 | $ 29,128 | - $ | $ 58,256 | 50.00% | $ 29,128 |
| MARC - SOLAR READY   | $ 12,917     | $ 13,077      | $ 45,000                      | $ 26,656                                 | 49.06%                   | $ 13,579         |
| TD GLADES 14/15      | $ 10,029     | $ 15,152      | $ 38,573                      | $ 38,573                                 | 39.28%                   | $ 23,421         |
| DEM - Collier Hazards | $ -         | $ -         | - $ | - $ | 0.00% | $ 8,042 |
| CITY OF LABELLE FARM TOUR | $ 4,500 | $ 4,500 | - $ | $ 20,000 | 22.50% | $ 15,500 |
| CHNPE MANATEE        | $ -          | (5,000)       | - $                          | - $                                      | N/A                      | $ 5,000          |
| **TOTAL FEDERAL / STATE GRANTS** | $ 80,387 | $ 153,735 | $ 377,796 | $ 381,380 | 40.31% | $ 227,645 |
| GLADES SQG | $ - | - | - | 3,900 | 3,900 | 0.00% | 3,900 |
| VISIT FLORIDA- Video | $ - | - | - | 5,000 | 5,000 | 0.00% | 5,000 |
| NEFRC PO # 943 | $ - | 8,256 | 7,000 | 8,256 | 100.00% | - |
| NEFRC SRESP | $ - | - | 8,000 | 8,000 | 0.00% | 8,000 |
| City of Bonita Springs | $ - | - | 20,000 | 50,000 | 0.00% | 50,000 |
| DRI MONITORING FEES | $ - | 2,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 50.00% | 2,000 |
| DRIS/NOPCS INCOME | $ 4,332 | 39,116 | 56,000 | 56,000 | 69.85% | 16,884 |
| City of Punta Gorda - Mangrove Loss | $ 14,875 | 14,875 | 50,000 | 27,250 | 54.59% | 12,375 |
| TBRPC-Graphics | $ 1,122 | 2,947 | - | 1,850 | 100.00% | - |
| TBRPC-Disaster Guide (graphics) | $ 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 100.00% | - |

**MISC. GRANTS / CONTRACTS/CONTRACTUAL**

| Total | $ 24,329 | $ 71,194 | $ 145,900 | $ 168,256 | 42.31% | $ 97,062 |

**OTHER REVENUE SOURCES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Current Month</th>
<th>Year to Date</th>
<th>FY 2014-2015</th>
<th>FY 2014-2015 (March 2015)</th>
<th>% Of Budget Year to Date</th>
<th>Budget Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IT EVENT</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 6,108</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 5,363</td>
<td>113.89%</td>
<td>$ (745)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownfields Event</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 2,800</td>
<td>80.36%</td>
<td>$ 550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABM SPONSORSHIPS</td>
<td>$ 5,000</td>
<td>$ 5,000</td>
<td>$ 2,500</td>
<td>$ 2,500</td>
<td>200.00%</td>
<td>$ (2,500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RENTAL SPACE-SENATOR</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 1,250</td>
<td>$ 15,000</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$ (1,250)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTEREST INCOME</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 357</td>
<td>$ 1,500</td>
<td>$ 1,500</td>
<td>23.80%</td>
<td>$ 1,143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pool B Investment Income</td>
<td>$ 22</td>
<td>$ 150</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$ (150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISC. INCOME</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 18</td>
<td>$ 3,500</td>
<td>$ 3,500</td>
<td>0.51%</td>
<td>$ 3,482</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL OTHER REVENUE SOURCES** $ 7,272 $ 15,133 $ 22,500 $ 15,663 $ 96.62% $ 530

**CARRY OVER Fund Balance** $ - $ - $ 708,484 $ 748,895

**TOTAL REVENUES** $ 111,988 $ 476,510 $ 1,727,621 $ 1,787,135 $ 27% $ 1,310,625

**EXPENSES**

**PERSONNEL EXPENSES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Current Month</th>
<th>Year to Date</th>
<th>FY 2014-2015</th>
<th>FY 2014-2015 (March 2015)</th>
<th>% Of Budget Year to Date</th>
<th>Budget Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SALARIES EXPENSE</td>
<td>$ 54,884</td>
<td>$ 332,398</td>
<td>$ 729,525</td>
<td>$ 694,945</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>$ 362,547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FICA EXPENSE</td>
<td>$ 4,102</td>
<td>$ 24,638</td>
<td>$ 55,809</td>
<td>$ 53,209</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>$ 28,571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RETIREMENT EXPENSE</td>
<td>$ 5,724</td>
<td>$ 28,428</td>
<td>$ 58,766</td>
<td>$ 56,166</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>$ 27,738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEALTH INSURANCE EXPENSE</td>
<td>$ 1,334</td>
<td>$ 52,757</td>
<td>$ 128,579</td>
<td>$ 125,579</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>$ 72,822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WORKERS COMP. EXPENSE</td>
<td>$ 369</td>
<td>$ 2,214</td>
<td>$ 2,329</td>
<td>$ 2,329</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>$ 115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNEMPLOYMENT COMP. EXPENSE</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 59</td>
<td>(59)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL PERSONNEL EXPENSES** $ 66,413 $ 440,376 $ 975,008 $ 932,228 $ 47% $ 491,852
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Month</th>
<th>Year to Date</th>
<th>FY 2014-2015 Approved Budget</th>
<th>FY 2014-2015 (March 2015) Amended Budget</th>
<th>% Of Budget year to Date</th>
<th>Budget Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSULTANTS</td>
<td>$3,758</td>
<td>$24,468</td>
<td>$14,500</td>
<td>$46,850</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRANT/CONSULTING EXPENSE</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$6,629</td>
<td>$54,396</td>
<td>$54,396</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDIT SERVICES EXPENSE</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDIT EXPENSES-CHNEP</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$(6,500)</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAVEL EXPENSE</td>
<td>$738</td>
<td>$17,337</td>
<td>$25,170</td>
<td>$35,670</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TELEPHONE EXPENSE</td>
<td>$1,256</td>
<td>$3,454</td>
<td>$5,100</td>
<td>$5,100</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POSTAGE / SHIPPING EXPENSE</td>
<td>$ 44</td>
<td>$1,812</td>
<td>$2,787</td>
<td>$4,287</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUIPMENT RENTAL EXPENSE</td>
<td>$ 37</td>
<td>$3,334</td>
<td>$7,015</td>
<td>$7,015</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INSURANCE EXPENSE</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$19,211</td>
<td>$22,500</td>
<td>$22,500</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPAIR/MAINT. EXPENSE</td>
<td>$ 86</td>
<td>$4,685</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRINTING/REPRODUCTION EXPENSE</td>
<td>$215</td>
<td>$1,229</td>
<td>$2,190</td>
<td>$6,190</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTILITIES (ELEC, WATER, GAR)</td>
<td>$1,309</td>
<td>$10,150</td>
<td>$23,200</td>
<td>$23,200</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADVERTISING/LEGAL NOTICES EXP</td>
<td>$ 44</td>
<td>$801</td>
<td>$2,454</td>
<td>$2,454</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER MISC. EXPENSE</td>
<td>$387</td>
<td>$1,766</td>
<td>$4,500</td>
<td>$4,500</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BANK SERVICE CHARGES</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$1,236</td>
<td>$2,700</td>
<td>$2,700</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFFICE SUPPLIES EXPENSE</td>
<td>$177</td>
<td>$2,888</td>
<td>$5,175</td>
<td>$5,175</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPUTER RELATED EXPENSE</td>
<td>$532</td>
<td>$12,717</td>
<td>$27,070</td>
<td>$27,070</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUES AND MEMBERSHIP</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$9,832</td>
<td>$29,700</td>
<td>$29,700</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUBLICATION EXPENSE</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$211</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>$461</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROF. DEVELOP.</td>
<td>$252</td>
<td>$2,937</td>
<td>$10,256</td>
<td>$10,256</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEETINGS/EVENTS EXPENSE</td>
<td>$372</td>
<td>$6,556</td>
<td>$3,453</td>
<td>$11,161</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPITAL OUTLAY EXPENSE</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPITAL OUTLAY - BUILDING</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$35,150</td>
<td>$35,150</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LONG TERM DEBT</td>
<td>$10,646</td>
<td>$63,876</td>
<td>$128,000</td>
<td>$128,000</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNCOLLECTABLE RECEIVABLES</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$7,233</td>
<td>$0%</td>
<td>$7,233</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP Contractual</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESERVE FOR OPERATIONS EXPENSE</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$708,484</td>
<td>$748,895</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPERATIONAL EXP.</td>
<td>$19,953</td>
<td>$201,628</td>
<td>$1,176,550</td>
<td>$1,280,918</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALLOCATION FOR FRINGE/INDIRECT (CAPTURED BY GRANTS)</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$(423,937)</td>
<td>$(426,011)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL OPERATIONAL EXP.</td>
<td>$752,613</td>
<td>$854,907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL CASH OUTLAY</td>
<td>$86,366</td>
<td>$642,004</td>
<td>$1,727,621</td>
<td>$1,787,135</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NET INCOME (LOSS)</td>
<td>$25,622</td>
<td>$(165,494)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## SWFRPC
### Detail of Reserve
As of March 31, 2015

**Cash and Cash Equivalents:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Petty Cash</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank of America Operating Funds</td>
<td>$71,898</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Cash and Cash Equivalents**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$72,098</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Investments:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iberia Bank CD</td>
<td>$318,083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local government Surplus Trust Fund Investment Pool (Fund A)</td>
<td>$134,427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local government Surplus Trust Fund (Fund B)</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Investments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$452,510</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Reserves**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$524,608</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Estero Bay Agency on Bay Management Committee
Estero Bay Agency on Bay Management

The regular meetings of the Estero Bay Agency on Bay Management was not held on March 9, 2015.

Next Meeting Time and Place, for EBABM is Monday, April 13, 2015 – 9:30 A.M, at the SWFRPC and for the IAS is Monday, May 4, 2015 – 1:30 P.M at Room SWC 108 FGCU.

Recommended Action: Information only.
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